

KIRKLEES METROPOLITAN COUNCIL INVESTMENT & REGENERATION SERVICE

DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT

Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended) – SECTION 70

DELEGATED DECISION TO DETERMINE PLANNING APPLICATIONS

Reference No:	2024/62/92739/E
Site Address:	36, Windmill Crescent, Skelmanthorpe, Huddersfield, HD8 9EL
Description:	Erection of single storey rear, side and front extensions
Recommending Officer:	Faiza Bano

DECISION – CONDITIONAL FULL PERMISSION

I hereby authorise the approval of this application for the reasons set out in the officer's report and recommendation annexed below in respect of the above matter.

John Holmes

AUTHORISED OFFICER

Date: 20-Nov-2024

HOUSEHOLDER DELEGATED REPORT

Application Number	2024/92739
Location	36, Windmill Crescent, Skelmanthorpe, Huddersfield, HD8 9EL
Proposal	Erection of single storey rear, side and front extensions
Publicity end date	11 th November 2024
Number of representations received	One – Support
Kirklees Local Plan Allocation/Designation	Unallocated
Extension to Time (EoT)	No
Recommendation	Conditional Full Permission

	NO	YES
Applicant a Council Member or Officer in Investment and Regeneration (Skills and Regeneration)	✓	
Contrary to previous decision	✓	
Called in by Ward Member	✓	
Significant number of representations received	✓	

If 'No' to all above, proceed with a fast track report

By indicating that the development proposal complies with relevant national and local policy and the 'House Extensions and Alterations' Supplementary Planning Document, the planning officer is taking into account the information submitted with the application, any previous relevant applications, observations during any site visit, any comments received in connection with the application and any other considerations which are material to the decision.

Policy

National

National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) December 2023
National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG)

- NPPF Chapter 2 – Achieving sustainable development
- NPPF Chapter 12 – Achieving well-designed places
- NPPF Chapter 14 – Meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding and coastal change

Local

Kirklees Local Plan (LP):

- **LP 1** – Achieving sustainable development
- **LP 2** – Place shaping
- **LP 21** – Highways and access
- **LP 22** – Parking
- **LP 24** – Design
- **LP 51** – Protection and improvement of air quality

Supplementary Planning Document ‘House Extensions and Alterations’ (2021).

- Key design principle 1: Local character and street scene
- Key design principle 2: Impact on the original house
- Key design principle 3: Privacy
- Key design principle 4: Habitable rooms and side windows
- Key design principle 5: Overshadowing/loss of light
- Key design principle 6: Preventing overbearing impact
- Key design principle 7: Outdoor space
- Key design principle 8: Energy efficiency
- Key design principle 9: Construction materials
- Key design principle 10: Renewable energy
- Key design principle 11: Water retention
- Key design principle 12: Natural environment
- Key design principle 13: Vegetation and tree planting
- Key design principle 14: Drainage and flood risk
- Key design principle 15: Provision for parking
- Key design principle 16: Provision for waste storage
- Key design principle 17: Access for all users

	YES / NO	SUMMARY
Negotiations/Amendments during course of application	No	N/A
Parish/Town Council comments sought	Yes	Denby Dale Parish Council - No Objections

(Kirkburton)		
Planning History	No	N/A
Consultations required	No	N/A

Assessment

The Kirklees SPD sets out that single storey rear extensions should comply with certain parameters set out at paragraph 5.2 on page 23 (and listed below) and if they do not, they need to be justified:

Rear Extensions Should:	<u>Yes - COMPLY</u>	<u>No - JUSTIFY</u>
respect the original house and garden in terms of its size and scale	Yes – the extension does not cover more than half of the total area around the original house.	
use appropriate materials which match or are similar in appearance to the original house; and	Yes – to match existing	
not have an adverse impact by way of overshadowing or loss of outlook of neighbouring properties.	Due to the orientation of the applicant site, the proposed extension will not overshadow neighbouring properties. Due to the modest scale of the proposed extension, there will be no impact on the loss of outlook of neighbouring properties.	

The Kirklees SPD sets out that single storey side extensions should comply with certain parameters set out at paragraph 5.17 on page 28 (and listed below) and if they do not, they need to be justified:

Single storey side extensions should be offset and complement	<u>Yes - COMPLY</u>	<u>No - JUSTIFY</u>
--	----------------------------	----------------------------

the original building. As such, single storey side extensions should:		
not extend more than two thirds of the width of the original house;	Yes – the proposed extension projects out from the side by 3.2m	
not exceed a height of 4 metres; and	Yes – the proposed extension has a height of approximately 2.2m	
be set back at least 500mm from the original building line to allow for a visual break		No – The proposed side extension is an integral component of the planned front extension. It is noted this is a similar design as that for other properties in the vicinity of the site. Furthermore, the design being single storey is considered to be subservient.

The Kirklees SPD sets out that single storey front extensions should comply with certain parameters set out at paragraph 5.14 on page 27 (and listed below) and if they do not, they need to be justified:

Single storey front extensions:	<u>Yes - COMPLY</u>	<u>No - JUSTIFY</u>
The house is set well back from the pavement or is well screened; and	Yes – the house is well setback from the pavement. It benefits from a front garden.	
The extension is small, subservient to the original building, well-designed and would not harm the character of the original house or the area; and	Yes – the extension would appear subservient and has been designed with a lean-to roof, in-keeping with host property.	
The materials and design match the existing features of the original house; and	Yes – materials would match existing	
The extension would not unreasonably affect the neighbouring properties.	Yes – the modest scale would result in minimal impact on neighbouring property.	

Design and Visual Amenity:

Summary of local street scene/character:

The site to which this application relates is number 36 Windmill Crescent. It consists of a two-storey brick built semi-detached property, that benefits from a large garden to the front and a garden to the rear. The site is situated within a residential area, is screened to the side by hedges and the rear is enclosed by close board fencing. The site and its surrounding area are wholly residential characterised by two storey red-brick properties with various forms of rear extensions.

Consideration has been given here in terms of the proposals impact on the local character and street scene, including subservience, terracing and building line.

	Relevant key design principle (KDP) of the SPD, Policy in the Kirklees Local Plan and the NPPF	Further comments	✓ / X / N/A
Impact on the Local character and street scene	• KDP1 of the SPD • Policy LP24 Design (a) and (c) of the KLP • Chapter 12 NPPF	The proposed extensions are single-storey, modest in scale and due to the orientation of the property and the sloped nature of the site, the proposed development would not appear overly prominent. The proposed development would be located to the front, side and rear of the property and will not impact the character or street scene across the frontage of the property.	✓
Impact on original house	• KDP2 of the SPD • Policy LP24 Design (c) and (d) of the KLP • Chapter 12 of the NPPF	The original property would remain the dominant feature.	✓
Height, scale and massing	• KDP 1 and 2 of the SPD • Policy LP24 Design (a), (c)	As above. The extensions would project across the front, side and rear of the property and are single storey.	✓

	and (d) of the KLP • Chapter 12 of the NPPF		
Facing materials and detailing	• KDP 9 of the SPD • Policy LP24 Design (d) (iii) of the KLP • Chapter 12 of the NPPF	Facing materials to match existing. Condition recommended.	✓
Roof style	• KDP 1 and 2 of the SPD • Policy LP24 Design (a), (c) and (d) of the KLP • Chapter 12 of the NPPF	Lean-to roof style – acceptable as it is in-keeping with the original roof style of the host property.	✓
Window proportions	• KDP 1 and 2 of the SPD • Policy LP24 Design (a), (c) and (d) of the KLP • Chapter 12 of the NPPF	Modest size windows in all extensions, including roof lights in the rear extension, which would be in-keeping with existing building.	✓
Accessibility for all users	• KDP 17 of the SPD • Policy LP24 Design (f) • Chapter 12 of the NPPF	Private domestic extension which would provide easy access into the main house.	✓

The design of the proposal is therefore acceptable and accords with policies LP24 of the adopted Kirklees Local Plan, the SPD and the NPPF.

Residential Amenity:

The main properties affected are:

- 35 Windmill Crescent – Due to the orientation of the application site, and the single storey design, the proposed extensions will not lead to significant overshadowing of this property or its garden space. Due to the height/scale, there will be minimal impact on the first floor. It is considered there is limited impact to number 35, extensions are designed to ensure privacy to neighbours and will not produce conflict relating to light and outlook.

- 204 Commercial Road – Given the scale of the proposal it is considered the development would not significantly impact upon this property.
- There are properties immediately to the front. However, the proposed extensions are set back from the front boundary line of the applicant site, given the distance of the proposal and scale it is sited it is not considered to have a significant impact upon the properties opposite.
- Due to the position of the extension, there would be no impact to the properties located to the rear. The screened boundary will prevent views in or out of the application site negatively.

	Relevant key design principle (KDP) of the SPD, Policy in the Kirklees Local Plan and the NPPF	Further comments	✓ / X / N/A
Impact on privacy of neighbours (to sides, rear and front)	• KDP 3 & 4 of the SPD • Policy LP24 Design (d) (iv) • Chapter 12 of the NPPF	Acceptable for the reasons set out above.	✓
Impact on light and outlook of neighbours (to sides, rear and front)	• KDP 4, 5, 6 of the SPD • Policy LP24 Design (b) • Chapter 12 of the NPPF	As above.	✓
Remaining garden space of application property	• KDP 7 of the SPD • Policy LP24 Design (b) and (c) • Chapter 12 of the NPPF	As above.	✓

The proposal is therefore acceptable in terms of residential amenity and accords with policy LP24 of the adopted Kirklees Local Plan, the SPD and the NPPF.

Highways and Parking: Are the following acceptable?

	Relevant key design principle (KDP) of the SPD, Policy in	Further comments	✓ / X / N/A
--	--	-------------------------	--------------------

	the Kirklees Local Plan and the NPPF		
Impact on highway safety	• KDP 15 of the SPD • Policy LP22 Parking (f) of the KLP • Chapter 12 of the NPPF	Parking is provided on-street, which would remain the case in this instance. It is considered this is acceptable due to the location of this property and the neighbouring houses. The proposed scale of the extensions will not have a significant impact upon parking over and above the impact as exists already.	✓
Parking provision	• KDP 15 of the SPD • Policy LP22 Parking (f) of the KLP • Chapter 12 of the NPPF	Maintains appropriate access and parking. Given the beneficial visual impact of the front amenity space it is considered this has a more significant beneficial impact than provision of parking within this area. The development is not considered to lead to a significant increase in parking demand over and above that which exists already and as such it is not considered necessary to require provision of off street parking in this case.	✓
Provision for waste storage	• KDP 16 of the SPD • Policy LP24 Design (d) (iv) • Chapter 12 of the NPPF	None shown on plans however, there is sufficient space within the red line boundary to allow for storage on site. Condition not considered necessary.	✓

The proposal is therefore acceptable in terms of highways and parking and accords with policies LP21 and LP22 of the adopted Kirklees Local Plan, the SPD and the NPPF.

Other matters: Are the following acceptable?

	Relevant key design principle (KDP) of the SPD, Policy in the Kirklees Local Plan and the NPPF	Further comments	✓ / X / N/A
Impact on trees	• KDP 13 of the SPD • Policy LP24 Design (d) (i) (iv) Policy LP33 Trees • Chapter 12 of the NPPF	N/A	✓
Impact on ecology	• KDP 12 of the SPD • Policy LP30 • Chapter 15 of the NPPF	N/A	✓
Carbon Budget / Climate change statement	• KDP 8, 9, 10 & 11 of the SPD • Policy LP51 • Chapter 14 of the NPPF	Small scale domestic development to an existing dwelling. As such, no special measures required in terms of the planning application with regards to carbon emissions. A Climate Change Statement has been submitted with this application.	✓
Drainage and Flood Risk	• KDP 14 of the SPD • Policy LP24 (d) (vii), LP27 and LP34 of the KLP	The rear garden and landscaping would be retained to allow for run-off. The site is not located within an identified Flood Risk Zone 2 or 3 area.	✓

The proposal is therefore acceptable in terms of the above listed other matters and accords with policies set out in the Kirklees Local Plan, the SPD and the NPPF.

Representations, including Parish/Town Council comments:

Summary of Representation	Officer response	Addressed ✓ / X / N/A
Representation in support of the application site – similar permissions granted on the same estate.		N/A

Conclusion:

The NPPF has introduced a presumption in favour of sustainable development. The policies set out in the NPPF taken as a whole constitute the Government's view of what sustainable development means in practice.

This application has been assessed against relevant policies in the development plan and other material considerations. It is considered that the development would constitute sustainable development and is therefore recommended for approval.

Recommendation: **CONDITIONAL FULL PERMISSION**

Decision Authorisation - Delegated Powers

Application Number: 2024/92739

Officer Recommendation: Approve

Conditions and Reasons

1. The development hereby permitted shall be begun within three years of the date of this permission.

Reason: Pursuant to the requirements of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

2. The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in complete accordance with the plans and specifications schedule listed in this decision notice, except as may be specified in the conditions attached to this permission, which shall in all cases take precedence.

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt as to what is being permitted and to accord with Policies LP01, LP02, LP21, LP22 & LP24 of the Kirklees Local Plan, Principles 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 & 15 of the Council's adopted House Extensions & Alterations Supplementary Planning Document and Policies within Chapters 2, 9, 12 and 14 of the National Planning Policy Framework

3. The external walls and roofing materials of the extension hereby approved shall in all respects match those used in the construction of the existing building and be retained thereafter.

Reason: In the interests of visual amenity and in accordance with Policies LP01, LP02 & LP24 of the Kirklees Local Plan, Principles 1 and 2 the Council's adopted House Extensions and Alterations Supplementary Planning Document and policies within Chapter 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Plans and specifications schedule:-

Plan / Document Type	Reference	Date Received
Location Plan	2457-D-20-001	25-Sep-2024
Existing Plans	2457-D-20-002	25-Sep-2024
Proposed Plans	2457-D-20-002	25-Sep-2024
Existing Elevations	2457-D-20-004	25-Sep-2024
Proposed Elevations	2457-D-20-005	25-Sep-2024
General	Climate Change Statement	25-Sep-2024
Application Form		25-Sep-2024

Pursuant to article 35 (2) of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) Order 2015 and guidance in the National Planning Policy Framework, the Local Authority have, where possible, made a pre-application advice service available, complied with the Kirklees Development Management Charter 2015 and otherwise actively engaged with the applicant in dealing with the application. It was not considered necessary to seek any amendments/further information during the course of this application.

Report Dated: 18/11/2024