

KIRKLEES METROPOLITAN COUNCIL INVESTMENT & REGENERATION SERVICE

DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT

Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended) – SECTION 96A

DELEGATED DECISION TO DETERMINE APPLICATIONS FOR NON-MATERIAL AMENDMENTS

Reference No: **2025/NM/91727/W**

Site Address: Almondbury Working Mens Club, Southfield Road,
Almondbury, Huddersfield, HD5 8RY

Description: Non material amendment to previous permission
2025/90480 for change of use of from working mens
club to mixed use event hall (Sui Generis)
incorporating Class E spaces and associated works

Recommending Officer: Danielle Cooper

DECISION – Non-Material Amendment - Approved

**I hereby authorise the approval of this application for the reasons set
out in the officer's report and recommendation annexed below in
respect of the above matter.**

Kirsty Nicholls

AUTHORISED OFFICER

Date: 30-Jun-2025

Overview

This application seeks a non-material amendment to application 2025/91727, which approved the change of use of from working men's club to mixed use event hall (Sui Generis) incorporating Class E spaces and associated works. The amendment seeks to reposition the entrance doors located on the front elevation of the building of Hall No. 1 and Shop No 2.

4 new roof lights are proposed.

The amendments are shown on plan ref: AR-04 rev 01 submitted 26/06/2025. This application will be assessed having regard to S96A of the Town & Country Planning Act 1990: "*In deciding whether a change is material, a Local Planning Authority must have regard to the effect of the change, together with previous changes made under this section, on the planning permission as originally granted*" and the Council's **Protocol for dealing with non-material amendments**.

The four key tests in the Protocol are:

1. Is the change inconsequential in terms of its scale in relation to the original approval? Yes

If so, three further tests need to be met:

1. Would the change result in a detrimental impact either visually or in terms of living conditions? No
2. Would the interests of a third party who participated or were informed of the original decision be disadvantaged in any way? No
3. Would the amendment be contrary to any policy of the Council? No

Assessment

The alterations do not cause the proposal to fall out of the original application's description.

The proposed relocation of 2 entrance doors and insertion of one new door is considered to have little visual impact and as such is considered acceptable on visual amenity grounds.

4 new roof lights will be inserted to the roof scape which will allow increased sunlight. This alteration is considered minor and raises no concern to visual amenity.

The proposed internal alterations are minor and will not contradict the original planning approval or conditions.

The proposed alterations raise no concern to impact on residential amenity.

Conclusion

On the basis of the above, the proposed change would be acceptable under the non-material amendment procedure and as such is recommended for approval.

Report Dated 27/06/2025