Update 16/08/2026

Further to the additional documentation submitted by Bellway regarding the Planning Application 2025/93355 (land off Woodward Court) I which to make the following comments.

Making the exit from the development into Hepworth Lane exit only will not address the original issue that is that vehicle exiting the development will not be able to see vehicle approaching from the left until they are with in approx. 30m making this exit completely unsafe.
Please see attached photo that shows the view from the position of the proposed exit looking left on Hepworth Lane.

I have also included a copy of my original objection although I assume that this is still current as an objection to this application.

Ref Application 2025/93355

I wish to formally object to the application 2025/93355 (development Woodward Court) on the following grounds

a) The exist from the development onto Hepworth Lane is unsafe. Hepworth Lane in this area is only wide enough for one vehicle and there is no pavement. Hepworth Lane is well used by pedestrians especially children walking to and from school.
   Also traffic turning from the Development into Hepworth Lane will find it difficult to see clearly what (vehicle or pedestrians) are approaching from the East due to the curvature of the road.
b) The exit from the development into Wellhouse Lane is close (2 houses away) to a primary/junior school and hence the increase in traffic numbers here will increase the likelihood of a child being knocked down.
c) Both Hepworth Lane and Wellhouse Lane have sections where only one vehicle in one direction can travel at one time which is already an issue especially at busy times (i.e. school start and end times), this new development will only make things worse. Here again congestion leads to frustrated drives and this increase the risk to pedestrians.

d) Local infrastructure in already at breaking point with local NHS Dentists have waiting list of over 1year for new patients.
e) Build on these fields has previously be rejected on numerous occasion and this application offers no difference to those that have gone before. In fact it has even more houses, hence more traffic etc.
f) On the application under the title Biodiversity net gain a score of 13% has been quoted. I fail to see how this can be a true reflection when green fields are being replaced by a housing development. These green fields are used by Birds for feeding, foxes and Bats.