Search application details

Application number: 2025/90962	
What is the application for?:	Certificate of lawfulness for proposed change of use of dwelling to childrens ho
Address of the site or building:	17, Far View Crescent, Almondbury, Huddersfield, HD5 8ER
Postcode:	HD5 8ER

User comments

Type of comment: An objection	
Do you wish your comments to be published on the website anonymously?	Yes

This application is now the third one in recent months. It has currently been refused by the council with 25 objections

Does lighthouse care think we're stupid?. This is the same application and the same concerns raised are completely valid.

I also think it's ethical and morally wrong that direct neighbours were not told about any of these three applications and we found out about it on the Kirklees council website portal when checking our bins. It all feels very deliberate to push this application through.

I strongly hope Kirklees council put a stop to this but clearly money talks and it seems the company will just keep submitting an application until it's approved.

The residents of far view crescent and far view bank will not stand for this.

The proposal to change the use to a residential children's home is a commercial decision and is not suitable for the area. This is a very peaceful neighbourhood with a strong family and elderly community, some of whom who have lived here since the 60s.

I am concerned that by granting this planning application it would cause major disruption to a close knit community, with the potential increase in traffic, noise and overall disruption that such a facility would bring. The environmental impact as well with the woods run by the community directly behind.

I appreciate the need for children's homes, but placing one in this crescent is not right for this area and would negatively impact the quality of life for current residents. Also it would mean lower council tax rates for the whole area. I would not pay for a council tax band E property. It would barely be a band B.

Homes like these do not provide stability to children like foster care can they are a commercial entity and set on making money from the local authority. Not putting the children at the heart of the decision. Which should be the top priority.

Also this building is not suitable for a care home, as it has asbestos in the front of the building, the balcony looks like it's been put together with a bit of wood and string. Therefore dangerous to the people living there. The person that owns this house has bought it to make money and when he realised that it was never going to sell has leased it to this care home company.

I strongly ask the local authority to reject the planning application AGAIN and consider alternative locations that would be better suited.

This should remain as a private property and a family home that can be enjoyed for generations