

Grange Moor




- Grange Moor parcel
- Neighbouring parcel
- Kirklees
- Neighbouring authority
- Green Belt

NPPF Footnote 7 designations

- Listed building
- Ancient woodland
- High risk of surface water flooding




Grange Moor




Grange Moor parcel
Neighbouring parcel
Kirklees
Neighbouring authority
Green Belt

Highest Contribution to NPPF purposes A, B, C and D

Very Strong
Strong
Moderate
Weak/no



Grange Moor



Grange Moor parcel

Neighbouring parcel

Kirklees


Neighbouring authority

Green Belt


Potential grey belt

Potentially suitable for definition as grey belt land in the district

Footnote 7 designation



Parcel GM1





Parcel GM1 | Neighbouring parcel | Green Belt

NPPF Footnote 7 designations

- Listed building
- Flood zone 2
- Flood zone 3
- High risk of surface water flooding

Parcel GM1

Contribution of land in Parcel GM1

Parcel GM1 Assessment Conclusions

Purpose A	Purpose B	Purpose C	Purpose D	Purpose E	Grey Belt
Weak/No	Weak/No	Strong	Weak/No	Equal	Yes

Parcel GM1 Description

Parcel Location, Land Uses and Boundaries
Land to the northern edge of Grange Moor, east of Fallhouse Beck. Parcel size: 7ha.
There are no significant boundary features to separate the parcel from the settlement.
There is no significant change in landform to create a sense of separation between the settlement and the parcel. While the land is sloping to the north-west, this is not distinct to the urban edge.
There is some perception of urban development outside of the parcel.
There is no significant urbanising development or activity in the Green Belt affecting this parcel.
There is a strong perception of the wider countryside.

Purpose A: Checking the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is the parcel adjacent or near to a large built-up area?	The parcel is not adjacent or near enough to a large built-up area to contribute to preventing its sprawl.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	N/A
Are there physical features in reasonable proximity that could restrict and contain development?	N/A
Would development form an incongruous pattern in relation to the large built-up area?	N/A

Parcel GM1

Purpose B: Preventing neighbouring towns from merging:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Does the parcel lie in a gap between towns?	The parcel does not lie in a gap between towns that are close enough to be considered neighbouring.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
Does the parcel form a substantial, small or very small part of the gap?	N/A
Would development result in loss of visual separation between towns?	N/A

Purpose C: Assisting in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment:

Strong contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is land within the parcel countryside?	The parcel is part of the countryside and so contributes to preventing encroachment on it.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	The parcel is predominantly free from urbanising development.
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	There is some urbanising influence associated with development outside of the parcel.
Would development impact adjacent Green Belt land?	Development in the parcel would significantly increase the urbanising influence on adjacent open land.

Purpose D: Preserving the setting and special character of historic towns:

Weak/No contribution

No settlements in Kirklees are considered to be historic towns to which surrounding Green Belt land contributes special character

Parcel GM1

Purpose E – Assisting in urban regeneration:


Equal contribution

All Green Belt land plays an equal role in relation to this purpose.

Grey Belt

The parcel does not make a strong contribution to the Green Belt purposes A, B or D and therefore has the potential to be grey belt land. NPPF footnote 7 areas and assets within or connected to the parcel may provide a strong reason for refusing or restricting development within it. Such locations can only be provisionally defined as grey belt land until further relevant and necessary specialist assessment work has been undertaken.

Parcel GM2



NPPF Footnote 7 designations

- Flood zone 3
- Flood zone 2
- High risk of surface water flooding

Parcel GM2

Contribution of land in Parcel GM2

Parcel GM2 Assessment Conclusions

Purpose A	Purpose B	Purpose C	Purpose D	Purpose E	Grey Belt
Weak/No	Weak/No	Strong	Weak/No	Equal	Yes

Parcel GM2 Description

Parcel Location, Land Uses and Boundaries
Land on the northern edge of Grange Moor between Fallhouse Beck and Briestfield Beck. Parcel size: 6ha. There are no significant boundary features to separate the parcel from the settlement. There is some change in landform which creates a sense of separation between the settlement and the parcel. There is a weak perception of urban development outside of the parcel. There is no significant urbanising development or activity in the Green Belt affecting this parcel. While there are a number of dwellings on Briestfield Road, these have a low level of influence. There is a strong perception of the wider countryside from the west side of the parcel due to long views to the west. Natural features limit perception of the wider countryside from the east side of the parcel due to tree cover and landform.

Purpose A: Checking the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is the parcel adjacent or near to a large built-up area?	The parcel is not adjacent or near enough to a large built-up area to contribute to preventing its sprawl.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	N/A
Are there physical features in reasonable proximity that could restrict and contain development?	N/A
Would development form an incongruous pattern in relation to the large built-up area?	N/A

Parcel GM2

Purpose B: Preventing neighbouring towns from merging:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Does the parcel lie in a gap between towns?	The parcel does not lie in a gap between towns that are close enough to be considered neighbouring.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
Does the parcel form a substantial, small or very small part of the gap?	N/A
Would development result in loss of visual separation between towns?	N/A

Purpose C: Assisting in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment:

Strong contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is land within the parcel countryside?	The parcel is part of the countryside and so contributes to preventing encroachment on it.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	The parcel is predominantly free from urbanising development.
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	The parcel is free from urbanising influences associated with development outside the parcel.
Would development impact adjacent Green Belt land?	Development in the parcel would significantly increase the urbanising influence on adjacent open land.

Purpose D: Preserving the setting and special character of historic towns:

Weak/No contribution

No settlements in Kirklees are considered to be historic towns to which surrounding Green Belt land contributes special character

Parcel GM2

Purpose E – Assisting in urban regeneration:


Equal contribution


All Green Belt land plays an equal role in relation to this purpose.

Grey Belt

The parcel does not make a strong contribution to the Green Belt purposes A, B or D and therefore has the potential to be grey belt land. NPPF footnote 7 areas and assets within or connected to the parcel may provide a strong reason for refusing or restricting development within it. Such locations can only be provisionally defined as grey belt land until further relevant and necessary specialist assessment work has been undertaken.

Parcel GM3





Parcel GM3 | Neighbouring parcel | Green Belt

NPPF Footnote 7 designations

- Listed building
- Flood zone 2
- Flood zone 3
- High risk of surface water flooding

Contribution of land in Parcel GM3

Parcel GM3 Assessment Conclusions

Purpose A	Purpose B	Purpose C	Purpose D	Purpose E	Grey Belt
Weak/No	Weak/No	Strong	Weak/No	Equal	Yes

Parcel GM3 Description

Parcel Location, Land Uses and Boundaries
Land to the north east of Grange Moor, to the east of Briestfield Beck. Parcel size: 7ha.
There is a consistent, well-defined boundary between the settlement and the parcel, the Briestfield Beck and associated woodland.
There is no significant change in landform to create a sense of separation between the settlement and the parcel.
There is a weak perception of urban development outside of the parcel.
There is no significant urbanising development or activity in the Green Belt affecting this parcel.
There is a strong perception of the wider countryside due to the landform slope which provides wide views to the north east.

Purpose A: Checking the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is the parcel adjacent or near to a large built-up area?	The parcel is not adjacent or near enough to a large built-up area to contribute to preventing its sprawl.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	N/A
Are there physical features in reasonable proximity that could restrict and contain development?	N/A
Would development form an incongruous pattern in relation to the large built-up area?	N/A

Parcel GM3

Purpose B: Preventing neighbouring towns from merging:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Does the parcel lie in a gap between towns?	The parcel does not lie in a gap between towns that are close enough to be considered neighbouring.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
Does the parcel form a substantial, small or very small part of the gap?	N/A
Would development result in loss of visual separation between towns?	N/A

Purpose C: Assisting in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment:

Strong contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is land within the parcel countryside?	The parcel is part of the countryside and so contributes to preventing encroachment on it.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	The parcel is free from urbanising development.
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	The parcel is predominantly free from urbanising influences associated with development outside the parcel.
Would development impact adjacent Green Belt land?	Development in the parcel would significantly increase the urbanising influence on adjacent open land.

Purpose D: Preserving the setting and special character of historic towns:

Weak/No contribution

No settlements in Kirklees are considered to be historic towns to which surrounding Green Belt land contributes special character

Parcel GM3

Purpose E – Assisting in urban regeneration:


Equal contribution


All Green Belt land plays an equal role in relation to this purpose.

Grey Belt

The parcel does not make a strong contribution to the Green Belt purposes A, B or D and therefore has the potential to be grey belt land. NPPF footnote 7 areas and assets within or connected to the parcel may provide a strong reason for refusing or restricting development within it. Such locations can only be provisionally defined as grey belt land until further relevant and necessary specialist assessment work has been undertaken.

Parcel GM4





Parcel GM4 | Neighbouring parcel | Green Belt

NPPF Footnote 7 designations

- Listed building
- Ancient woodland
- Flood zone 3
- Flood zone 2
- High risk of surface water flooding

Parcel GM4

Contribution of land in Parcel GM4

Parcel GM4 Assessment Conclusions

Purpose A	Purpose B	Purpose C	Purpose D	Purpose E	Grey Belt
Weak/No	Weak/No	Strong	Weak/No	Equal	Yes

Parcel GM4 Description

Parcel Location, Land Uses and Boundaries
Land to the eastern edge of Grange Moor. Parcel size: 3ha. There are no significant boundary features to separate the parcel from the settlement. There is no significant change in landform to create a sense of separation between the settlement and the parcel. There is some perception of urban development outside of the parcel. There is no significant urbanising development or activity in the Green Belt affecting this parcel. Although the parcel contains residential dwellings, these are associated with agricultural practices. Natural features limit perception of the wider countryside. Brown Hill limits views to the north and east.

Purpose A: Checking the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is the parcel adjacent or near to a large built-up area?	The parcel is not adjacent or near enough to a large built-up area to contribute to preventing its sprawl.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	N/A
Are there physical features in reasonable proximity that could restrict and contain development?	N/A
Would development form an incongruous pattern in relation to the large built-up area?	N/A

Parcel GM4

Purpose B: Preventing neighbouring towns from merging:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Does the parcel lie in a gap between towns?	The parcel does not lie in a gap between towns that are close enough to be considered neighbouring.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
Does the parcel form a substantial, small or very small part of the gap?	N/A
Would development result in loss of visual separation between towns?	N/A

Purpose C: Assisting in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment:

Strong contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is land within the parcel countryside?	The parcel is part of the countryside and so contributes to preventing encroachment on it.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	The parcel is predominantly free from urbanising development. The parcel contains residential dwellings, but these are associated with agricultural practices.
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	There is some urbanising influence associated with development outside of the parcel.
Would development impact adjacent Green Belt land?	Development in the parcel would significantly increase the urbanising influence on adjacent open land.

Purpose D: Preserving the setting and special character of historic towns:

Weak/No contribution

No settlements in Kirklees are considered to be historic towns to which surrounding Green Belt land contributes special character

Parcel GM4

Purpose E – Assisting in urban regeneration:


Equal contribution


All Green Belt land plays an equal role in relation to this purpose.

Grey Belt

The parcel does not make a strong contribution to the Green Belt purposes A, B or D and therefore has the potential to be grey belt land. NPPF footnote 7 areas and assets within or connected to the parcel may provide a strong reason for refusing or restricting development within it. Such locations can only be provisionally defined as grey belt land until further relevant and necessary specialist assessment work has been undertaken.

Parcel GM5





Parcel GM5 | Neighbouring parcel | Green Belt

NPPF Footnote 7 designations

- Listed building
- Ancient woodland
- Flood zone 3
- Flood zone 2
- High risk of surface water flooding

Parcel GM5

Contribution of land in Parcel GM5

Parcel GM5 Assessment Conclusions

Purpose A	Purpose B	Purpose C	Purpose D	Purpose E	Grey Belt
Weak/No	Weak/No	Strong	Weak/No	Equal	Yes

Parcel GM5 Description

Parcel Location, Land Uses and Boundaries
The land on the eastern and southern edges of Grange Moor, to the south of the A642 and east and west of the A637. Parcel size: 44ha. To the south of Grange Moor, there is a combination of features creating a strong boundary between the settlement and the parcel, Wakefield Road and the woodland area. To the east of Grange Moor there is a consistent, permanent boundary feature, Ben Booth Lane and the associated mature tree line. The parcel includes varied landform change. To the south of the A642, there is some change in landform which creates a sense of separation between the settlement and the parcel and to the east, Brown Hill creates some change in landform which creates a clear sense of separation. There is a weak perception of urban development outside of the parcel to the south of the A642. But there is some perception of urban development outside of the parcel from Brown Hill. There is no significant urbanising development or activity in the Green Belt affecting this parcel. There is a strong perception of the wider countryside.

Purpose A: Checking the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is the parcel adjacent or near to a large built-up area?	The parcel is not adjacent or near enough to a large built-up area to contribute to preventing its sprawl.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	N/A
Are there physical features in reasonable proximity that could restrict and contain development?	N/A

Parcel GM5

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Would development form an incongruous pattern in relation to the large built-up area?	N/A

Purpose B: Preventing neighbouring towns from merging:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Does the parcel lie in a gap between towns?	The parcel does not lie in a gap between towns that are close enough to be considered neighbouring.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
Does the parcel form a substantial, small or very small part of the gap?	N/A
Would development result in loss of visual separation between towns?	N/A

Purpose C: Assisting in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment:

Strong contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is land within the parcel countryside?	The parcel is part of the countryside and so contributes to preventing encroachment on it.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	The parcel is predominantly free from urbanising development.
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	The parcel is predominantly free from urbanising influences associated with development outside the parcel. Although there is some perception of urbanising influences from Brown Hill.
Would development impact adjacent Green Belt land?	Development in the parcel would significantly increase the urbanising influence on adjacent open land.

Parcel GM5

Purpose D: Preserving the setting and special character of historic towns:

Weak/No contribution

No settlements in Kirklees are considered to be historic towns to which surrounding Green Belt land contributes special character

Purpose E – Assisting in urban regeneration:


Equal contribution

All Green Belt land plays an equal role in relation to this purpose.

Grey Belt

The parcel does not make a strong contribution to the Green Belt purposes A, B or D and therefore has the potential to be grey belt land. NPPF footnote 7 areas and assets within or connected to the parcel may provide a strong reason for refusing or restricting development within it. Such locations can only be provisionally defined as grey belt land until further relevant and necessary specialist assessment work has been undertaken.

Parcel GM6





Parcel GM6 | Neighbouring parcel | Green Belt

NPPF Footnote 7 designations

- Listed building
- Ancient woodland
- Flood zone 3
- Flood zone 2
- High risk of surface water flooding

Contribution of land in Parcel GM6

Parcel GM6 Assessment Conclusions

Purpose A	Purpose B	Purpose C	Purpose D	Purpose E	Grey Belt
Weak/No	Weak/No	Strong	Weak/No	Equal	Yes

Parcel GM6 Description

Parcel Location, Land Uses and Boundaries
Land located to the south-west corner of Grange Moor, south-west of the junction of the A642 and A637. Parcel size: 4ha. There is a moderate boundary feature between the settlement and the parcel, the A642. There is no significant change in landform to create a sense of separation between the settlement and the parcel. There is a weak perception of urban development outside of the parcel. There is significant urbanising influence associated with development, land use and activity in the Green Belt. Urbanising development limits perception of the wider countryside.

Purpose A: Checking the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is the parcel adjacent or near to a large built-up area?	The parcel is not adjacent or near enough to a large built-up area to contribute to preventing its sprawl.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	N/A
Are there physical features in reasonable proximity that could restrict and contain development?	N/A
Would development form an incongruous pattern in relation to the large built-up area?	N/A

Parcel GM6

Purpose B: Preventing neighbouring towns from merging:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Does the parcel lie in a gap between towns?	The parcel does not lie in a gap between towns that are close enough to be considered neighbouring.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
Does the parcel form a substantial, small or very small part of the gap?	N/A
Would development result in loss of visual separation between towns?	N/A

Purpose C: Assisting in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment:

Strong contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is land within the parcel countryside?	The parcel is part of the countryside and so contributes to preventing encroachment on it.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	There is substantial urbanising development in the parcel. The parcel contains the Blacksmiths Arms Pub, residential dwellings, and commercial buildings.
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	The parcel is predominantly free from urbanising influences associated with development outside the parcel. There is minor urbanising influence from the LPW Fleetsales business.
Would development impact adjacent Green Belt land?	Development in the parcel would significantly increase the urbanising influence on adjacent open land.

Purpose D: Preserving the setting and special character of historic towns:

Weak/No contribution

No settlements in Kirklees are considered to be historic towns to which surrounding Green Belt land contributes special character

Parcel GM6

Purpose E – Assisting in urban regeneration:


Equal contribution

All Green Belt land plays an equal role in relation to this purpose.

Grey Belt

The parcel does not make a strong contribution to the Green Belt purposes A, B or D and therefore has the potential to be grey belt land. NPPF footnote 7 areas and assets within or connected to the parcel may provide a strong reason for refusing or restricting development within it. Such locations can only be provisionally defined as grey belt land until further relevant and necessary specialist assessment work has been undertaken.

Parcel GM7





Parcel GM7 | Neighbouring parcel | Green Belt

NPPF Footnote 7 designations

- Listed building
- Ancient woodland
- Flood zone 3
- Flood zone 2
- High risk of surface water flooding

Parcel GM7

Contribution of land in Parcel GM7

Parcel GM7 Assessment Conclusions

Purpose A	Purpose B	Purpose C	Purpose D	Purpose E	Grey Belt
Weak/No	Weak/No	Strong	Weak/No	Equal	Yes

Parcel GM7 Description

Parcel Location, Land Uses and Boundaries
Land to the western edge of Grange Moor. Parcel size: 8ha. A combination of features, the B6118, the strong hedgerow and wooded areas, form a boundary of moderate strength between the settlement and the parcel. While there is no significant change in landform to create a sense of separation in the southern half of the parcel, there is some change in landform in the north which creates a sense of separation between the settlement and the parcel. There is some perception of urban development outside of the parcel. Land use associated with St Bartholomew's Church and Grange Moor Saints FC sports pitches creates some association with the urban area but there is little urbanising activity in the parcel. Natural features limit perception of the wider countryside. The flat landform and wooded areas limit surrounding views.

Purpose A: Checking the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is the parcel adjacent or near to a large built-up area?	The parcel is not adjacent or near enough to a large built-up area to contribute to preventing its sprawl.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	N/A
Are there physical features in reasonable proximity that could restrict and contain development?	N/A
Would development form an incongruous pattern in relation to the large built-up area?	N/A

Parcel GM7

Purpose B: Preventing neighbouring towns from merging:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Does the parcel lie in a gap between towns?	The parcel does not lie in a gap between towns that are close enough to be considered neighbouring.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
Does the parcel form a substantial, small or very small part of the gap?	N/A
Would development result in loss of visual separation between towns?	N/A

Purpose C: Assisting in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment:

Strong contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is land within the parcel countryside?	The parcel is part of the countryside and so contributes to preventing encroachment on it.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	The parcel is predominantly free from urbanising development. Land use associated with St Bartholomew's Church and Grange Moor Saints FC sports pitches means the parcel is not entirely free from urbanising development.
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	There is some urbanising influence associated with development outside of the parcel.
Would development impact adjacent Green Belt land?	Development in the parcel would significantly increase the urbanising influence on adjacent open land.

Purpose D: Preserving the setting and special character of historic towns:

Weak/No contribution

No settlements in Kirklees are considered to be historic towns to which surrounding Green Belt land contributes special character

Parcel GM7

Purpose E – Assisting in urban regeneration:


Equal contribution

All Green Belt land plays an equal role in relation to this purpose.

Grey Belt

The parcel does not make a strong contribution to the Green Belt purposes A, B or D and therefore has the potential to be grey belt land. NPPF footnote 7 areas and assets within or connected to the parcel may provide a strong reason for refusing or restricting development within it. Such locations can only be provisionally defined as grey belt land until further relevant and necessary specialist assessment work has been undertaken.

Parcel GM8



Parcel GM8 | Neighbouring parcel | Green Belt

NPPF Footnote 7 designations

- Listed building
- Ancient woodland
- Flood zone 3
- Flood zone 2
- High risk of surface water flooding

Contribution of land in Parcel GM8

Parcel GM8 Assessment Conclusions

Purpose A	Purpose B	Purpose C	Purpose D	Purpose E	Grey Belt
Weak/No	Weak/No	Strong	Weak/No	Equal	Yes

Parcel GM8 Description

Parcel Location, Land Uses and Boundaries
Land located to the west and north-western edge of Grange Moor. Parcel size: 29ha.
To the south of the B6118, the slope and road create a combination of features that form a boundary of moderate strength between the settlement and the parcel. In the north of the parcel, Fallhouse Beck and tree cover form a moderate boundary feature between the settlement and the parcel
There is some change in landform which creates a sense of separation between the settlement and the parcel.
There is some perception of urban development outside of the parcel in the south part of the parcel which changes to a weak perception of urban development outside of the Green Belt, north of the B6118.
There is no significant urbanising development or activity in the Green Belt affecting this parcel.
There is a strong perception of the wider countryside.

Purpose A: Checking the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is the parcel adjacent or near to a large built-up area?	The parcel is not adjacent or near enough to a large built-up area to contribute to preventing its sprawl.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	N/A
Are there physical features in reasonable proximity that could restrict and contain development?	N/A

Parcel GM8

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Would development form an incongruous pattern in relation to the large built-up area?	N/A

Purpose B: Preventing neighbouring towns from merging:

Weak/No contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Does the parcel lie in a gap between towns?	The parcel does not lie in a gap between towns that are close enough to be considered neighbouring.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	N/A
Does the parcel form a substantial, small or very small part of the gap?	N/A
Would development result in loss of visual separation between towns?	N/A

Purpose C: Assisting in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment:

Strong contribution

Assessment Considerations	Assessment
Is land within the parcel countryside?	The parcel is part of the countryside and so contributes to preventing encroachment on it.
What is the extent of urbanising development in the parcel?	The parcel is free from urbanising development.
What is the extent of urbanising influence from outside the parcel?	The parcel is predominantly free from urbanising influences associated with development outside the parcel.
Would development impact adjacent Green Belt land?	Development in the parcel would significantly increase the urbanising influence on adjacent open land.

Parcel GM8

Purpose D: Preserving the setting and special character of historic towns:

Weak/No contribution

No settlements in Kirklees are considered to be historic towns to which surrounding Green Belt land contributes special character

Purpose E – Assisting in urban regeneration:

Equal contribution

All Green Belt land plays an equal role in relation to this purpose.

Grey Belt

The parcel does not make a strong contribution to the Green Belt purposes A, B or D and therefore has the potential to be grey belt land. NPPF footnote 7 areas and assets within or connected to the parcel may provide a strong reason for refusing or restricting development within it. Such locations can only be provisionally defined as grey belt land until further relevant and necessary specialist assessment work has been undertaken.