

**KIRKLEES METROPOLITAN COUNCIL  
INVESTMENT & REGENERATION SERVICE**

**DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT**

**Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England)  
Order 2015 - Schedule 2, Part 1, Class A.1 (g) Condition A.4**

**DELEGATED DECISION FOR DISCHARGE OF CONDITION A.4 -  
NOTIFICATION OF A PROPOSED ENLARGEMENT TO DWELLINGHOUSE**

<b>Reference no.</b>	<b>2025/HH/90592/E</b>
<b>Site Address</b>	<b>16, Thornleigh Drive, Millbridge, Liversedge, WF15 6HP</b>
<b>Description</b>	<b>The proposal is for erection of single storey rear extension. The extension projects 4.5m beyond the rear wall of the original dwellinghouse. The maximum height of the extension is 4m, the height of the eaves of the extension is 3m</b>
<b>Recommending Officer</b>	<b>Molly Storer</b>

**DECISION – PRIOR APPROVAL NOT REQUIRED**

**I hereby authorise the approval of this application for the reasons set  
out in the officer's report and recommendation annexed below in  
respect of the above matter.**

Kevin Walton

***AUTHORISED OFFICER***

**Date: 14-Apr-2025**

## **OFFICER RECOMMENDATION**

### **DISCHARGE OF CONDITION A.4 , SCHEDULE 2, PART 1, CLASS A GENERAL PERMITTED DEVELOPMENT ORDER**

#### **1. Procedural Matters**

Prior notifications for the erection of single storey rear extensions to dwellings are considered against the requirements as set out by the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development)(England) Order 2015 (as amended) Schedule 2, Part 1, Class A, condition A.4.

Proposals pursuant to Class A are permitted subject to limitations set out in paragraph A.1 and in the case of Class A.1 (g) subject to the discharge of condition A.4. This proposal relates to development pursuant to Class A where condition A.4 is engaged and for the purposes of this assessment only the limitations for Class A.1 (g) and requirements condition A.4 are considered. Other limitations within Class A are not considered other than where, in the opinion of the Local Planning Authority, the development does not comply with the limitations within Class A of the Order. Ultimately the responsibility to ensure the development is carried out in full accordance with any planning permission rests with the applicant or property owner/occupier.

#### **Limitations for Class A.1(g) Development**

Is the site within a Conservation Area, Article 4 or SSI area?	No
Is any part of the proposal clearly outside the curtilage of the dwellinghouse?	No
If the house is detached and from the information submitted, does the extension extend more than 8 metres from the rear of the original dwellinghouse?	The house is detached and projects 4.5m from the rear of the original dwellinghouse.
If the house is not detached and from the information submitted, does the extension extend more than 6 metres from the rear of the original dwellinghouse?	N/A

Does the proposal exceed 4 metres in height?	No
Have permitted development rights been removed from the property?	Yes – under application number 93/05247. – Discussed in the assessment section of the report.

#### Condition A.4

As part of the notification procedure, the Local Planning Authority notify owners or occupiers of adjacent premises of the proposed development by serving notice and allowing 21 days for objections to be made. The Local Authority shall take into account any representations made as a result of the notice given.

Consultation start date : 4<sup>th</sup> March 2025

Consultation end date : 25<sup>th</sup> March 2025

#### **1. Objections**

2.1 Summary of representations:- None received.

#### **2. Assessment**

No requirement to assess amenity as no representations have been received.

Permitted Development rights were removed on application number 93/05247 under condition 12:

*(12) Notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning General Development Order 1988, no buildings shall be erected in the area hatched on the approved plan without the prior consent of the Local Planning Authority.*

**Reason:** *To maintain the green corridor.*

The historical plans have been accessed. The hatched area shown on a superseded plans indicates the hatched area protected a ribbon on land to the rear dwellings along the former railway embankment. The condition was imposed to protect a green corridor approximately 13 metres in depth measure from the southern boundary of Firthcliffe Drive. The proposed extension does not fall into this area. Therefore, the proposed extension is considered acceptable.

#### **3. Recommendation**

Prior approval not required.

**Report Dated:** 11<sup>th</sup> April 2025