

**KIRKLEES METROPOLITAN COUNCIL
INVESTMENT & REGENERATION SERVICE**

DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT

Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended) – SECTION 70

DELEGATED DECISION TO DETERMINE PLANNING APPLICATIONS

Reference No:	2024/62/91757/E
Site Address:	15, Robin Royd Drive, Mirfield, WF14 0LA
Description:	Conversion and alterations to garage to form living space and porch to front
Recommending Officer:	Jennifer Booth

DECISION – CONDITIONAL FULL PERMISSION

I hereby authorise the approval of this application for the reasons set out in the officer's report and recommendation annexed below in respect of the above matter.

Julia Steadman

AUTHORISED OFFICER

Date: 21-Aug-2024

OFFICER REPORT

Site Description

15 Robin Royd Drive is a semi-detached, brick-built dwelling with a garden to the front, drive to the side leading to a detached garage and an enclosed garden to the rear.

The property is located on a cul-de-sac with properties of a similar age and style.

Description of Proposal

The applicant is seeking permission for a porch to the front and conversion and new roof for garage.

The porch would project 1.3m with a width of 1.6m and with a lean-to roof form. The walls would be constructed using brick with tiles for the roof covering.

The roof over the side extension would be altered from a flat roof to a lean-to roof form with a change to the materials to face in brick.

Relevant Planning History

2023/91392 - erection of front porch and two storey side extension - refused

2023/92968 - erection of front porch and two storey side extension - refused

Also of relevance are approvals for 5 Robin Royd Drive for a two storey side extension under 2016/90307 and 9 Robin Royd Drive for a side extension under 2018/91015. There is also a two storey side extension at the adjoining 13 Robin Royd Drive which is over 50 years old - present on the 1974 plotting sheets.

Mirfield Town Council have been consulted although no comments have been received.

Representations

The application was advertised by neighbour letters, which expired on 08/08/2024

As a result of the above publicity, no representations have been received.

Consultation Responses

None

Policy

Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 requires that planning applications are determined in accordance with the Development Plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise. The statutory Development Plan for Kirklees is the Local Plan (adopted 27th February 2019).

The site is UNALLOCATED on the Kirklees Local Plan Proposals Map

On 12th November 2019, the Council adopted a target for achieving 'net zero' carbon emissions by 2038, with an accompanying carbon budget set by the Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research. National Planning Policy includes a requirement to promote carbon reduction and enhance resilience to climate change through the planning system and these principles have been incorporated into the formulation of Local Plan policies. The Local Plan predates the declaration of a climate emergency and the net zero carbon target; however, it includes a series of policies which are used to assess the suitability of planning applications in the context of climate change. When determining planning applications, the Council will use the relevant Local Plan policies and guidance documents to embed the climate change agenda.

Kirklees Local Plan Policies

- **LP 1** – Achieving sustainable development
- **LP 2** – Place shaping
- **LP 22** – Parking
- **LP 24** - Design

Kirklees Council adopted supplementary planning guidance on house extensions on 29th June 2021 which now carries full weight in decision making. This guidance indicates how the Council will usually interpret its policies regarding such built development, although the general thrust of the advice is aligned with both the Kirklees Local Plan (KLP) and the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), requiring development to be considerate in terms of the character of the host property and the wider street scene. As such, it is anticipated that this SPD will assist with ensuring enhanced consistency in both approach and outcomes relating to house extensions.

The site is within Mirfield Neighbourhood Area. There is no made Neighbourhood Development Plan (NDP) within the Mirfield Neighbourhood Area at present. Furthermore, there is no emerging NDP to be considered as a material consideration in assessment of this application. Further details on the progress of neighbourhood development plans in the district can be found at:

<https://www.kirklees.gov.uk/beta/planning-policy/neighbourhood-planning.aspx>

However, the Mirfield Design Guide 2002 is still of relevance and requires development to be in keeping with the area.

National Policies and Guidance:

National planning policy and guidance is set out in National Policy Statements, primarily the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) published 20th July 2021, and the Planning Practice Guidance Suite (PPGS) first launched 6th March 2014 together with Circulars, Ministerial Statements and associated technical guidance.

The NPPF constitutes guidance for local planning authorities and is a material consideration in determining applications.

- Chapter 12 – Achieving well-designed places
- Chapter 14 – Meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding and coastal change

Assessment

Principle of development:

The site is without notation on the Kirklees Local Plan (KLP). Policy LP1 of the KLP states that when considering development proposals, the Council will take a positive approach that reflects the presumption in favour of sustainable development contained in the NPPF. In terms of extending and making alterations to a property, Policy LP24 of the KLP is relevant, in conjunction with the House Extensions & Alterations SPD and Chapter 12 of the NPPF, regarding design. In this case, the principle of development is considered acceptable, and the proposal shall now be assessed against all other material planning considerations, including visual and residential amenity, as well as highway safety.

Planning permission has been refused twice last year for the same proposal.

Impact on visual amenity:

Key Design Principle 1 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD does state that extensions and alterations to residential properties should be in keeping with the appearance, scale, design and local character of the area and the street scene. Furthermore, Key Design Principle 2 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD goes onto state that extensions should not dominate or be larger than the original house and should be in keeping with the existing building in terms of scale, materials and details.

The proposal under consideration consists of two distinct elements which shall be addressed below.

Single storey front porch

Paragraph 5.13 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD states that front extensions are highly prominent in the street scene. As per paragraph 5.14 of

the House Extensions & Alterations SPD, careful consideration needs to be given to ensure that they are carefully designed to limit the potential for them to erode the character, they should be small and subservient to the main house and constructed using appropriate materials.

The scale of the porch is modest, and the materials proposed would match the main house. The porch is therefore considered to be acceptable in terms of visual amenity.

Alterations to existing side extension

Paragraph 4.2 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD states that “the local context, character and identity of the area will be a significant factor in determining the appropriate form and scale of alterations”. Paragraph 4.6 states “where extensions seek to differ from the existing materials, design, **roof pitch** or detailing, proposals will be considered on a case-by-case basis.”

The property has an existing single storey extension to the side with a flat roof form. The proposals now under consideration would alter the roof to a lean-to roof form and alter the materials to brick to match the main house with a window on the front elevation instead of a garage door. The changes proposed would match the main house and fit in with the area.

Having taken the above into account, the proposals would not cause any significant harm to the visual amenity of either the host dwelling or the wider street scene, complying with Policy LP24 of the Kirklees Local Plan (a) in terms of the form, scale and layout and (c) as the extension would form a subservient addition to the property in keeping with the existing building, KDP 1 & 2 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD and the aims of chapter 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Impact on residential amenity:

Consideration in relation to the impact on the residential amenity of neighbouring occupants shall now be set out, taking into account policy LP24 c), which sets out that proposals should promote good design by, amongst other things, extensions minimising impact on residential amenity of future and neighbouring occupiers. The House Extensions & Alterations SPD goes into further detail with respect to Key Design Principle 3 on privacy, Key Design Principle 5 on overshadowing/loss of light and Key Design Principle 6 on preventing overbearing impact.

Impact on 13 Robin Royd Drive

The porch would be set back from the boundary with the adjoining dwelling and is of a limited scale. As such, the porch would result in no overbearing, overshadowing or overlooking.

The alterations to the existing side extension would be located on the opposite side of the host property to the adjoining dwelling and as such there would be no overlooking, overshadowing or overbearing.

With regards to the impact on the adjoining 13 Robin Royd Drive, the scheme has been considered in terms of KDP3 – privacy, KDP5 – overshadowing and KDP 6 – overbearing impact of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD, policy LP24 of the KLP c) in term of minimising impact on neighbouring occupiers and advice within chapter 12, paragraph 130 of the NPPF and the proposals are considered to be acceptable.

Impact on 17 Robin Royd Drive

The porch would be single storey with a limited projection. With the neighbours drive between the properties, the porch would result in no overshadowing, overbearing or overlooking.

The alterations to the side extension would not reduce the space between the host property and the adjacent dwelling. Furthermore, the land to the side of the neighbouring property is the drive and although there is a window in the side elevation of the neighbouring property at first floor, this window serves a landing area which is not considered to be habitable. The proposed alterations to the side extension would therefore no result in any overlooking, overshadowing or overbearing.

With regards to the impact on the adjacent 17 Robin Royd Drive, the scheme has been considered in terms of KDP3 – privacy, KDP5 – overshadowing and KDP 6 – overbearing impact of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD, policy LP24 of the KLP c) in term of minimising impact on neighbouring occupiers and advice within chapter 12, paragraph 130 of the NPPF and the proposals are considered to be acceptable.

Impact on 6 Robin Royd Drive

The neighbouring property opposite occupies a position some 26m from the host property. As the porch and alterations to the side extension would not reduce the space between the properties, there would be no overlooking, overshadowing or overbearing impact.

With regards to the impact on the neighbouring 6 Robin Royd Drive, the scheme has been considered in terms of KDP3 – privacy, KDP5 – overshadowing and KDP 6 – overbearing impact of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD, policy LP24 of the KLP c) in term of minimising impact on neighbouring occupiers and advice within chapter 12, paragraph 130 of the NPPF and the proposals are considered to be acceptable.

Impact on 5 & 6 Robin Royd Croft

The neighbouring properties to the rear occupy positions some 21m from the host property. The proposed alterations to the side extension would not

reduce the space between the buildings and as such there would be no overlooking, overshadowing or overbearing.

With regards to the impact on the neighbouring 5 & 6 Robin Royd Croft, the scheme is considered to be acceptable in terms of KDP3 – privacy, KDP5 – overshadowing and KDP 6 – overbearing impact of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD, policy LP24 of the KLP c) in term of minimising impact on neighbouring occupiers and advice within chapter 12, paragraph 130 of the NPPF.

Having considered the above factors, the proposals are not considered to result in any adverse impact upon the residential amenity of any surrounding neighbouring occupants, complying with Policy LP24 of the Kirklees Local Plan (b) in terms of the amenities of neighbouring properties, Key Design Principles 3, 5, 6 & 7 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD and Paragraph 130 (f) of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Impact on highway safety:

The proposals will not result in any intensification of the domestic use although there would be the loss of the existing garage. That being said, whilst not ideal, the scheme is not considered to be significantly harmful in terms of highway safety. Bin storage for the dwelling would not be moved as part of the proposals. The proposals broadly comply with Policy LP22 of the Kirklees Local Plan along with Key Design Principles 15 & 16 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD.

Other matters:

Carbon Budget

The proposal is a small-scale domestic development to an existing dwelling. As such, no special measures were required in terms of the planning application with regards to carbon emissions. However, there are controls in terms of Building Regulations which will need to be adhered to as part of the construction process which will require compliance with national standards.

There are no other matters for consideration.

Representations:

None

Proposed conditions

Along with the standard timescale condition, which is a requirement of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, it is considered appropriate to add the following conditions.

Accordance with the approved plans to ensure the development is carried out in line with the officer's assessment.

Matching materials to ensure that the extensions harmonise with the host property as using alternative materials would look out of place within the street scene.

Conclusion:

This application to erect a porch and two storey extension to the side of 15 Robin Royd Drive has been assessed against relevant policies in the development plan as listed in the policy section of the report, the House Extension & Alterations SPD, the National Planning Policy Framework and other material considerations.

The NPPF has introduced a presumption in favour of sustainable development. The policies set out in the NPPF taken as a whole constitute the Government's view of what sustainable development means in practice.

As set out above, this application has been assessed against relevant policies in the development plan and other material considerations. It is considered that the development would constitute sustainable development and is therefore recommended for approval.

Recommendation

Approve

Decision Authorisation - Delegated Powers

Application Number: 2024/91757

Officer Recommendation: Approve

Conditions and Reasons

1. The development hereby permitted shall be begun within three years of the date of this permission.

Reason: Pursuant to the requirements of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

2. The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in complete accordance with the plans and specifications schedule listed in this decision notice, except as may be specified in the conditions attached to this permission, which shall in all cases take precedence.

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt as to what is being permitted and so as to ensure the satisfactory appearance of the development on completion, and to accord with Policy LP24 of the Kirklees Local Plan, Key Design Principles of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD and the aims of the National Planning Policy Framework.

3. The external walls and roofing materials of the extension hereby approved shall in all respects match those used in the construction of the existing building.

Reason: In the interests of visual amenity and to accord with Policy LP24 of the Kirklees Local Plan, Key Design Principles of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD and the aims of chapter 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

NOTE: The granting of planning permission does not override any private legal rights or consents that may be required. It is the responsibility of the applicant / developer to ensure that all appropriate consents are in place prior to any development commencing; during the period of construction existing access for neighbouring properties is maintained; and no damage is caused to the access driveway or surrounding properties.

NOTE: To minimise noise disturbance at nearby premises it is generally recommended that activities relating to the erection, construction, alteration, repair or maintenance of buildings, structures or roads shall not take place outside the hours of:

07.30 and 18.30 hours, Mondays to Fridays

08.00 and 13.00hours, Saturdays

With no working Sundays or Public Holidays

In some cases, different site-specific hours of operation may be appropriate. Under the Control of Pollution Act 1974, Section 60 Kirklees Environment and Transportation Services can control noise from construction sites by serving a notice. This notice can specify the hours during which the works may be carried out.

Plans and specifications schedule: -

Plan Type	Reference	Web ID	Date Received
Existing & proposed plans	02	1047234	20/06/2024
Climate change statement	-	1047233	20/06/2024

Pursuant to article 35 (2) of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) Order 2015 and guidance in the National Planning Policy Framework, the Local Planning Authority have, where possible, made a pre-application advice service available, complied with the Kirklees Development Management Charter 2015 and otherwise actively engaged with the applicant in dealing with the application.

As the submitted plans were considered to be acceptable, no changes were sought.

Report Dated

08/08/2024