KIRKLEES METROPOLITAN COUNCIL
INVESTMENT & REGENERATION SERVICE

DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT

Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended) – SECTION 70

DELEGATED DECISION TO DETERMINE PLANNING APPLICATIONS

Reference No:	**2025/62/91812/W**
Site Address:	Spring House Farm, Wall Nook Lane, Cumberworth, Huddersfield, HD8 8YB
Description:	Erection of single storey rear extension, two storey side extension and associated alterations
Recommending Officer:	Joshua Merriman

DECISION – Full Conditional Permission

I hereby authorise the approval of this application for the reasons set out in the officer's report and recommendation annexed below in respect of the above matter.

Kirsty Nicholls

AUTHORISED OFFICER

Date: 27-Aug-2025

Officer Report – 2025/91812

Site Description

The application site refers to Spring House Farm, Wall Nook Lane, Cumberworth, Huddersfield, a two-storey detached property faced in natural stone, with a pitched stone slate roof, and uPVC and timber windows and doors. The application dwelling is not considered to significantly contribute to a street scene, as the application property is a standalone dwelling located a significant distance from any neighbouring buildings. Furthermore, the property benefits from amenity space to all four sides of the property, as well as a track road access from the adjacent highway and multiple surrounding fields.

Description of Proposal

The Scheme

The application is seeking planning permission for the erection of a single storey rear extension, a two storey side extension and associated alterations.

Supporting Information

In addition to the submitted plans the following documents have been submitted to support the application:

- Climate Change Statement

History of Negotiations / Amendments Received

No amendments have been requested by Officers.

Relevant Planning History

There is no relevant planning history for the site.

Representations

Publication of the application has been undertaken in accordance with the Council's Development Management Charter (July 2015).

The application has been publicised on the Council's website and by site notice. The expiry date of the publicity period was the 14/08/2025.

Letters of Support

The following summarized, objections have been received:

- Holme Valley Parish Council have expressed their support of the application.

Officer Comments: Noted.

- Denby Dale Parish Council have no objections to the application.

Officer Comments: Noted.

Consultation Responses

No consultations were deemed necessary for this application.

Allocation and Policy

The site is allocated Green Belt within the Kirklees Local Plan (adopted 2019).

The following legislation, policy and guidance is considered relevant to the determination of this application:-

Kirklees Local Plan

LP1 Achieving Sustainable Development
LP2 Place Shaping
LP21 Highway and Access
LP22 Parking
LP24 Design
LP57 The extension, alteration or replacement of existing buildings

Holme Valley Neighbourhood Development Plan

The Holme Valley Neighbourhood Development Plan was adopted on 8th December 2021 and therefore forms part of the Development Plan. Policies within the plan relevant to the consideration of this application are listed as follows:-

Policy 1 – Protecting and Enhancing the Landscape Character of Holme Valley.
Policy 2 – Protecting and Enhancing the Built Character of the Holme Valley and Promoting High Quality Design.

Policy 12 – Promoting Sustainability.
Policy 13 – Protecting Wildlife and Securing Biodiversity Net Gain.

The site is located within Landscape Character Area 3 (Hade Edge Upland Pastures) of the Holme Valley Neighbourhood Development Plan. The key characteristics of which are below:

- The open landscape has long distance views of the settled corridor of the River Holme and Kirklees district beyond as well as local views of open water bodies such as Boshaw Whams and Holme Styes.
- Stone boundary walls are common features creating a strong sense of visual unity.
- A network of Public Rights of Way (PRoW) follows local lanes or field boundaries. Minor roads and PRoW, including sections of the Kirklees Way and the Barnsley Boundary Walk long distance footpaths, connect farmsteads located on the valley sides. National Cycle Route no. 68 also passes through this area.

National Policies and Guidance

National planning policy and guidance is set out in National Policy Statements, primarily the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) published December 2024, the Planning Practice Guidance Suite (PPGS) first launched 6th March 2014 together with Circulars, Ministerial Statements and associated technical guidance.

The NPPF constitutes guidance for local planning authorities and is a material consideration in determining applications.

Chapter2 Achieving sustainable development
Chapter12 Achieving well-designed places
Chapter13 Protecting Green Belt land
Chapter14 Meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding and coastal change

Supplementary Planning Documents / guidance

Kirklees Highway Design Guide (adopted November 2019)
House Extensions & Alterations SPD (adopted June 2021)
Holme Valley Neighbourhood Development Plan – 2021
The Biodiversity Net Gain Technical Advice Note

Legislation

The Town & Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).
The Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 sets out that in considering planning applications the determination must be made in accordance with the plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise

Assessment

The following matters are considered in the assessment below –

1. Principle of development
1. Impact upon the character and appearance of the area
2. Impact upon residential amenity
3. Impact upon highway safety
4. Climate Change
5. Representations
6. Conclusion

1 – Principle of Development

Sustainable Development

NPPF Paragraph 11 and LP1 outline a presumption in favour of sustainable development. Paragraph 8 of the NPPF identifies the dimensions of sustainable development as economic, social and environmental (which includes design considerations). It states that these facets are mutually dependent and should not be undertaken in isolation.

The dimensions of sustainable development will be considered throughout the proposal.

Paragraph 11 concludes that the presumption in favour of sustainable development does not apply where specific policies in the NPPF indicate development should be restricted. This too will be explored.

The site is located within a green belt area within the Kirklees Local Plan. Policy LP1 states that when considering development proposals, the Council will take a positive approach that reflects the presumption in favour of sustainable development contained in the National Planning Policy Framework. LP1 goes on further to stating that:

The Council will always work pro-actively with applicants jointly to find solutions which mean that the proposal can be approved wherever possible, and to secure development that improves the economic, social and environmental conditions in the area.

Policy LP2 sets out that all development proposals should seek to build on the strengths, opportunities and help address challenges identified in the Local Plan. Policy LP24 of the KLP is relevant and states that "good design should be at the core of all proposals in the district".

The NPPF identifies that the fundamental aim of Green Belt policy is to prevent urban sprawl by keeping land permanently open;

All proposals for development in the Green Belt should be treated as inappropriate unless they fall within one of the exceptions set out in Paragraph 154 and 155.

Within Paragraph 154 the exception listed as part c) is for the extension or alteration of a building provided that it does not result in disproportionate additions over and above the size of the original building, is considered relevant to this application.

Policy LP57 of the Kirklees Local Plan sets out that the extension, alteration or replacement of buildings in the Green Belt will normally be acceptable provided that the original building remains the dominant element both in terms of size and overall appearance including the design and materials as well as having regard to previous extensions and the proposal does not result in a greater impact on openness in terms of the treatment of outdoor areas.

The proposal would not have any significant impact upon the five green belt purposes set out in paragraph 138 of the NPPF as the application seeking advice is not of a great enough scale to have major effects on a green belt dwelling.

Paragraph 5.1 of the SPD states that rear extensions should:

Maintain the quality of the residential environment and relate well to the neighbouring buildings. Rear extensions should generally not be visible from the street and should retain a reasonable living environment for the property being extended. Finally, external access to the properties rear garden should remain.

Paragraph 5.6 goes into more detail about single storey rear extension and states:

- be in keeping with the scale and style of the original house;
- not normally cover more than half the total area around the original house (including previous extensions and outbuildings);
- not exceed 4 metres in height;
- not project out more than 3 metres from the rear wall of the original house for semi-detached and terraces houses or by 4 metres for detached properties;
- where they exceed 3m in length the eaves height should generally not exceed 2.5 meters; and
- retain a gap of at least 1 metre from a property boundary, such as a wall, fence or hedge.

Paragraphs 5.16 and 5.2 of the Council's House Extensions and Alterations SPD states that two storey side extensions should:

- Ensuring reasonable levels of natural light to the habitable rooms in neighbouring properties; and
- Positioning windows to minimise or avoid any potential overlook into neighbouring gardens.
- not take up all or most of the space to the side of a house;
- maintain a 1 metre gap to the side boundary to ensure the building is not too close to a neighbouring property; and
- be set back at least 500mm from the front wall of the house.

Given the above, subject to condition, it is considered that the proposed development constitutes with relevant policy and would constitute sustainable development.

2 – Impact on character and appearance of the area

Visual Amenity

Section 12 of the NPPF discusses good design. Good design is a key aspect of sustainable development, it creates better places in which to live and work and helps to make development acceptable to communities. Local Plan Policies LP1, LP2 and most importantly LP24, are all also relevant. All the policies seek to achieve good quality design that retains a sense of local identity, which is in keeping with the scale of development in the local area and is visually attractive.

Local Plan Policy LP24(a) states that all proposals should promote good design by ensuring the following: *'the form, scale, layout and details of all development respects and enhances the character of the townscape, heritage assets and landscape'.*

Key Design Principles 1 and 2 of the Council's adopted House Extensions & Alterations Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) seek to ensure development is subservient to the host property and in keeping with the character of the locality.

The recommendations of Paragraphs 5.1, 5.2 and 5.6 of the House Extensions and Alterations SPD are outlined in the 'Principle' section of this report.

It is considered that the proposed single storey rear extension will be in keeping with the scale and style of the original house, remaining subservient to the host property, being faced in matching or sympathetic materials, and being set completely behind the host property, not projecting beyond the sides. Although it may be visible from the closest highway due to the orientation of the dwelling, it is considered that a proposal of such limited scale will have no significant impact upon visual amenity.

Moreover, the proposed two storey side extension is also considered to relate well to the existing house, via subservience and utilisation of matching or sympathetic materials, as well as abstaining from causing a terracing effect due to the openness of the site and lack of neighbouring properties.

Considering the above assessment, the proposed extensions are considered to be acceptable with regard to visual amenity.

3. Impact on Residential Amenity

Sections B and C of LP24 states that alterations to existing buildings should:

"…maintain appropriate distances between buildings' and *'…minimise impact on residential amenity of future and neighbouring occupiers."*

Further to this, Paragraph 135 of the National Planning Policy Framework states that planning decisions should ensure that developments have a high standard of amenity for existing and future users.

Key Design Principle 3 states that extensions and alterations should be designed to achieve reasonable levels of privacy for both inhabitants, future occupants and neighbours

Key design principle 4 sets out that extensions and alterations should consider the design and layout of habitable and non-habitable rooms to

reduce conflict between neighbouring properties relating to privacy, light and outlook.

Key design principle 5 relates to overshadowing/loss of light and details that extensions and alterations should not adversely affect the amount of natural light presently enjoyed by a neighbouring property.

Key design principle 6 seeks to ensure developments preventing overbearing impact and that extensions and alterations should not unduly reduce the outlook from a neighbouring property.

Principle 7 of the House Extensions SPD requires development to ensure an appropriately sized and useable area of private outdoor space is retained.

The recommendations of Paragraphs 5.1, 5.16, 5.2, and 5.6 of the House Extensions and Alterations SPD are outlined in the 'Principle' section of this report.

It is considered that at least 50% of the current garden space on site, and area around the original house, will be retained, and this is considered acceptable relative to the number of potential occupants in the property, with external access to the rear garden being retained. Furthermore, as the extension does not exceed 4m in height, have a projection of over 4m, exceed an eaves height of 2.5m, and is not located within 1m of any surrounding property boundary, it is considered that the proposal will have no significant impact with regard to overshadowing, overbearing, light, outlook, or privacy.

The proposed two storey side extension is not located within 1m of any surrounding property boundaries, and is not considered to have any impact on light or privacy to neighbouring properties given the isolated nature of the site.

It is therefore considered that in terms of residential amenity, the proposed development would have an acceptable impact and comply with all relevant policies.

4. Impact on Highway Safety

Policies LP21 and LP22 of the Kirklees Local Plan and Chapter 9 of the NPPF relate to access and highway safety and are considered to be relevant to the consideration of this application. The Council's adopted Highway Design Guide and Key Design Principle 15 of the adopted House Extensions & Alterations SPD which seek to ensure acceptable levels of off street parking are retained are also considered to be of relevance.

Principle 19 of the Council's adopted House Extensions & Alterations Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) requires the provision for waste storage and recycling must be incorporated into the design of new developments in such a way that it is convenient for both collection and use whilst having minimal visual impact on the development.

Although nothing specific is detailed within the submitted plans, it is considered that there is adequate space on site for waste storage.

The proposal will not increase the number of bedrooms at the property, nor reduce the scale of the hard-standing parking area on site, therefore, the current parking provision at the property is acceptable to remain. Furthermore, the proposal is set well back from the closest highway and is not considered to have any significant impact upon highway safety.

It is therefore considered that in terms of access and highway safety/parking the proposed extension would comply with Policies LP21 and LP22 of the Kirklees Local Plan, Principle 15 of the Council's Street Design Guide and Chapter 9 of the National Planning Policy Framework. Furthermore, it is considered that the proposal would also comply with Principle 19 of the adopted House Extensions & Alterations SPD.

5. Climate Change

On 12th November 2019, the Council adopted a target for achieving 'net zero' carbon emissions by 2038, with an accompanying carbon budget set by the Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research. National Planning Policy includes a requirement to promote carbon reduction and enhance resilience to climate change through the planning system and these principles have been incorporated into the formulation of Local Plan policies. The Local Plan predates the declaration of a climate emergency and the net zero carbon target. However, it includes a series of policies, which are used to assess the suitability of planning applications in the context of climate change. When determining planning applications, the Council will use the relevant Local Plan policies and guidance documents to embed the climate change agenda.

Considering the scale and nature of the proposed development, especially that it is for private use, it is considered that the proposed development would not have an impact on climate change that needs mitigation to address the climate change emergency. The proposed development would therefore comply with Chapter 14 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

6. Representations

No representations have been received.

7. Conclusion

The NPPF has introduced a presumption in favour of sustainable development. The policies set out in the NPPF taken as a whole constitute the Government's view of what sustainable development means in practice.

This application has been assessed against relevant policies in the development plan and other material considerations. It is considered, the proposed development would constitute sustainable development and is therefore recommended for approval.

Recommendation CONDITIONAL FULL PERMISSION

Decision Authorisation: Delegated Powers

Application Number: 2025/91812

Officer Recommendation: Conditional Full Permission

Conditions

1. The development hereby permitted shall be begun within three years of the date of this permission.
   Reason: Pursuant to the requirements of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

1. The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in complete accordance with the plans and specifications schedule listed in this decision notice, except as may be specified in the conditions attached to this permission, which shall in all cases take precedence.
   Reason: For the avoidance of doubt as to what is being permitted and to accord with Policies LP01, LP02, LP21, LP22 & LP24 of the Kirklees Local Plan, Principles 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 & 15 of the Council's adopted House Extensions & Alterations Supplementary Planning Document and Policies within Chapters 2, 9, 12 and 14 of the National Planning Policy Framework

Plans and specifications schedule:-

Plan Type	Reference	Version	Date Received
Location Plan	25/1189/01	-	15/07/2025

Plan Type	Reference	Version	Date Received
Existing Block Plan	25/1189/02	-	15/07/2025
Proposed Block Plan	25/1189/05a	-	15/07/2025
Existing Elevations and Floor Plans	25/1189/03	-	15/07/2025
Proposed Elevations and Floor Plans	25/1189/04b	-	15/07/2025
Application Form	-	-	15/07/2025
Climate Change Statement	-	-	15/07/2025

Pursuant to article 35 (2) of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) Order 2015 and guidance in the National Planning Policy Framework, the Local Authority have, where possible, made a pre-application advice service available, complied with the Kirklees Development Management Charter 2024 and otherwise actively engaged with the applicant in dealing with the application. No amendments were sought as it was considered that the proposal was acceptable as submitted.

Report Dated: 14/08/2025