

KIRKLEES METROPOLITAN COUNCIL INVESTMENT & REGENERATION SERVICE

DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT

**Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England)
Order 2015 - Schedule 2, Part 1, Class A.1 (g) Condition A.4**

DELEGATED DECISION FOR DISCHARGE OF CONDITION A.4 - NOTIFICATION OF A PROPOSED ENLARGEMENT TO DWELLINGHOUSE

Reference no.	2026/HH/92131/W
Site Address	24, Rafborn Grove, Salendine Nook, Huddersfield, HD3 3UB
Description	The proposal is for erection of single storey rear extension. The extension projects 4m beyond the rear wall of the original dwellinghouse. The maximum height of the extension is 4m, the height of the eaves of the extension is 2.85m
Recommending Officer	Ellen Gilbert

DECISION – PRIOR APPROVAL NOT REQUIRED

I hereby authorise the approval of this application for the reasons set out in the officer's report and recommendation annexed below in respect of the above matter.

John Holmes

AUTHORISED OFFICER

Date: 10-Sep-2026

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

DISCHARGE OF CONDITION A.4 , SCHEDULE 2, PART 1, CLASS A GENERAL PERMITTED DEVELOPMENT ORDER

1. Procedural Matters

Prior notifications for the erection of single storey rear extensions to dwellings are considered against the requirements as set out by the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development)(England) Order 2015 (as amended) Schedule 2, Part 1, Class A, condition A.4.

Proposals pursuant to Class A are permitted subject to limitations set out in paragraph A.1 and in the case of Class A.1 (g) subject to the discharge of condition A.4. This proposal relates to development pursuant to Class A where condition A.4 is engaged and for the purposes of this assessment only the limitations for Class A.1 (g) and requirements condition A.4 are considered. Other limitations within Class A are not considered other than where, in the opinion of the Local Planning Authority, the development does not comply with the limitations within Class A of the Order. Ultimately the responsibility to ensure the development is carried out in full accordance with any planning permission rests with the applicant or property owner/occupier.

Limitations for Class A.1(g) Development

Is the site within a Conservation Area, Article 4 or SSI area?	No
Is any part of the proposal clearly outside the curtilage of the dwellinghouse?	No
If the house is detached and from the information submitted, does the extension extend more than 8 metres from the rear of the original dwellinghouse?	N/A
If the house is not detached and from the information submitted, does the extension extend more than 6 metres from the rear of the original dwellinghouse?	No
Does the proposal exceed 4 metres in height?	No
Have permitted development rights been removed from the property?	No

Condition A.4

As part of the notification procedure, the Local Planning Authority notify owners or occupiers of adjacent premises of the proposed development by serving notice and allowing 21 days for objections to be made. The Local Authority shall take into account any representations made as a result of the notice given.

Consultation start date : 31st July 2026

Consultation end date : 21st August 2026


1. Objections

1.1 Summary of representations: -None received

2. Assessment

The property comprises a two-storey, semi-detached dwelling house. The applicant is submitting prior notice for the construction of a single-storey rear extension. The proposed sits within the curtilage of the existing dwelling and more than half of the amenity space is retained. The proposed extension projects approximately 4.1 metres from the rear elevation and has a proposed height of 3.6 metres.

The planning history of the site is noted, in particular application 2019/91951 which granter permission for 'Erection of first floor extension to side and conversion of existing garage to living accommodation'. This appears to have been constructed. From review of the 1955 OS map, the dwelling is not constructed. Upon the 1965 maps the dwelling appears to have the same footprint as shown on the submitted plans:



1965 OS Map.

On balance, it appears the proposal would project from an original rear wall. Therefore it is considered the development would meet the requirements of permitted development legislation.

No requirement to assess amenity as no representations have been received.

3. Recommendation

Prior Approval is not required.

Report Dated:

08/09/2026
