

AIR QUALITY ASSESSMENT

on behalf of

MR MOHAMMAD RAFI

for

**53 WAKEFIELD ROAD, ASPLEY,
HUDDERSFIELD HD5 9AB**

REPORT DATE: 15TH MAY 2024

REPORT NUMBER: 103157

**Miller Goodall Ltd
Ground Floor
Ashworth House
Deakins Business Park
Blackburn Road
Egerton
Bolton
Lancashire
BL7 9RP**

Tel: 01204 596166

www.millergoodall.co.uk

Company registration number 5201673



Summary

This air quality report has been prepared to accompany a planning application for a proposed residential development at 53 Wakefield Road, Aspley, Huddersfield HD5 9AB. It assesses the potential changes in air quality due to the construction and operation of the proposed development and whether these potential changes would significantly alter air quality.

The assessment of dust soiling and human health impacts during the construction phase of the development results in the proposal of dust mitigation measures. The implementation of these will ensure that residual dust impacts during the construction phase are not significant.

Concentrations of NO₂ and PM₁₀ are likely to be below their respective long and short-term objectives at the proposed development site, which is therefore considered suitable for residential use with regards to air quality. Concentrations of PM_{2.5} are expected to be below the annual mean target.

The proposed development is not expected to have a significant impact on local air quality.

There is, therefore, no reason for this application to be refused on the grounds of air quality.

Prepared By Philip Walton

Reviewed By Melody Horan

Date

15th May 2024

Date

15th May 2024

Record of changes

Version	Date	Change	Initials
1	15th May 2024	First issue	PW

Contents

Summary 1

Contents 2

1 Introduction 4

2 Site Description 4

3 Proposed Development 5

4 Policy Context 5

 4.1 Air Quality Objectives 5

 4.2 West Yorkshire Low Emission Strategy, Air Quality & Emissions Technical Planning Guidance..... 6

5 Methodology 8

 5.1 Data Sources..... 8

 5.2 Consultation 9

 5.3 IAQM Construction Dust Assessment 9

 5.4 Simple Air Quality Assessment..... 10

6 Baseline Air Quality 10

 6.1 Local Air Quality..... 10

 6.2 Air Quality Monitoring..... 10

 6.3 Background Concentrations..... 11

7 Construction Dust Impact Assessment 12

 7.1 Step 1 – Requirement for a Detailed Assessment 12

 7.2 Step 2 – Assess the Risk of Dust Impacts 12

 7.3 Step 3 – Site-Specific Mitigation 14

 7.4 Step 4 – Determine Significant Effects..... 15

8 Effect of Air Quality on the Proposed Development 15

9 Impact of the Proposed Development on Existing Air Quality 16

10 Mitigation..... 16

11 Summary of Impacts and Conclusion.....17

APPENDICES 19

Glossary of Terms 36

1 Introduction

- 1.1 Miller Goodall Ltd has been instructed to prepare an air quality assessment to accompany a planning application for a proposed residential development at 53 Wakefield Road, Aspley, Huddersfield HD5 9AB. The site lies within the administrative boundary of Kirklees Council (KC).
- 1.2 The report provides a review of the existing air quality in proximity to the proposed development site and assesses the potential impact of the proposed development on local air quality following Local Air Quality Management Technical Guidance¹ and EPUK and IAQM guidance².
- 1.3 The report provides an assessment of the potential air quality impacts associated with the construction and operational phases of the proposed development.
- 1.4 The main pollutants of health concern from road traffic exhaust releases are nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and fine particulates, normally assessed as the fraction of airborne particles of mean aerodynamic diameter less than ten micrometres (PM₁₀) and 2.5 micrometres (PM_{2.5}) since these pollutants are most likely to approach their respective air quality objectives in proximity to major roads and congested areas. This assessment has therefore focused on the impact of the proposed development on concentrations of NO₂, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}.

2 Site Description

- 2.1 A L-shaped building comprising mixed-use commercial and residential uses currently occupies the site. Ground floor commercial units front onto Wakefield Road, with upper floor residential use. The building extends northwards to provide further ground and upper floor residential uses in a separate but connected building.
- 2.2 Silver Street West borders the site to the north, with commercial uses located beyond. Terrace buildings border the site to the east and west, with ground-floor commercial units and unknown upper-floor uses. Wakefield Road borders the site to the west, with student accommodation bordering the road on the opposite side.

¹ Department for the Environment Food and Rural Affairs (2022). Local Air Quality Management Technical Guidance Document LAQM.TG(22)

² EPUK and IAQM (January 2017) Land Use Planning and Development Control: Planning for Air Quality (v1.2)

- 2.3 The development is not located within an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA). The boundary of AQMA No. 9 is located approximately 30 m to the west of the site. The location of site and AQMA is shown in **Appendix A**.

3 Proposed Development

- 3.1 The proposal comprises the construction of a new building and extending the existing footprint further northwards towards Silver Street West to provide 3 floors of residential use (ground, first and second floor). No parking is proposed.
- 3.2 The proposed building is located in the rear courtyard of the wider red line footprint and setback approximately 25 m from Wakefield Road. The proposed building position is shown in **Appendix A**.

4 Policy Context

4.1 Air Quality Objectives

- 4.1.1 The standards and objectives relevant to the LAQM framework have been prescribed through the Air Quality (England) Regulations (2000) and the Air Quality (England) (Amendment) Regulations 2002; the Air Quality Standards Regulations 2010 set out the combined Daughter Directive limit values and interim targets for Member State compliance.
- 4.1.2 The United Kingdom left the European Union on 31st January 2020 and is no longer a member state. However, the current framework of air quality legislation was converted into domestic law through the European Union (Withdrawal) Act 2018^[3].
- 4.1.3 The relevant air quality standards and objectives are presented in **Table 1**. Pollutant standards relate to ambient pollutant concentrations in air, set on the basis of medical and scientific evidence of how each pollutant affects human health.

³ UK Parliament (2018): <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2018/16/contents/enacted>

Table 1: Air Quality Strategy Objectives (England) for the Purposes of Local Air Quality Management

Pollutant	Air Quality Objective	
	Concentration	Measured As
Nitrogen dioxide (NO ₂)	200 µg/m ³	1-hour mean not to be exceeded more than 18 times per year
	40 µg/m ³	Annual mean
Particles (PM ₁₀)	50 µg/m ³	24-hour mean not to be exceeded more than 35 per year
	40 µg/m ³	Annual mean
Particles (PM _{2.5})	25 µg/m ³	Annual mean (target)

- 4.1.4 Where an air quality objective is unlikely to be met by the relevant deadline, local authorities must designate those areas as AQMAs and take action to work towards meeting the objectives. Following the designation of an AQMA, local authorities are required to develop an Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP) to work towards meeting the objectives and to improve air quality locally.
- 4.1.5 Possible exceedances of air quality objectives are generally assessed in relation to those locations where members of the public are likely to be regularly present and are likely to be exposed for a period of time appropriate to the averaging period of the objective.

4.2 West Yorkshire Low Emission Strategy, Air Quality & Emissions Technical Planning Guidance

- 4.2.1 KC has adopted the West Yorkshire Low Emission Strategy Air Quality & Emissions Technical Planning Guidance⁴.
- 4.2.2 The West Yorkshire guidance provides a methodology to classify a development proposal, in terms of air quality. This process specifies the classification of the development as either minor, medium

⁴ West Yorkshire Low Emission Strategy Air Quality & Emissions Technical Planning Guidance. Document is not dated, access through the KC website on 10/05/24

or major which in turn specifies the level of assessment and mitigation needed based on the classification.

4.2.3 The classification process follows a three-stage procedure that considers development scale, impact assessment and impact mitigation.

4.2.4 The amount of mitigation expected increases with each successive classification. Major classifications are required to produce an emissions assessment to calculate a financial contribution based on the emissions the developments trip generation creates.

4.2.5 The proposals have been classified using the three-stage approach and the results of this process are shown in **Table 2**.

Table 2: KC site classification assessment

Step	Outcome	Justification
Stage 1 Establishing the Scale of Development	Minor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A C3 planning use with less than 50 units. The 'other' criteria are not triggered (i.e. not in an AQMA, no transport impacts).
Stage 2 Air Quality Assessment	AQA required	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The proposals will be capable of creating construction dust emissions and an assessment of future resident exposure to poor air quality has been requested by KC.
Stage 3 Mitigation	Minor mitigation required	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The proposals meet minor, Type 1 mitigation is required.

4.2.6 As the scheme is minor, the resulting air quality assessment needs to include an assessment of future residents' exposure to pollution due to the sites proximity to the AQMA, a construction phase assessment and define mitigation for construction and Type 1 default mitigation.

5 Methodology

5.1 Data Sources

5.1.1 The air quality assessment has been undertaken and prepared with reference to information from several sources, as detailed in **Table 3**.

Table 3: Key Information Sources

Data Source	Reference
Kirklees Council (KC)	KC (2023) <i>2023 Air Quality Annual Status Report</i>
West Yorkshire Low Emissions Strategy Group (WYLES)	West Yorkshire <i>Low Emission Strategy Air Quality & Emissions Technical Planning Guidance</i>
Institute of Air Quality Management (IAQM)	IAQM "Guidance on the assessment of dust from demolition and construction" v2.2 2024
Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs (Defra)	Defra <i>Local Air Quality Management Technical Guidance TG(22), updated 2022</i>
Environmental Protection UK (EPUK) and Institute of Air Quality Management (IAQM)	EPUK and IAQM (January 2017) <i>Land Use Planning and Development Control: Planning for Air Quality (v1.2)</i>
Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government	Planning Practice Guidance: Air Quality, November 2019 National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), December 2023
Defra's LAQM Support Tools	Local Air Quality Management 1 km x 1 km grid background pollutant maps NO ₂ Fall Off with Distance from Roads Calculator (v4.2)

5.2 Consultation

5.2.1 The planning application is live (Ref. 2023/92216). An Environmental Health consultee reply was made on 22nd August 2023, stating:

“The proposed development is close to a road of concern and is adjacent to an air quality management area (AQMA 9), where pollutant levels are predicted to exceed the national air quality objectives. The proposed development will therefore be introducing new receptors into an area of poor air quality. Therefore, before the application is determined we expect an air quality assessment to be submitted [...].” Reference to the West Yorkshire guidance was also included.

5.2.2 The proposed air quality assessment methodology was discussed and agreed with Rebecca Muff (Principal Technical Officer) of KC⁵.

5.2.3 It was agreed that both a construction phase assessment and a simple air quality assessment reviewing existing air quality and the impact of the proposed development on local air quality would be undertaken. Agreement was reached that the proposals meet a ‘minor’ classification in accordance with the West Yorkshire guidance.

5.3 IAQM Construction Dust Assessment

5.3.1 The IAQM has produced guidance⁶ on the assessment of air quality impacts arising from dust associated with construction and demolition activities and provides a methodology by which to complete such assessments. The IAQM methodology provides a risk assessment structure to determine the likely impact of the development on nearby receptor locations and recommends mitigation measures that should be implemented to reduce any such impact. The methodology for the assessment is shown in **Appendix B**. The study area in relation to dust and the zones of interest used within the assessment (<20 m, 20 m – 50 m and 50 – 100 m from the site) are shown in **Appendix C**. The dust assessment informed the recommended mitigation outlined in **Appendix D**.

⁵ Email Miller Goodall Ltd. to KC, 8th May 2024. KC email reply to Miller Goodall Ltd. on 14th May 2024.

⁶ Institution of Air Quality Management, 2024. Guidance on the assessment of dust from demolition and construction” v2.2

5.4 Simple Air Quality Assessment

- 5.4.1 A simple assessment of air quality in terms of the impact of the development and suitability of the site, which relies on already published information, has been completed using sources such as the Local Authority's monitoring network and the Defra LAQM support tools.

6 Baseline Air Quality

6.1 Local Air Quality

- 6.1.1 Baseline air quality at the proposed development has been established by examining monitoring data produced by KC (provided in the 2023 Annual Status Report) and background concentration maps provided by Defra for the grid squares covering the proposed development.
- 6.1.2 Exceedance of the NO₂ annual mean objective led to the declaration of AQMA No. 9, which covers Huddersfield town centre, the ring road, and main roads into the ring road. The development site is not in the AQMA but it is located 25 m east of the AQMA boundary. The site location and its relationship to the AQMA is shown in **Appendix A**.

6.2 Air Quality Monitoring

Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂)

- 6.2.1 KC operates an extensive air quality network comprising two automatic monitors and over 100 non-automatic diffusion tubes positioned throughout the administrative area. Close to the site are two roadside classification air quality monitors positioned on Wakefield Road.
- 6.2.2 Diffusion tube ID K56 is located approximately 210 m to the west of the site and is located within the AQMA. Diffusion tube ID K86 is located approximately 50 m to the south west of the red line boundary and is not located in the AQMA.
- 6.2.3 The results from the diffusion tubes are shown in **Table 4** and the monitor locations are shown in **Appendix A**.

Table 4: Annual Mean NO₂ Concentrations Monitored by KC within the Study Area

Site ID & Classification	Location		Annual Mean NO ₂ Concentrations (µg/m ³)				
			2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
K56 (Roadside)	415009	416420	39.5	34.9	30.3	37.4	36.1
K86 (Roadside)	415164	416323	32.6	29.1	22.8	26.3	24.4
Annual Mean NO ₂ air quality objective						40 µg/m ³	

6.2.4 The monitoring results in **Table 4** indicate that annual mean concentrations of NO₂ were below the NO₂ annual mean objective at the identified monitoring sites during the period shown.

6.2.5 The results indicate that the short-term objective for NO₂ was unlikely to be exceeded at the identified monitoring sites, as monitored annual mean concentrations were well below the indicative screening concentration of 60 µg/m³ during the period shown.

Particulate Matter (PM₁₀ & PM_{2.5})

6.2.6 KC does not undertake PM₁₀ or PM_{2.5} monitoring in the vicinity of the proposed development site.

6.3 Background Concentrations

6.3.1 There are no background monitoring locations in the vicinity of the proposed development site.

6.3.2 Background concentrations of NO₂, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} obtained from the background concentration maps provided by Defra for the grid squares covering the proposed development⁷ are shown in **Table 5**.

Table 5: Background Pollutant Concentrations Obtained for the 1km x 1km Grid Squares Covering the Site*

Grid Square	Pollutant	2024
		(µg/m ³)
415500, 416500 (Site)	NO ₂	15.82
	PM ₁₀	11.98
	PM _{2.5}	8.17

⁷ <http://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/data/laqm-background-maps?year=2018>

* Background concentrations obtained from the latest 2018 based background maps

7 Construction Dust Impact Assessment

7.1 Step 1 – Requirement for a Detailed Assessment

7.1.1 There are sensitive receptors located within 250m of the site boundary, therefore, a detailed assessment of the construction phase of the development has been undertaken. There are no ecological designations within 50m of the site boundary or 50 m of the route(s) used by construction vehicles on the public highway, up to 250 m from the site entrance(s) which require assessment.

7.2 Step 2 – Assess the Risk of Dust Impacts

Step 2A Dust Emission Magnitude

- 7.2.1 The potential dust emission magnitude in relation to the development has been determined using the criteria detailed in **Table B1** in **Appendix B**. The scale and nature of works onsite were considered to determine the potential dust emission magnitude for earthwork activities, construction and trackout activities. No demolition is required at the development site.
- 7.2.2 Information to determine the classification has been estimated from the site plans, Google Earth and information provided by the Applicant. The dust emission magnitude is outlined in **Table 6**.

Table 6: Dust Emission Magnitudes for Each Activity

Activity	Dust Emission Magnitudes	Justification for Sensitivity Classification
Demolition	N/A	N/A
Earthworks	Small	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the site area is less than 18,000 m².
Construction	Small	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> total building volume estimated to be constructed is less than 12,000 m³.
Trackout	Small	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> there are likely to be less than 20 HDV outward movements in any one day

Step 2B Sensitivity of the Receptors to Dust Soiling and Health Effects

7.2.3 One to ten dwellings are located within a distance of 20 m from earthworks and construction, and 20 m of road edges used by traffic associated with the site construction. Vehicles exiting the site from Silver Street West must travel eastwards on Wakefield Road, which is more than 50 m from the Capitol Students Saw Mill complex, which is opposite the site on Wakefield Road. In accordance with the criteria in **Table B2** in **Appendix B** and the IAQM guidance, the sensitivity of human receptors is **high**.

Step 2B Sensitivity of the Area to Dust Soiling and Human Health Effects of PM₁₀

7.2.4 The sensitivity of the area for dust soiling and human health effects has been determined using the criteria detailed in **Table B3** and **Table B4** respectively in **Appendix B**. The sensitivity of the area to dust soiling and human health for each activity is summarised in **Table 7**.

Table 7: Outcome of Defining the Sensitivity of the Area

Pollution	Activity	Sensitivity of the Surrounding Area	Justification for Sensitivity Classification
Dust Soiling	Demolition	N/A	N/A
	Earthworks	Medium	There are 1 – 10 highly sensitive receptors within 20 m of the site boundary.
	Construction	Medium	There are 1 – 10 highly sensitive receptors within 20 m of construction activity.
	Trackout	Medium	There are 1 – 10 highly sensitive receptors within 20 m of the trackout route, up to 50 m of the site exit.
Human Health	Demolition	N/A	N/A
	Earthworks	Low	There are 1 – 10 highly sensitive residential receptors within 20 m of the site boundary. Background PM ₁₀ pollutant concentrations are below 24 µg/m ³ .
	Construction	Low	There are 1 – 10 highly sensitive receptors within 20 m of construction activity. Background PM ₁₀ pollutant concentrations are below 24 µg/m ³ .
	Trackout	Low	There are 1 – 10 highly sensitive receptors within 20 m of the trackout route, up to 50 m of the site exit. Background PM ₁₀ pollutant concentrations are below 24 µg/m ³ .

Step 2C Risk of Impacts

- 7.2.5 The dust emission magnitude and sensitivity of the area were combined and the risk of impacts have been determined using the criteria detailed in **Table B5** to **Table B8** in **Appendix B**.
- 7.2.6 A summary of the risks, before mitigation measures are applied, for dust soiling and human health are shown in **Table 8**.

Table 8: Risk of Dust Impacts

Potential Impact	Dust Risk			
	Demolition	Earthworks	Construction	Trackout
Dust Soiling	N/A	Low	Low	Low
Human Health	N/A	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible

7.3 Step 3 – Site-Specific Mitigation

- 7.3.1 Step 3 of the IAQM guidance identifies appropriate site-specific mitigation. These measures are related to the site risk for each activity. Recommended mitigation measures based on the risk classifications in **Table 8** are shown in **Appendix D**.
- 7.3.2 The general mitigation measures (for site management, preparing and maintaining the site, operating vehicle/machinery, operations and waste management), are appropriate for a site with a 'low risk' classification⁸.

⁸ For those mitigation measures that are general, the highest risk category should be applied. For example, if the site is medium risk for earthworks and construction, but a high risk for demolition and track-out, the general measures applicable to a high risk site should be applied.

7.4 Step 4 – Determine Significant Effects

7.4.1 The characteristics of the site and the surrounding area suggest that mitigation would not be impracticable or ineffective. With the implementation of the mitigation measures, therefore, the residual impacts from the construction are considered to be not significant when considered following IAQM guidance.

8 Effect of Air Quality on the Proposed Development

8.1 The background concentrations in **Table 5** show that background concentrations of NO₂, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} are well below health-based air quality objectives of 40 µg/m³ for both pollutants. LAQM.TG(22) categorises a position within 15 m of a road as a roadside classification, and locations beyond this distance falling into the other categories such as suburban or urban background. Given that the proposed building is approximately 25 m away from Wakefield Road, it is anticipated that concentrations will be lower than those at a roadside position.

8.2 The two KC diffusion tubes are located in roadside positions at Wakefield Road, the road passing the development site. K56 is located 2.8 m from the kerb of Wakefield Road and K86 is located 4.7 from the kerb of Wakefield Road.

8.3 K86 is closer to the proposed development site, positioned opposite the site and is not located in the AQMA, representing similar conditions as the development site. K56 is located further from the site and is within the AQMA. K56 has recorded a higher NO₂ concentration compared to K86 (see **Table 4**); however, both monitors indicate that concentrations have been below the annual mean air quality objective since 2018.

8.4 Defra has developed an Excel tool for estimating NO₂ concentrations with distance, the NO₂ Fall Off with Distance from Roads Calculator (v4.2)⁹. The NO₂ concentration at the development footprint distance has been estimated using this tool. **Appendix E** presents the data inputs and results from the tool.

8.5 To present a worst-case assessment, the input for the analysis is the 2022 monitored concentration from K56. The predicted annual mean NO₂ concentration at the future resident's position of

⁹ Defra, LAQM. Available at: <https://laqm.defra.gov.uk/air-quality/air-quality-assessment/no2-falloff/>

approximately 25 m from Wakefield Road is $24.8 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. The predicted concentration is well below the NO_2 annual mean air quality objective.

- 8.6 As described, K86 is closer to the site and is not located in the AQMA. By using the concentration from this monitor in the tool instead, the tool would have predicted an even lower NO_2 concentration at the future resident position. This is because in 2022 the K86 monitor recorded a concentration of approximately $11.7 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ lower than K56.
- 8.7 The evidence from existing information sources is that the proposed development site is likely to experience levels of NO_2 and PM_{10} below the annual mean and short-term objectives for the pollutants and below the annual mean target for $\text{PM}_{2.5}$.

9 Impact of the Proposed Development on Existing Air Quality

- 9.1 The proposed development does not introduce any additional car parking. Therefore, the potential for air quality changes arising from development traffic to cause adverse effects can be concluded as not significant².

10 Mitigation

- 10.1 The scheme meets a minor classification and Type 1 mitigation is required, in accordance with the West Yorkshire guidance⁴. Box 2, from the guidance, defines the Type 1 mitigation expected comprising electric vehicle charging for proposed parking spaces and the control of dust during the construction phase. There is no further mitigation defined for a minor classification.
- 10.2 The effects of construction dust will be controlled by means of dust mitigation and management, as outlined by the selection of measures in **Appendix D**.
- 10.3 The development does not propose any parking; therefore, there is no electric vehicle charging consideration needed. No further mitigation for offsite impacts is required.
- 10.4 Any gas-fired boilers installed must meet a minimum standard of $<40 \text{ mgNO}_x/\text{kWh}$ ^[2].

11 Summary of Impacts and Conclusion


- 11.1 This air quality report assesses the potential changes in air quality due to the construction and operation of the proposed development and whether these potential changes would significantly alter air quality.
- 11.2 The assessment of dust soiling and human health impacts during the construction phase of the development results in the proposal of dust mitigation measures. The implementation of these will ensure that residual dust impacts during the construction phase are not significant.
- 11.3 Concentrations of NO₂ and PM₁₀ are likely to be below their respective long and short-term objectives at the proposed development site, which is therefore considered suitable for residential use with regards to air quality. Concentrations of PM_{2.5} are expected to be below the annual mean target.
- 11.4 The proposed development is not expected to have a significant impact on local air quality.
- 11.5 There is, therefore, no reason for this application to be refused on the grounds of air quality.

This page is left blank intentionally

APPENDICES

This page is left blank intentionally

Appendix A: Location of site, proposed building, AQMA and KC monitoring



This page is left blank intentionally

Appendix B: IAQM Dust Risk Assessment Methodology

The following section outlines criteria developed by the IAQM for the assessment of air quality impacts arising from construction and demolition activities⁶. The assessment procedure is divided into four steps and is summarised below:

Step 1: Screen the Need for a Detailed Assessment

An assessment will normally be required where there are human receptors within 250 m of the site boundary and/or within 50 m of the route(s) used by construction vehicles on the public highway, up to 250 m from the site entrance(s). Ecological receptors within 50 m of the site boundary or within 50 m of the route(s) used by construction vehicles on the public highway, up to 200 m from the site entrance(s), are also identified at this stage. An ecological receptor refers to any sensitive habitat affected by dust soiling. For locations with a statutory designation, such as a Site of Specific Scientific Interest (SSSI), Special Area of Conservation (SACs) and Special Protection Areas (SPAs), consideration should be given as to whether the particular site is sensitive to dust. Some non-statutory sites may also be considered if appropriate.

Where the need for a more detailed assessment is screened out, it can be concluded that the level of risk is 'negligible' and any effects will not be significant.

Step 2: Assess the Risk of Dust Impacts

In step two, a site is allocated to a risk category on the basis of the scale and nature of the works (Step 2A) and the sensitivity of the area to dust impacts (Step 2B). These two factors are combined in Step 2C to determine the risk of dust impacts before the implementation of mitigation measures. The assigned risk categories may be different for each of the construction activities outlined by the IAQM (construction, demolition, earthworks and trackout). A site can be divided into zones, for example on a large site where there are differing distances to the nearest receptors.

Step 2A: Define the Potential Dust Emission Magnitude

Dust emission magnitude is based on the scale of the anticipated works and is classified as Small, Medium or Large. The IAQM guidance recommends that the dust emission magnitude is determined separately for demolition, earthworks, construction and trackout. **Table B1** describes the potential dust emission class criteria for each outlined activity.

Table B1: Criteria Used in the Determination of Dust Emission Magnitude

Activity	Criteria used to Determine Dust Emission Magnitude		
	Small	Medium	Large
Demolition	Total building volume <12,000 m ³ , construction materials with low potential for dust release.	Total building volume 12,000 m ³ – 75,000 m ³ , potential dusty construction material.	Total building volume >75,000 m ³ , potentially dusty construction material.
Earthworks	Total site area <18,000 m ² , soil type with large grain	Total site area 18,000 – 110,000 m ² , moderately dusty soil type	Total site area >110,000 m ² , potentially dusty soil type
Construction	Total building volume <12,000 m ³ .	Total building volume 12,000 – 75,000 m ³ .	Total building volume >75,000 m ³ .
Trackout	<20 outward HDV trips in any one day. Unpaved road length <50 m.	20-50 outward HDV trips in any one day. Unpaved road length 50-100 m.	>50 outward HDV trips in any one day. Unpaved road length >100 m.

Step 2B: Define the Sensitivity of the Area

The sensitivity of the area takes into account the following factors:

- the specific sensitivities of receptors in the area;
- the proximity and number of receptors;
- the local background PM₁₀ concentration; and
- site-specific factors, such as whether there are natural shelters, such as trees, to reduce the risk of windblown dust.

The criteria detailed in **Table B2** is used to determine the sensitivity of the receptor in relation to dust soiling, health effects and ecological effects.

Table B2: Criteria for Determining Sensitivity of Receptors

Sensitivity of Receptor	Criteria for Determining Sensitivity		
	Dust Soiling Effects	Health Effects of PM ₁₀	Ecological Sites
High	Dwellings, museums and other culturally important collections, medium and long-term car parks and car showrooms	Residential properties, hospitals, schools and residential care homes	International or national designation <i>and</i> the features may be affected by dust soiling; or locations where there is a community of a particularly dust sensitive species such as vascular species included in the

Sensitivity of Receptor	Criteria for Determining Sensitivity		
	Dust Soiling Effects	Health Effects of PM ₁₀	Ecological Sites
			Red Data List For Great Britain
Medium	Parks, places of work	Office and shop workers not occupationally exposed to PM ₁₀	Presence of an important plant species where dust sensitivity is uncertain or locations with a national designation with features that may be affected by dust deposition
Low	Playing fields, farmland, footpaths, short-term car parks and roads	Public footpaths, playing fields, parks and shopping streets	Local designation where features may be affected by dust deposition

Table B3 and **Table B4** are then used to define the sensitivity of the area to dust soiling and human health effects. **Table B5** is used to define the sensitivity of the area to ecological impacts. This should be derived for each of construction, demolition, earthworks and trackout.

Table B3: Sensitivity of the Area to Dust Soiling Effects on People and Property.

Receptor Sensitivity	Number of Receptors	Distance from Source (m)*			
		<20	<50	<100	<250
High	>100	High	High	Medium	Low
	10-100	High	Medium	Low	Low
	1-10	Medium	Low	Low	Low
Medium	>1	Medium	Low	Low	Low
Low	>1	Low	Low	Low	Low

*distances considered are to the dust source

Table B4: Sensitivity of the Area to Human Health Impacts

Receptor Sensitivity	Annual Mean PM ₁₀ Concentrations	Number of Receptors	Distance from the Source (m)			
			<20	<50	<100	<250
High	>32 µg/m ³	>100	High	High	High	Medium
		10-100	High	High	Medium	Low
		1-10	High	Medium	Low	Low
	28-32 µg/m ³	>100	High	High	Medium	Low
		10-100	High	Medium	Low	Low

		1-10	High	Medium	Low	Low
		>100	High	Medium	Low	Low
	24-28 µg/m ³	10-100	High	Medium	Low	Low
		1-10	Medium	Low	Low	Low
		>100	Medium	Low	Low	Low
	<24 µg/m ³	10-100	Low	Low	Low	Low
		1-10	Low	Low	Low	Low
		>10	High	Medium	Low	Low
		1-10	Medium	Low	Low	Low
		>10	Medium	Low	Low	Low
	28-32 µg/m ³	1-10	Low	Low	Low	Low
		>10	Low	Low	Low	Low
	24-28 µg/m ³	1-10	Low	Low	Low	Low
		>10	Low	Low	Low	Low
		>10	Low	Low	Low	Low
	<24 µg/m ³	1-10	Low	Low	Low	Low
		>10	Low	Low	Low	Low
Low	-	>1	Low	Low	Low	Low

Table B5: Sensitivity of the Area to Ecological Impacts^{a, b, c}

Receptor Sensitivity	Distance from Source (m) ^c	
	<20	<50
High	High	Medium
Medium	Medium	Low
Low	Low	Low

^a The sensitivity of the area should be derived for each of the four activities: demolition, construction, earthworks and trackout and for each designated site. See STEP 2B, Box 8 and Box 9 of IAQM guidance.

^b Only the highest level of area sensitivity from the table needs to be considered.

^c For trackout, the distances should be measured from the side of the roads used by construction traffic. The impact declines with distance from the site.

The sensitivity of the area is then summarised.

Step 2C Define the Risks of Impacts

The dust emission magnitude from **Table B1** and sensitivity of the area and receptors from **Table B2, Table B3, Table B4** and **Table B5** are combined, and the risk of impacts from each activity (demolition, earthworks, construction and trackout) before mitigation is applied, is determined using the criteria detailed in **Table B6** to **Table B9**.

Table B6: Risk of Dust Impacts - Demolition

Potential Impact Sensitivity of the Area	Dust Emission Magnitude		
	Large	Medium	Small
High	High Risk	Medium Risk	Medium Risk
Medium	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
Low	Medium Risk	Low Risk	Negligible

Table B7: Risk of Dust Impacts- Earthworks

Potential Impact Sensitivity of the Area	Dust Emission Magnitude		
	Large	Medium	Small
High	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
Medium	Medium Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
Low	Low Risk	Low Risk	Negligible

Table B8: Risk of Dust Impacts- Construction

Potential Impact Sensitivity of the Area	Dust Emission Magnitude		
	Large	Medium	Small
High	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
Medium	Medium Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
Low	Low Risk	Low Risk	Negligible

Table B9: Risk of Dust Impacts- Trackout

Potential Sensitivity of the Area	Impact	Dust Emission Magnitude		
		Large	Medium	Small
High		High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
Medium		Medium Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
Low		Low Risk	Low Risk	Negligible

Step 3 Determine Site Specific Mitigation


Step three of the IAQM guidance identifies appropriate site-specific mitigation. These measures are related to whether the site is a low, medium or high risk site.

Step 4 Determine Significance of Residual Effects

At step four the significance of residual effects is assessed. For almost all construction activity, the aim should be to prevent significant effects on receptors through the use of effective mitigation. Experience shows that this is normally possible. Hence the residual effect will normally be 'not significant'.

There may be cases where, for example, there is inadequate access to water for dust suppression to be effective, and even with other mitigation measures in place there may be a significant effect. Therefore, it is important to consider the specific characteristics of the site and the surrounding area to ensure that a conclusion of no significant effect is robust.

Appendix C: Construction Dust Area of Effect



This page is left blank intentionally

Appendix D: IAQM Dust Assessment Mitigation

xx Highly Recommended

x Desirable

Measures relevant for earthworks, construction and trackout

Mitigation Measure	Low Risk
Communications	
Display the name and contact details of person(s) accountable for air quality and dust issues on the site boundary. This may be the environment manager/engineer or the site manager.	xx
Display the head or regional office contact information.	xx
Develop and implement a Dust Management Plan (DMP), which may include measures to control other emissions, approved by the Local Authority. The level of detail will depend on the risk, and should include as a minimum the highly recommended measures in this document. The desirable measures should be included as appropriate for the site. In London additional measures may be required to ensure compliance with the Mayor of London's guidance. The DMP may include monitoring of dust deposition, dust flux, realtime PM ₁₀ continuous monitoring and/or visual inspections.	x
Site management	
Record all dust and air quality complaints, identify cause(s), take appropriate measures to reduce emissions in a timely manner, and record the measures taken.	xx
Make the complaints log available to the local authority when asked.	xx
Record any exceptional incidents that cause dust and/or air emissions, either on- or offsite, and the action taken to resolve the situation in the log book.	xx
Monitoring	
Undertake daily on-site and off-site inspection, where receptors (including roads) are nearby, to monitor dust, record inspection results, and make the log available to the local authority when asked. This should include regular dust soiling checks of surfaces such as street furniture, cars and window sills within 100 m of site boundary, with cleaning to be provided if necessary.	x
Carry out regular site inspections to monitor compliance with the DMP, record inspection results, and make an inspection log available to the local authority when asked.	xx
Increase the frequency of site inspections by the person accountable for air quality and dust issues on site when activities with a high potential to produce dust are being carried out and during prolonged dry or windy conditions.	xx

Agree dust deposition, dust flux, or real-time PM₁₀ continuous monitoring locations with the Local Authority. Where possible commence baseline monitoring at least three months before work commences on site or, if it a large site, before work on a phase commences. Further guidance is provided by IAQM on monitoring during demolition, earthworks and construction. XX

Preparing and maintaining the site

Plan site layout so that machinery and dust causing activities are located away from receptors, as far as is possible. XX

Erect solid screens or barriers around dusty activities or the site boundary that are at least as high as any stockpiles on site. XX

Fully enclose site or specific operations where there is a high potential for dust production and the site is active for an extensive period. X

Avoid site runoff of water or mud. XX

Keep site fencing, barriers and scaffolding clean using wet methods. X

Remove materials that have a potential to produce dust from site as soon as possible, unless being re-used on site. If they are being re-used on-site cover as described below. X

Cover, seed or fence stockpiles to prevent wind whipping. X

Operating vehicle/machinery and sustainable travel

Ensure all on-road vehicles comply with the requirements of the London Low Emission Zone and the London NRMM standards, where applicable. XX

Ensure all vehicles switch off engines when stationary - no idling vehicles. XX

Avoid the use of diesel or petrol-powered generators and use mains electricity or battery powered equipment where practicable. XX

Impose and signpost a maximum-speed-limit of 15 mph on surfaced and 10 mph on unsurfaced haul roads and work areas (if long haul routes are required these speeds may be increased with suitable additional control measures provided, subject to the approval of the nominated undertaker and with the agreement of the local authority, where appropriate). X

Operations

Only use cutting, grinding or sawing equipment fitted or in conjunction with suitable dust suppression techniques such as water sprays or local extraction, e.g. suitable local exhaust ventilation systems. XX

Ensure an adequate water supply on the site for effective dust/particulate matter suppression/mitigation, using non-potable water where possible and appropriate. XX

Use enclosed chutes and conveyors and covered skips. XX

Minimise drop heights from conveyors, loading shovels, hoppers and other loading or handling equipment and use fine water sprays on such equipment wherever appropriate. XX

Ensure equipment is readily available on site to clean any dry spillages, and clean up spillages as soon as reasonably practicable after the event using wet cleaning methods. X

Waste management

Avoid bonfires and burning of waste materials. XX

Measures specific to construction


Mitigation Measure	Low Risk
Avoid scabbling (roughening of concrete surfaces) if possible.	x
Ensure sand and other aggregates are stored in bunded areas and are not allowed to dry out, unless this is required for a particular process, in which case ensure that appropriate additional control measures are in place.	x

Measures specific to trackout

Mitigation Measure	Low Risk
Use water-assisted dust sweeper(s) on the access and local roads, to remove, as necessary, any material tracked out of the site. This may require the sweeper being continuously in use.	x
Avoid dry sweeping of large areas.	x
Ensure vehicles entering and leaving sites are covered to prevent escape of materials during transport.	x
Record all inspections of haul routes and any subsequent action in a site log book.	x
Implement a wheel washing system (with rumble grids to dislodge accumulated dust and mud prior to leaving the site where reasonably practicable).	x

This page is left blank intentionally

Appendix E: NO₂ Fall Off With Distance Calculator⁹, Inputs and Result



Enter data into the pink cells

Step 1	How far from the KERB was your measurement made (in metres)?	2.8	metres
Step 2	How far from the KERB is your receptor (in metres)?	25	metres
Step 3	What is the local annual mean background NO ₂ concentration (in µg/m ³)?	15.82	µg/m ³
Step 4	What is your measured annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in µg/m ³)?	36.1	µg/m ³
Result	The predicted annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in µg/m ³) at your receptor	24.8	µg/m ³

Warning: your receptor is more than 20m further from the kerb than your monitor, treat result with caution

Glossary of Terms

AADT Annual Average Daily Traffic flow

Air Quality Standard Pollutant standards relate to ambient pollutant concentrations in air, set on the basis of medical and scientific evidence of how each pollutant affects human health and the environment

Air Quality Objective Pollutant Objectives incorporate future dates by which a standard is to be achieved, taking into account economic considerations, practicability and technical feasibility

Annual Mean A mean pollutant concentration value in air which is calculated on a yearly basis, yielding one annual mean per calendar year. In the UK air quality regulations, the annual mean for a particular substance at a particular location for a particular calendar year is:

- (a) in the case of lead, the mean of the daily levels for that year;
- (b) in the case of nitrogen dioxide, the mean of the hourly means for that year;
- (c) in the case of PM₁₀, the mean of the 24-hour means for that year.

Annoyance (Dust) Loss of amenity due to dust deposition or visible dust plumes, often related to people making complaints, but not necessarily sufficient to be a legal nuisance.

AQAP Air Quality Action Plan

AQMA Air Quality Management Area

AQMP Air Quality Management Plan

AQO Air Quality Objective

AQS Air Quality Strategy for England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland

Background Concentrations The term used to describe pollutant concentrations which exist in the ambient atmosphere, excluding local pollution sources such as roads and stacks

Construction Any activity involved with the provision of a new structure (or structures), its modification or refurbishment. A structure will include a residential dwelling, office building, retail outlet, road, etc.

Construction Impact Assessment An assessment of the impacts of demolition, earthworks, construction and trackout. In this Guidance, specifically the air quality impacts.

Defra Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs

Demolition Any activity involved with the removal of an existing structure (or structures). This may also be referred to as de-construction, specifically when a building is to be removed a small part at a time.

Deposited Dust that is no longer in the air and which has settled onto a surface. Deposited dust is also sometimes called amenity dust or nuisance dust, with the term nuisance applied in the general sense rather than the specific legal definition.

DMP Dust Management Plan; a document that describes the site-specific methods to be used to control dust emissions.

Dust Solid particles that are suspended in air, or have settled out onto a surface after having been suspended in air. The terms dust and particulate matter (PM) are often used interchangeably, although in some contexts one term tends to be used in preference to the other. In this guidance the term 'dust' has been used to include the particles that give rise to soiling, and to other human health and ecological effects. Note: this is different to the definition given in BS 6069, where dust refers to particles up to 75 µm in diameter.

Earthworks Covers the processes of soil-stripping, ground-levelling, excavation and landscaping.

Effects The consequences of the changes in airborne concentration and/or dust deposition for a receptor. These might manifest as annoyance due to soiling, increased morbidity or mortality due to exposure to PM₁₀ or PM_{2.5} or plant dieback due to reduced photosynthesis. The term 'significant effect' has a specific meaning in EIA regulations. The opposite is an insignificant effect. In the context of construction impacts any effect will usually be adverse, however, professional judgement is required to determine whether this adverse effect is significant based in the evidence presented.

EPUK Environmental Protection UK

HDV Heavy Duty Vehicle

Impacts The changes in airborne concentrations and/or dust deposition. A scheme can have an 'impact' on airborne dust without having any 'effects', for instance if there are no receptors to experience the impact.

LAQM Local Air Quality Management

LDV Light Duty Vehicle

Mg/m³ Microgrammes (of pollutant) per cubic metre of air. A measure of concentration in terms of mass per unit volume. A concentration of 1 µg/m³ means that one cubic metre of air contains one microgramme (millionth of a gramme) of pollutant

NO₂ Nitrogen Dioxide

NO_x A collective term used to represent the mixture of nitrogen oxides in the atmosphere, as nitric oxide (NO) and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂)

NPPF National Planning Policy Framework

Nuisance The term nuisance dust is often used in a general sense when describing amenity dust. However, this term also has specific meanings in environmental law:

Statutory nuisance, as defined in S79(1) of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 (as amended from time to time).

Private nuisance, arising from substantial interference with a person's enjoyment and use of his land.

Public nuisance, arising from an act or omission that obstructs, damages or inconveniences the right of the community.

Each of these applying in so far as the nuisance relates to the unacceptable effects of emissions. It is recognised that a significant loss of amenity may occur at lower levels of emission than would constitute a statutory nuisance.

Note: as nuisance has a specific meaning in environmental law, and to avoid confusion, it is recommended that the term is not used in a more general sense.

PM_{2.5} The fraction of particles with a mean aerodynamic diameter equal to, or less than, 2.5 µm. More strictly, particulate matter which passes through a size selective inlet as defined in the reference method for the sampling and measurement of PM_{2.5}, EN 14907, with a 50% efficiency cut-off at 2.5 µm aerodynamic diameter

PM₁₀ The fraction of particles with a mean aerodynamic diameter equal to, or less than, 10 µm. More strictly, particulate matter which passes through a size selective inlet as defined in the reference method for the sampling and measurement of PM₁₀, EN 12341, with a 50% efficiency cut-off at 10 µm aerodynamic diameter

Running Annual Mean A mean pollutant concentration value in air which is calculated on an hourly basis, yielding one running annual mean per hour. The running annual mean for a particular substance at a particular location for a particular hour is the mean of the hourly levels for that substance at that location for that hour and the preceding 8759 hours

Trackout The transport of dust and dirt from the construction/demolition site onto the public road network, where it may be deposited and then re-suspended by vehicles using the network. This arises when heavy duty vehicles (HDVs) leave the construction/demolition site with dusty materials, which may then spill onto the road, and/or when HDVs transfer dust and dirt onto the road having travelled over muddy ground on site.

