

About the application

Application number: 2026/90484	
What is the application for?:	Change of use from dwelling to C2 for 4 children, erection of first floor extens
Address of the site or building:	143, Church Lane, Gomersal, Cleckheaton, BD19 4QN
Postcode:	LS27 7HB

User comments

Type of comment: An objection	
Do you wish your comments to be published on the website anonymously?	Yes
In line with the General Data Protection Regulations (GDPR) please remove my name, e-mail address, telephone number and signature prior to publication. Planning Objection – Application Ref: 2026/90484 To Whom It May Concern, I am writing to formally object to the above planning application. My objection is based on significant concerns regarding safety, land use conflict, and the impact this development would have on the adjoining agricultural land located to the rear of the site. Firstly, the proposed development sits directly adjacent to active farmland that is regularly used for agricultural operations, including the movement of large machinery and the management of livestock. Introducing residential properties in such close proximity creates an inherent safety risk. Farm vehicles often have restricted visibility and require wide access, making any increased interaction with residents, particularly in shared or nearby access areas, potentially hazardous. Secondly, there is a serious concern regarding the safety of children. It is highly likely	

Secondly, there is a serious concern regarding the safety of children. It is highly likely that children from the proposed development may gain access to the fields behind. This presents clear dangers, including the risk of children running into areas where heavy machinery is operating or encountering livestock, both of which pose significant and well-documented risks.

In addition, the open nature of the farmland raises concerns about trespassing and anti-social behaviour. The fields provide secluded areas that are difficult to supervise, increasing the likelihood of unauthorised access. This could lead to damage to fences, gates, and crops, as well as disturbance or harm to livestock, all of which would negatively impact the ongoing agricultural use of the land.

Furthermore, the proposal does not appear to include adequate measures to mitigate these risks. There is no clear indication of robust boundary treatments, secure fencing, or management plans that would prevent access to the farmland or ensure safe coexistence between residential use and agricultural operations.

Overall, the development is incompatible with the surrounding rural and agricultural environment. It fails to properly address the foreseeable risks to public safety, the protection of agricultural land, and the prevention of conflict between differing land uses.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that this planning application be refused.