About the application

Application number: 2025/93355	
What is the application for?:	Erection of 75 residential dwellings with associated landscaping, open space, hi
Address of the site or building:	Land off, Woodward Court, Mirfield, WF14 0PY
Postcode:	LS15 8ZB

User comments

Type of comment: An objection	
Do you wish your comments to be published on the website anonymously?	Yes

object to planning application 2025/93355 on the following grounds:
Unsafe and Infeasible Access Route
The access route proposed in the application is not feasible or safe for its intended use. The design and access arrangements do not adequately demonstrate that vehicles and pedestrians can enter and exit the site without creating unacceptable hazards. In its current form, the access fails to meet reasonable safety standards and would likely increase the risk of accidents and conflicts between vehicles, pedestrians and cyclists. These concerns are not sufficiently addressed in the submitted documentation.
Kirklees Council
Impact on Public Rights of Way (Footpaths and Bridleways)
The application appears to incorporate existing public rights of way, including footpaths and bridleways, into the development footprint. Public rights of way are legally protected routes that provide access for members of the public for recreation and travel.
Kirklees Council
The proposals as currently shown would result in the loss or obstruction of at least two, and possibly three, established public routes. This raises significant legal issues, because changes to the Definitive Map and Statement of Public Rights of Way can only be made by a lawful order through the Council under the appropriate statutory procedures.
Kirklees Council
The planning documents do not demonstrate that any such orders have been sought or justified, nor do they show that alternative routes of equal convenience and quality have been properly planned and consulted on. This omission could result in the unlawful extinguishment or diversion of public rights of way without adequate legal basis or community consultation.
For these reasons, I respectfully request that the application be refused, or that the access arrangements and rights of way impacts are reconsidered and re-designed to comply with safety standards and statutory public rights of way requirements.