



There are inaccuracies in the information on the planning application:

The land is not 'unused' but used by everyone from nos 150 - 224 Dunford Road. This is stated clearly in our deeds that we have access by foot and vehicle. For many people it is their only access to the road for: eg ambulance, fire, wheelie bins, wheelchairs, furniture, building materials. Anything with wheels has to be taken up or down the slope.

The slope has NEVER been used for parking except occasionally to facilitate loading of heavy goods or access for building repairs.

Materials: a high wall of concrete blocks will be very visible to us and inappropriate in a conservation area.

The proposal does alter access to and from the public highway, and a right of way will be severely restricted.

'Differences in spaces' should include those lost by this development. Perhaps 3? Or 5? because of restrictions on the road with yellow lines. One gained for an Airbnb business, but residents lose several. There are not enough spaces for us all already. It's a very dangerous place to have to come in and out of. People may, out of desperation, ignore yellow lines and park across the gap.

In addition to this information I object to this proposal because it will make it impossible to repair the external walls of the adjacent building. This property is suffering from being too much underground already. There is a watercourse under the slope which may change course with the disturbance.

There's a gas supply pipe also.

As the area would need to be reduced to maintain adequate access to the properties, there will not be enough room for a safe parking area.