DC Admin

From:
Sent: 27 October 2026 13:24
To: Joshua Merriman

Subject: Re: Objection – 2026/92702 – Lindley Bowling Club car park – recent refusals of similar Cornerstone masts

Dear Mr Merriman,

2026/92702 – Lindley Bowling Club car park – recent refusals of similar Cornerstone masts

Further to our objection of 6 October, we would like to draw your attention to two recent decisions by Leeds City Council on similar Cornerstone proposals. We appreciate that these are not binding on Kirklees, but we believe they are relevant to the planning balance in this case.

Cardigan Road, Burley (Leeds ref: 26/05361/DTM): refused Monday 26 October. This was a prior approval application for a 20m mast, smaller than the 22.5m proposed here. The officer found that its siting and appearance would make it an unduly prominent and incongruous feature, harmful to the character of the conservation area and the streetscene. The officer also found that less harmful alternative sites were likely to be available within the search area.

Fearnville Leisure Centre, Gipton (Leeds ref: 26/04732/DTM): refused in October and reported in the local press. Cornerstone proposed a 25m mast to replace an existing rooftop installation that was due to be removed and warned of loss of coverage if it was refused. The Council still found the mast visually intrusive and concluded that the benefit did not outweigh the harm.

Both points apply here. The Lindley mast would stand about 40m from the Edgerton Conservation Area and about 17.8m from the primary window of our bedroom. The applicant has not shown that upgrading the existing installation at Lindley Liberal Club, or another less harmful site, is unavailable.

We would ask that these decisions are considered. Our offer of a site visit from our property still stands.

Yours sincerely,