

Hade Edge




- Hade Edge parcel
- Neighbouring parcel
- Kirklees
- Neighbouring authority
- Green Belt

NPPF Footnote 7 designations

- Listed building
- Ancient woodland
- Peaty soil
- Flood zone 3
- Flood zone 2
- High risk of surface water flooding




Hade Edge




- Hade Edge parcel
- Neighbouring parcel
- Kirklees
- Neighbouring authority
- Green Belt

Highest Contribution to NPPF purposes A, B, C and D

- Very Strong
- Strong
- Moderate
- Weak/no



Hade Edge



Hade Edge parcel

Neighbouring parcel

Kirklees


Neighbouring authority

Green Belt


Potential grey belt

Potentially suitable for definition as grey belt land in the district

Footnote 7 designation



Parcel HA1



NPPF Footnote 7 designations

- Listed building
- Ancient woodland
- Peaty soil
- Flood zone 3
- Flood zone 2
- High risk of surface water flooding

Parcel HA1

Contribution of land in Parcel HA1

Parcel HA1 Assessment Conclusions

Purpose A	Purpose B	Purpose C	Purpose D	Purpose E	Grey Belt
Weak/No	Weak/No	Strong	Weak/No	Equal	Yes

Parcel HA1 Description

Parcel Location, Land Uses and Boundaries
Land located to the northern edge of Hade Edge. It includes open fields and dry stone wall boundaries. Parcel size: 8ha. There is a consistent, permanent boundary feature extending a significant distance. Penistone Road defines the urban edge to the north-east of Hade Edge. There is no significant change in landform to create a sense of separation between the settlement and the parcel. While the land is sloping to the west, this is not distinct to the urban edge. There is some perception of urban development outside of the parcel. There is no significant urbanising development or activity in the Green Belt affecting this parcel. There is a strong perception of the wider countryside, limiting the impact of any existing urbanising influence.

Purpose A: Checking the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is the parcel adjacent or near to a large built-up area?	The parcel is not adjacent or near enough to a large built-up area to contribute to preventing its sprawl.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	N/A
Are there physical features in reasonable proximity that could restrict and contain development?	N/A
Would development form an incongruous pattern in relation to the large built-up area?	N/A

Parcel HA1

Purpose B: Preventing neighbouring towns from merging:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Does the parcel lie in a gap between towns?	The parcel does not lie in a gap between towns that are close enough to be considered neighbouring.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
Does the parcel form a substantial, small or very small part of the gap?	N/A
Would development result in loss of visual separation between towns?	N/A

Purpose C: Assisting in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment:

Strong contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is land within the parcel countryside?	The parcel is part of the countryside and so contributes to preventing encroachment on it.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	The parcel is predominantly free from urbanising development.
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	There is some urbanising influence associated with development outside of the parcel.
Would development impact adjacent Green Belt land?	Development in the parcel would significantly increase the urbanising influence on adjacent open land. The parcel has only weak outer boundaries, so development within the parcel would in turn increase the urbanising impact of development on adjacent open land.

Purpose D: Preserving the setting and special character of historic towns:

Weak/No contribution

No settlements in Kirklees are considered to be historic towns to which surrounding Green Belt land contributes special character

Parcel HA1

Purpose E – Assisting in urban regeneration:


Equal contribution

All Green Belt land plays an equal role in relation to this purpose.

Grey Belt

The parcel does not make a strong contribution to the Green Belt purposes A, B or D and therefore has the potential to be grey belt land. NPPF footnote 7 areas and assets within or connected to the parcel may provide a strong reason for refusing or restricting development within it. Such locations can only be provisionally defined as grey belt land until further relevant and necessary specialist assessment work has been undertaken.

Parcel HA2





Parcel HA2 | Neighbouring parcel | Green Belt

NPPF Footnote 7 designations

- Listed building
- Ancient woodland
- Peaty soil
- Flood zone 3
- Flood zone 2
- High risk of surface water flooding

Parcel HA2

Contribution of land in Parcel HA2

Parcel HA2 Assessment Conclusions

Purpose A	Purpose B	Purpose C	Purpose D	Purpose E	Grey Belt
Weak/No	Weak/No	Strong	Weak/No	Equal	Yes

Parcel HA2 Description

Parcel Location, Land Uses and Boundaries
Land located to the east of Hade Edge. It primarily includes open fields with dry stone wall boundaries and Boshaw Whams Reservoir. Parcel size: 58ha. Snittle Road is a establishes a moderate boundary feature that has yet to be breached by any urbanising development associated with Hade Edge. There is no significant change in landform to create a sense of separation between the settlement in the western parts of the parcel, but landform does begin to slope eastwards in the east of the parcel, creating some change. There is a weak perception of urban development outside of the parcel. The urbanising influence of the settlement diminishes with distance and with the sloping landform. There is no significant urbanising development or activity in the Green Belt affecting this parcel. Isolated buildings in the parcel are generally agricultural in character. There is a strong perception of the wider countryside due to the landform slope which provides wide views to the east.

Purpose A: Checking the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is the parcel adjacent or near to a large built-up area?	The parcel is not adjacent or near enough to a large built-up area to contribute to preventing its sprawl.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	N/A
Are there physical features in reasonable proximity that could restrict and contain development?	N/A

Parcel HA2

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Would development form an incongruous pattern in relation to the large built-up area?	N/A

Purpose B: Preventing neighbouring towns from merging:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Does the parcel lie in a gap between towns?	The parcel does not lie in a gap between towns that are close enough to be considered neighbouring.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
Does the parcel form a substantial, small or very small part of the gap?	N/A
Would development result in loss of visual separation between towns?	N/A

Purpose C: Assisting in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment:

Strong contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is land within the parcel countryside?	The parcel is part of the countryside and so contributes to preventing encroachment on it.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	The parcel is predominantly free from urbanising development.
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	The parcel is predominantly free from urbanising influences associated with development outside the parcel.
Would development impact adjacent Green Belt land?	Development in the parcel would significantly increase the urbanising influence on adjacent open land. Any development in the parcel would in turn increase urbanising influence on adjacent open land.

Parcel HA2

Purpose D: Preserving the setting and special character of historic towns:

Weak/No contribution

No settlements in Kirklees are considered to be historic towns to which surrounding Green Belt land contributes special character

Purpose E – Assisting in urban regeneration:


Equal contribution

All Green Belt land plays an equal role in relation to this purpose.

Grey Belt

The parcel does not make a strong contribution to the Green Belt purposes A, B or D and therefore has the potential to be grey belt land. NPPF footnote 7 areas and assets within or connected to the parcel may provide a strong reason for refusing or restricting development within it. Such locations can only be provisionally defined as grey belt land until further relevant and necessary specialist assessment work has been undertaken.

Parcel HA3





Parcel HA3 | Neighbouring parcel | Green Belt

NPPF Footnote 7 designations

- Listed building
- Ancient woodland
- Peaty soil
- Flood zone 3
- Flood zone 2
- High risk of surface water flooding

Parcel HA3

Contribution of land in Parcel HA3

Parcel HA3 Assessment Conclusions

Purpose A	Purpose B	Purpose C	Purpose D	Purpose E	Grey Belt
Weak/No	Weak/No	Strong	Weak/No	Equal	Yes

Parcel HA3 Description

Parcel Location, Land Uses and Boundaries
Land adjacent to the south-eastern and southern sides of the village of Hade Edge. It includes open fields with dry stone wall boundaries. Parcel size: 11ha. There are no significant boundary features to separate the parcel from the settlement. There is no significant change in landform to create a sense of separation between the settlement and the parcel. Close to the settlement edge there is a strong perception of urban development outside of the parcel, in particular where the urban area borders open land on two sides. The settlement edge is clearly visible from the parcel, with garden boundaries offering minimal screening. There is no significant urbanising development or activity in the Green Belt affecting this parcel. The parcel includes structures linked to agricultural use. There is a strong perception of the wider countryside.

Purpose A: Checking the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is the parcel adjacent or near to a large built-up area?	The parcel is not adjacent or near enough to a large built-up area to contribute to preventing its sprawl.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	N/A
Are there physical features in reasonable proximity that could restrict and contain development?	N/A
Would development form an incongruous pattern in relation to the large built-up area?	N/A

Parcel HA3

Purpose B: Preventing neighbouring towns from merging:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Does the parcel lie in a gap between towns?	The parcel does not lie in a gap between towns that are close enough to be considered neighbouring.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
Does the parcel form a substantial, small or very small part of the gap?	N/A
Would development result in loss of visual separation between towns?	N/A

Purpose C: Assisting in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment:

Strong contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is land within the parcel countryside?	The parcel is part of the countryside and so contributes to preventing encroachment on it.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	The parcel is free from urbanising development.
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	There is some urbanising influence associated with development outside of the parcel.
Would development impact adjacent Green Belt land?	Development in the parcel would significantly increase the urbanising influence on adjacent open land. There are no strong boundary features within or around the outer edge of the parcel, so any development would in turn increase urbanising influence on adjacent open land.

Purpose D: Preserving the setting and special character of historic towns:

Weak/No contribution

No settlements in Kirklees are considered to be historic towns to which surrounding Green Belt land contributes special character

Parcel HA3

Purpose E – Assisting in urban regeneration:


Equal contribution


All Green Belt land plays an equal role in relation to this purpose.

Grey Belt

The parcel does not make a strong contribution to the Green Belt purposes A, B or D and therefore has the potential to be grey belt land. NPPF footnote 7 areas and assets within or connected to the parcel may provide a strong reason for refusing or restricting development within it. Such locations can only be provisionally defined as grey belt land until further relevant and necessary specialist assessment work has been undertaken.

Parcel HA4





Parcel HA4 | Neighbouring parcel | Green Belt

NPPF Footnote 7 designations

- Listed building
- Ancient woodland
- Peaty soil
- Flood zone 3
- Flood zone 2
- High risk of surface water flooding

Parcel HA4

Contribution of land in Parcel HA4

Parcel HA4 Assessment Conclusions

Purpose A	Purpose B	Purpose C	Purpose D	Purpose E	Grey Belt
Weak/No	Weak/No	Strong	Weak/No	Equal	Yes

Parcel HA4 Description

Parcel Location, Land Uses and Boundaries
Land located to the south of Hade Edge. It primarily includes open fields with dry stone wall boundaries. Dunford Road and the Long Ing ridgeline form the parcel's outer boundaries to the south and west respectively, while Snittle Road borders it to the east. Parcel size: 15ha. There are no significant boundary features to separate the parcel from the settlement. Low stone walls have little impact on visual openness. Landform slopes gradually down to the village, which at this distance begins to create a sense of separation between the settlement and the parcel. There is a weak perception of urban development outside of the parcel. The parcel does not front onto a wide urban edge, and urbanising influence diminishes with distance and change in elevation. There is no significant urbanising development or activity in the Green Belt affecting this parcel. There is a strong perception of the wider countryside.

Purpose A: Checking the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is the parcel adjacent or near to a large built-up area?	The parcel is not adjacent or near enough to a large built-up area to contribute to preventing its sprawl.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	N/A
Are there physical features in reasonable proximity that could restrict and contain development?	N/A

Parcel HA4

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Would development form an incongruous pattern in relation to the large built-up area?	N/A

Purpose B: Preventing neighbouring towns from merging:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Does the parcel lie in a gap between towns?	The parcel does not lie in a gap between towns that are close enough to be considered neighbouring.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
Does the parcel form a substantial, small or very small part of the gap?	N/A
Would development result in loss of visual separation between towns?	N/A

Purpose C: Assisting in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment:

Strong contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is land within the parcel countryside?	The parcel is part of the countryside and so contributes to preventing encroachment on it.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	The parcel is free from urbanising development.
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	The parcel is predominantly free from urbanising influences associated with development outside the parcel.
Would development impact adjacent Green Belt land?	Development in the parcel would significantly increase the urbanising influence on adjacent open land. In the absence of strong outer boundary features to the east, development would in turn increase urbanising influence on adjacent open land.

Parcel HA4

Purpose D: Preserving the setting and special character of historic towns:

Weak/No contribution

No settlements in Kirklees are considered to be historic towns to which surrounding Green Belt land contributes special character

Purpose E – Assisting in urban regeneration:


Equal contribution

All Green Belt land plays an equal role in relation to this purpose.

Grey Belt

The parcel does not make a strong contribution to the Green Belt purposes A, B or D and therefore has the potential to be grey belt land. NPPF footnote 7 areas and assets within or connected to the parcel may provide a strong reason for refusing or restricting development within it. Such locations can only be provisionally defined as grey belt land until further relevant and necessary specialist assessment work has been undertaken.

Parcel HA5



NPPF Footnote 7 designations

- Listed building
- Special Area of Conservation
- Special Protection Area
- Site of Special Scientific Interest
- Ancient woodland
- Blanket bog
- Peaty soil
- National Park
- Flood zone 3
- Flood zone 2
- High risk of surface water flooding

Parcel HA5

Contribution of land in Parcel HA5

Parcel HA5 Assessment Conclusions

Purpose A	Purpose B	Purpose C	Purpose D	Purpose E	Grey Belt
Weak/No	Weak/No	Moderate	Weak/No	Equal	Yes

Parcel HA5 Description

Parcel Location, Land Uses and Boundaries
Land immediately adjacent to the western side of Hade Edge. It includes open fields and a ridgeline forms a consistent outer boundary feature to the west of the parcel. Parcel size: 9ha. There are no significant boundary features to separate the parcel from the settlement. There is no significant change in landform to create a sense of separation between the settlement and the parcel. There is some perception of urban development outside of the parcel, in particular where the urban area borders open land where the settlement edge is clearly visible, with garden boundaries offering minimal screening. There is no significant urbanising development or activity in the Green Belt affecting this parcel. There is a strong perception of the wider countryside.

Purpose A: Checking the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is the parcel adjacent or near to a large built-up area?	The parcel is not adjacent or near enough to a large built-up area to contribute to preventing its sprawl.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	N/A
Are there physical features in reasonable proximity that could restrict and contain development?	N/A
Would development form an incongruous pattern in relation to the large built-up area?	N/A

Parcel HA5

Purpose B: Preventing neighbouring towns from merging:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Does the parcel lie in a gap between towns?	The parcel does not lie in a gap between towns that are close enough to be considered neighbouring.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
Does the parcel form a substantial, small or very small part of the gap?	N/A
Would development result in loss of visual separation between towns?	N/A

Purpose C: Assisting in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment:

Moderate contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is land within the parcel countryside?	The parcel is part of the countryside and so contributes to preventing encroachment on it.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	The parcel is predominantly free from urbanising development.
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	There is some urbanising influence associated with development outside of the parcel.
Would development impact adjacent Green Belt land?	Development in the parcel would not significantly increase the urbanising influence on adjacent open land. The sharp change in landform along the outer western boundary associated with a deep valley to the west would limit the urbanising impact of any new development.

Purpose D: Preserving the setting and special character of historic towns:

Weak/No contribution

No settlements in Kirklees are considered to be historic towns to which surrounding Green Belt land contributes special character

Parcel HA5

Purpose E – Assisting in urban regeneration:

Equal contribution

All Green Belt land plays an equal role in relation to this purpose.

Grey Belt

The parcel does not make a strong contribution to the Green Belt purposes A, B or D and therefore has the potential to be grey belt land. NPPF footnote 7 areas and assets within or connected to the parcel may provide a strong reason for refusing or restricting development within it. Such locations can only be provisionally defined as grey belt land until further relevant and necessary specialist assessment work has been undertaken.