

KIRKLEES METROPOLITAN COUNCIL INVESTMENT & REGENERATION SERVICE

DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT

Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended) – SECTION 70

DELEGATED DECISION TO DETERMINE PLANNING APPLICATIONS

Reference No:	2026/62/91589/W
Site Address:	11, Regent Road, Kirkheaton, Huddersfield, HD5 0LW
Description:	Erection of raised decking area
Recommending Officer:	Jennifer Booth

DECISION - FULL CONDITIONAL PERMISSION

I hereby authorise the approval of this application for the reasons set out in the officer's report and recommendation annexed below in respect of the above matter.

Kerri Simpson

AUTHORISED OFFICER

Date: 27-Oct-2026

OFFICER REPORT

Site Description

11 Regent Road is a brick built bungalow with a lower level garden at the rear and parking at the front.

The surrounding area is residential with properties of a similar age and original style although these have a number of extensions and alterations.

Description of Proposal

The applicant is seeking permission for a raised balcony to the rear.

The platform would be 1.6m above the ground level with a projection of 2.7m and a width of 3.9m. The deck would be of timber construction with a timber balustrade at a height of 1m for the most part although the plans detail a privacy screen at a height of circa 1.5m.

Relevant Planning History

None

Representations

The application was advertised by site notice, which expired on 17/08/2026

As a result of the above publicity, one representation has been received. The concerns raised relate to overlooking of the adjoining property.

Kirkburton Parish Council – No comment.

Consultation Responses

None

Policy

Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 requires that planning applications are determined in accordance with the Development Plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise. The statutory Development Plan for Kirklees is the Local Plan (adopted 27th February 2019).

The site is UNALLOCATED on the Kirklees Local Plan Proposals Map

On 12th November 2019, the Council adopted a target for achieving 'net zero' carbon emissions by 2038, with an accompanying carbon budget set by the Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research. National Planning Policy includes a requirement to promote carbon reduction and enhance resilience to

climate change through the planning system and these principles have been incorporated into the formulation of Local Plan policies. The Local Plan predates the declaration of a climate emergency and the net zero carbon target; however, it includes a series of policies which are used to assess the suitability of planning applications in the context of climate change. When determining planning applications, the Council will use the relevant Local Plan policies and guidance documents to embed the climate change agenda.

Kirklees Local Plan Policies

- **LP 1** – Achieving sustainable development
- **LP 2** – Place shaping
- **LP 22** – Parking
- **LP 24** - Design

Kirklees Council adopted supplementary planning guidance on house extensions on 29th June 2021 which now carries full weight in decision making. This guidance indicates how the Council will usually interpret its policies regarding such built development, although the general thrust of the advice is aligned with both the Kirklees Local Plan (KLP) and the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), requiring development to be considerate in terms of the character of the host property and the wider street scene. As such, it is anticipated that this SPD will assist with ensuring enhanced consistency in both approach and outcomes relating to house extensions.

National Policies and Guidance:

National planning policy and guidance is set out in National Policy Statements, primarily the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) published August 2026, the Planning Practice Guidance Suite (PPGS) first launched 6th March 2014 together with Circulars, Ministerial Statements and associated technical guidance.

The NPPF constitutes guidance for local planning authorities and is a material consideration in determining applications.

- Chapter 4 – Achieving sustainable development (S3: Presumption in favour of sustainable development)
- Chapter 5 – Meeting the challenge of climate change (CC2: mitigation of climate change & CC3: Adaption to climate change)
- Chapter 14 – Achieving well-designed places (DP3: Key principles for well-designed places & DP4: The design process)
- Chapter 17 – Pollution, public protection and security (P2: Ground conditions & P3: Living conditions and pollution)
- Chapter 18 – Managing flood risk and coastal change (F7: Ensuring development is safe from flooding)

Assessment

Principle of development:

The site is without notation on the Kirklees Local Plan (KLP). Policy LP1 of the KLP states that when considering development proposals, the Council will take a positive approach that reflects the presumption in favour of sustainable development contained in the NPPF. In terms of extending and making alterations to a property, Policy LP24 of the KLP is relevant, in conjunction with the House Extensions & Alterations SPD and Chapter 12 of the NPPF, regarding design. In this case, the principle of development is considered acceptable, and the proposal shall now be assessed against all other material planning considerations, including visual and residential amenity, as well as highway safety.

Impact on visual amenity:

Key Design Principle 1 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD does state that extensions and alterations to residential properties should be in keeping with the appearance, scale, design and local character of the area and the street scene. Furthermore, Key Design Principle 2 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD goes on to state that extensions should not dominate or be larger than the original house and should be in keeping with the existing building in terms of scale, materials and details.

Whilst the House Extensions and Alterations SPD does not contain specific guidance relating to raised decking, the proposal has been assessed against the SPD's wider design and residential amenity principles, particularly those relating to the protection of neighbouring amenity and privacy. Given the height and position of the deck, consideration has been given to the potential for overlooking and visual intrusion arising from its use.

The proposed raised decking, by virtue of its timber construction and domestic appearance, would form an appropriate addition that maintains a satisfactory visual relationship with the host dwelling. The scale and design of the structure are considered proportionate to the property and would not appear unduly prominent or incongruous within the garden setting. Furthermore, sufficient private amenity space would remain available for the occupants of the dwelling following the development. As such, the proposal is considered to preserve the character and appearance of the site and would not result in any significant harm to the visual amenity of the host property or the surrounding area.

Having taken the above into account, the proposals would not cause any significant harm to the visual amenity of either the host dwelling or the wider street scene, complying with Policy LP24 of the Kirklees Local Plan (a) in terms of the form, scale and layout and (c) as the extension would form a subservient addition to the property in keeping with the existing building, KDP 1 & 2 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD and the aims of chapter 14 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Impact on residential amenity:

Consideration in relation to the impact on the residential amenity of neighbouring occupants shall now be set out, taking into account policy LP24 c), which sets out that proposals should promote good design by, amongst other things, extensions minimising impact on residential amenity of future and neighbouring occupiers. The House Extensions & Alterations SPD goes into further detail with respect to Key Design Principle 3 on privacy, Key Design Principle 5 on overshadowing/loss of light and Key Design Principle 6 on preventing overbearing impact.

Impact on 9 Regent Road

The adjacent neighbour has recently constructed their own rear extension. As such, there would be no overshadowing or overbearing. There would be limited potential for overlooking given the spatial relationship.

With regards to the impact on the adjacent 9 Regent Road, the scheme has been considered in terms of KDP3 – privacy, KDP5 – overshadowing and KDP 6 – overbearing impact of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD, policy LP24 of the KLP c) in term of minimising impact on neighbouring occupiers and advice within chapter 14 of the NPPF and the proposals are considered to be acceptable.

Impact on 13 Regent Road

The adjoining property has its own single-storey rear extension, alongside which the decking would be positioned. Given this relationship, the proposal would not result in any undue overshadowing or overbearing impact. The decking would include an approximately 1.5 metre high screen adjacent to the shared boundary, which is considered to be of an appropriate height and length to mitigate immediate overlooking of the neighbouring amenity space. While part of the decking would have a lower timber balustrade, this would be positioned away from the shared boundary, and views towards the neighbouring amenity space from this area would be limited.

With regards to the impact on the adjoining 13 Regent Road, the scheme has been considered in terms of KDP3 – privacy, KDP5 – overshadowing and KDP 6 – overbearing impact of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD, policy LP24 of the KLP c) in term of minimising impact on neighbouring occupiers and advice within chapter 14 of the NPPF and the proposals are considered to be acceptable.

Impact on 27 & 29 Heaton Drive

The neighbouring properties to the rear are situated some 37m from the host property. Given the separation distance together with the topography, the decking would not result in any material overlooking, overshadowing or overbearing.

With regards to the impact on the neighbouring 27 & 29 Heaton Drive, the scheme has been considered in terms of KDP3 – privacy, KDP5 – overshadowing and KDP 6 – overbearing impact of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD, policy LP24 of the KLP c) in term of minimising impact on neighbouring occupiers and advice within chapter 14 of the NPPF and the proposals are considered to be acceptable.

Having considered the above factors, the proposals are not considered to result in any adverse impact upon the residential amenity of any surrounding neighbouring occupants, complying with Policy LP24 of the Kirklees Local Plan (b) in terms of the amenities of neighbouring properties, Key Design Principles 3, 5, 6 & 7 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD and Chapter 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Impact on highway safety:

The proposals will not result in any intensification of the domestic use. Bin storage for the dwelling would not be moved as part of the proposals. As such the scheme would not represent any additional harm in terms of highway safety and as such complies with Policy LP22 of the Kirklees Local Plan along with Key Design Principles 15 & 16 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD.

Other matters:

Carbon Budget

The proposal is a small scale domestic development to an existing dwelling. As such, no special measures were required in terms of the planning application with regards to carbon emissions. However, there are controls in terms of Building Regulations which will need to be adhered to as part of the construction process which will require compliance with national standards.

There are no other matters for consideration.

Representations:

One representation has been received. The concerns raised relate to overlooking of the adjoining property. **Response:** The concern regarding overlooking is a material planning consideration relating to residential amenity. Given the existing single-storey rear extension, the proposed boundary screening and the limited views available from the section with the lower timber balustrade, the proposal is not considered to result in any undue overlooking or loss of privacy to the adjoining occupiers.

Negotiations:

None

Proposed conditions

Along with the standard timescale condition, which is a requirement of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, it is considered appropriate to add the following conditions.

Accordance with the approved plans to ensure the development is carried out in line with the officer's assessment.

Conclusion:

This application for raised decking to the rear of 11 Regent Road has been assessed against relevant policies in the development plan as listed in the policy section of the report, the House Extensions & Alterations SPD, the National Planning Policy Framework and other material considerations. Given the acceptable design and lack of harm in terms of visual and residential amenity, the proposals are considered to be acceptable.

The NPPF has introduced a presumption in favour of sustainable development. The policies set out in the NPPF taken as a whole constitute the Government's view of what sustainable development means in practice.

As set out above, this application has been assessed against relevant policies in the development plan and other material considerations. It is considered that the development would constitute sustainable development and is therefore recommended for approval.

Recommendation

Approve

Decision Authorisation - Delegated Powers

Application Number: 2026/91589

Officer Recommendation: Approve

Conditions and Reasons

1. The development hereby permitted shall be begun within three years of the date of this permission.

Reason: Pursuant to the requirements of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

2. The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in complete accordance with the plans and specifications schedule listed in this decision notice, except as may be specified in the conditions attached to this permission, which shall in all cases take precedence.

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt as to what is being permitted and so as to ensure the satisfactory appearance of the development on completion, and to accord with Policy LP24 of the Kirklees Local Plan, Key Design Principles of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD and the aims of the National Planning Policy Framework.

NOTE: The granting of planning permission does not override any private legal rights or consents that may be required. It is the responsibility of the applicant / developer to ensure that all appropriate consents are in place prior to any development commencing; during the period of construction existing access for neighbouring properties is maintained; and no damage is caused to the access driveway or surrounding properties.

NOTE: To minimise noise disturbance at nearby premises it is generally recommended that activities relating to the erection, construction, alteration, repair or maintenance of buildings, structures or roads shall not take place outside the hours of:

07.30 and 18.30 hours, Mondays to Fridays

08.00 and 13.00hours, Saturdays

With no working Sundays or Public Holidays

In some cases, different site specific hours of operation may be appropriate. Under the Control of Pollution Act 1974, Section 60 Kirklees Environment and Transportation Services can control noise from construction sites by serving a notice. This notice can specify the hours during which the works may be carried out.

Plans and specifications schedule: -

Plan Type	Reference	Web ID	Date Received
Location plan	-	1143413	11/06/2026
Location plan	-	1146982	01/07/2026
Proposed site plan	-	1146983	01/07/2026
Proposed Decking Elevations	-	1162013	16/10/2026
3D Visuals	-	1162014	16/10/2026

Pursuant to article 35 (2) of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) Order 2015 and guidance in the National Planning Policy Framework, the Local Planning Authority have, where possible, made a pre-application advice service available, complied with the Kirklees Development Management Charter 2024 and otherwise actively engaged with the applicant in dealing with the application.

As the submitted plans were considered to be acceptable, no changes were sought.

Report Dated

20/10/2026
