

**KIRKLEES METROPOLITAN COUNCIL
INVESTMENT & REGENERATION SERVICE**

DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT

Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended) – SECTION 70

DELEGATED DECISION TO DETERMINE PLANNING APPLICATIONS

Reference No:	2024/62/91514/E
Site Address:	82, Jenkyn Lane, Shepley, Huddersfield, HD8 8AW
Description:	Erection of single storey rear extension & first floor extension
Recommending Officer:	Jennifer Booth

DECISION – CONDITIONAL FULL PERMISSION

I hereby authorise the approval of this application for the reasons set out in the officer's report and recommendation annexed below in respect of the above matter.

Julia Steadman

AUTHORISED OFFICER

Date: 22-Jul-2024

OFFICER REPORT

Site Description

82 Jenkyn Lane is a stone fronted, semi-detached dwelling at the end of the road with a garden and drive to the front, attached garage and path to the side and an enclosed garden to the rear.

There are residential properties to the sides and rear with the properties on the north side occupying a lower land level. The property faces onto open fields.

Description of Proposal

The applicant is seeking permission for a first floor side extension and a single and two storey rear extension.

The side extension would be constructed over the garage. The extension would lie flush with the front of the property and the roof form would be hipped. The plans show a juliet balcony on the front elevation at first floor level. The plans show roof lights in the roof with additional accommodation proposed in the roof space.

The rear extension would be single storey with a projection of 3m, extending across the width of the property. The roof form over the single storey element would be lean to with roof lights.

The front wall is proposed to be constructed using stone with brick for the side and rear walls. The roofs would be tiled.

Relevant Planning History

2022/92608 - certificate of lawful development for a garden room to rear - granted

2024/90561 - erection of side and rear extensions - refused

Representations

The application was advertised by neighbour letters, which expired on 09/07/2024

As a result of the above publicity, no representations have been received.

Cllr J Taylor has written in support of the proposals.

Kirkburton Parish Council - No comments received at the time of writing this report.

Consultation Responses

None

Policy

Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 requires that planning applications are determined in accordance with the Development Plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise. The statutory Development Plan for Kirklees is the Local Plan (adopted 27th February 2019).

The site is UNALLOCATED on the Kirklees Local Plan Proposals Map

On 12th November 2019, the Council adopted a target for achieving 'net zero' carbon emissions by 2038, with an accompanying carbon budget set by the Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research. National Planning Policy includes a requirement to promote carbon reduction and enhance resilience to climate change through the planning system and these principles have been incorporated into the formulation of Local Plan policies. The Local Plan predates the declaration of a climate emergency and the net zero carbon target; however, it includes a series of policies which are used to assess the suitability of planning applications in the context of climate change. When determining planning applications, the Council will use the relevant Local Plan policies and guidance documents to embed the climate change agenda.

Kirklees Local Plan Policies

- **LP 1** – Achieving sustainable development
- **LP 2** – Place shaping
- **LP 22** – Parking
- **LP 24** - Design

Kirklees Council adopted supplementary planning guidance on house extensions on 29th June 2021 which now carries full weight in decision making. This guidance indicates how the Council will usually interpret its policies regarding such built development, although the general thrust of the advice is aligned with both the Kirklees Local Plan (KLP) and the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), requiring development to be considerate in terms of the character of the host property and the wider street scene. As such, it is anticipated that this SPD will assist with ensuring enhanced consistency in both approach and outcomes relating to house extensions.

National Policies and Guidance:

National planning policy and guidance is set out in National Policy Statements, primarily the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) published December 2023, and the Planning Practice Guidance Suite (PPGS) first launched 6th March 2014 together with Circulars, Ministerial Statements and associated technical guidance.

The NPPF constitutes guidance for local planning authorities and is a material consideration in determining applications.

- Chapter 12 – Achieving well-designed and beautiful places
- Chapter 14 – Meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding and coastal change

Assessment

Principle of development:

The site is without notation on the Kirklees Local Plan (KLP). Policy LP1 of the KLP states that when considering development proposals, the Council will take a positive approach that reflects the presumption in favour of sustainable development contained in the NPPF. In terms of extending and making alterations to a property, Policy LP24 of the KLP is relevant, in conjunction with the House Extensions & Alterations SPD and Chapter 12 of the NPPF, regarding design. In this case, the principle of development is considered acceptable, and the proposal shall now be assessed against all other material planning considerations, including visual and residential amenity, as well as highway safety.

Planning permission was refused earlier this year for a larger side extension. The current proposals have reduced the size of the extension proposed and shall be assessed below.

Impact on visual amenity:

Key Design Principle 1 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD does state that extensions and alterations to residential properties should be in keeping with the appearance, scale, design and local character of the area and the street scene. Furthermore, Key Design Principle 2 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD goes on to state that extensions should not dominate or be larger than the original house and should be in keeping with the existing building in terms of scale, materials and details.

The proposal under consideration consists of two distinct elements which shall be addressed below.

Two storey side extension

Paragraphs 5.15, 5.19 & 5.21 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD are of relevance with regards to the side extension as they require the development proposed to be located and designed to minimise the impact on the character of the area, reflect the original building in terms of materials and detailing and ensure adequate space is retained to provide a sense of space.

Although the side extension is not set back or set down, the property is located at the end of the street with an ample garden area to the side which

would preserve the sense of space. The scale can therefore be considered to be acceptable. The extension would be constructed using stone for the walling with tiles for the roof covering which would match the main house. The detailing does include a larger opening at first floor on the front elevation to form a Juliet balcony which is considered to form an acceptable relationship with the host property. The side extension is therefore considered to be acceptable in terms of visual amenity.

Single storey rear extension

Paragraphs 5.1 and 5.2 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD go into further specific detail regarding rear extensions requiring development to maintain the quality of the residential environment, respect the original house and use appropriate materials.

Detailing

It is noted that the property has a new outbuilding at the rear. However, given the limited projection of the rear extension proposed, a reasonable amenity space would be retained. The design is considered to be acceptable relative to the host property. The materials proposed include the use of brick for the walling with tiles for the roof covering which would match the main house. The rear extension is therefore considered to be acceptable in terms of visual amenity.

Having taken the above into account, the proposals would not cause any significant harm to the visual amenity of either the host dwelling or the wider street scene, complying with Policy LP24 of the Kirklees Local Plan (a) in terms of the form, scale and layout and (c) as the extension would form a subservient addition to the property in keeping with the existing building, KDP 1 & 2 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD and the aims of chapter 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Impact on residential amenity:

Consideration in relation to the impact on the residential amenity of neighbouring occupants shall now be set out, taking into account policy LP24 c), which sets out that proposals should promote good design by, amongst other things, extensions minimising impact on residential amenity of future and neighbouring occupiers. The House Extensions & Alterations SPD goes into further detail with respect to Key Design Principle 3 on privacy, Key Design Principle 5 on overshadowing/loss of light and Key Design Principle 6 on preventing overbearing impact.

There are no properties to the front which could be affected by the works proposed.

Impact on 80 Jenkyn Lane

The side extension would be located on the opposite side of the host property to the adjoining dwelling and would have no potential to result in any overlooking, overshadowing or overbearing.

The single storey element of the rear extension would be constructed along the shared boundary with the adjoining property. The neighbour has their own extension which would mitigate the potential for any overbearing or overshadowing. The fence between the properties limits the potential for any overlooking. The first floor element would be set back 4m from the shared boundary and as such would result in no significant overlooking, overshadowing or overbearing.

With regards to the impact on the adjoining 80 Jenkyn Lane, the scheme has been considered in terms of KDP3 – privacy, KDP5 – overshadowing and KDP 6 – overbearing impact of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD, policy LP24 of the KLP c) in term of minimising impact on neighbouring occupiers and advice within chapter 12, paragraph 135 of the NPPF and the proposals are considered to be acceptable.

Impact on 46 & 48 Jos Lane

The proposed side extension would be approx. 16m from the rear elevations of the neighbouring 46 & 48 Jos Lane. It is appreciated the host property occupies an elevated position relative to these neighbours. However, the position of the extension to the southwest and the separation would limit any substantial overshadowing or overbearing. The single window proposed in the side elevation would be an obscurely glazed secondary bedroom window. The rear extensions would be set back from these properties with limited potential for overlooking, overshadowing or overbearing.

With regards to the impact on the adjacent 46 & 48 Jos Lane, the scheme has been considered in terms of KDP3 – privacy, KDP5 – overshadowing and KDP 6 – overbearing impact of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD, policy LP24 of the KLP c) in term of minimising impact on neighbouring occupiers and advice within chapter 12, paragraph 135 of the NPPF and the proposals are considered to be acceptable.

Impact on 131/133 Stocks Way

The properties to the rear are sited around 27m to the rear of the host property. Given the separation between the proposed, the proposed extensions would result in no overlooking, overshadowing or overbearing.

With regards to the impact on the neighbouring 131 and 133 Stocks Lane, the scheme has been considered in terms of KDP3 – privacy, KDP5 – overshadowing and KDP 6 – overbearing impact of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD, policy LP24 of the KLP c) in term of minimising impact on

neighbouring occupiers and advice within chapter 12, paragraph 135 of the NPPF and the proposals are considered to be acceptable.

Having considered the above factors, the proposals are not considered to result in any adverse impact upon the residential amenity of any surrounding neighbouring occupants, complying with Policy LP24 of the Kirklees Local Plan (b) in terms of the amenities of neighbouring properties, Key Design Principles 3, 5, 6 & 7 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD and Paragraph 135 (f) of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Impact on highway safety:

The proposals will result in some intensification of the domestic use. However, the parking area to the front and side of the property would not be affected by the proposed extension and is considered to represent a sufficient provision. Bin storage for the dwelling would not be moved as part of the proposals. As such the scheme would not represent any additional harm in terms of highway safety and as such complies with Policy LP22 of the Kirklees Local Plan along with Key Design Principles 15 & 16 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD.

Other matters:

Carbon Budget

The proposal is a small scale domestic development to an existing dwelling. As such, no special measures were required in terms of the planning application with regards to carbon emissions. However, there are controls in terms of Building Regulations which will need to be adhered to as part of the construction process which will require compliance with national standards.

There are no other matters for consideration.

Representations:

None

Negotiations:

None

Proposed conditions

Along with the standard timescale condition, which is a requirement of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, it is considered appropriate to add the following conditions.

Accordance with the approved plans to ensure the development is carried out in line with the officer's assessment.

Matching materials to ensure that the extensions harmonise with the host property as using alternative materials would look out of place within the street scene.

Conclusion:

This application to erect a two storey side extension and single storey rear extension at 82 Jenkyn Lane has been assessed against relevant policies in the development plan as listed in the policy section of the report, the House Extensions & Alterations SPD, the National Planning Policy Framework and other material considerations. Given the acceptable design and lack of harm in terms of visual and residential amenity, the proposals are considered to be acceptable.

The NPPF has introduced a presumption in favour of sustainable development. The policies set out in the NPPF taken as a whole constitute the Government's view of what sustainable development means in practice.

As set out above, this application has been assessed against relevant policies in the development plan and other material considerations. It is considered that the development would constitute sustainable development and is therefore recommended for approval.

Recommendation

Approve

Decision Authorisation - Delegated Powers

Application Number: 2024/91514

Officer Recommendation: Approve

Conditions and Reasons

1. The development hereby permitted shall be begun within three years of the date of this permission.

Reason: Pursuant to the requirements of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

2. The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in complete accordance with the plans and specifications schedule listed in this decision notice, except as may be specified in the conditions attached to this permission, which shall in all cases take precedence.

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt as to what is being permitted and so as to ensure the satisfactory appearance of the development on completion, and to accord with Policy LP24 of the Kirklees Local Plan, Key Design Principles of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD and the aims of the National Planning Policy Framework.

3. The external walls and roofing materials of the extensions hereby approved shall in all respects match those used in the construction of the existing building.

Reason: In the interests of visual amenity and to accord with Policy LP24 of the Kirklees Local Plan, Key Design Principles of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD and the aims of chapter 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

NOTE: The granting of planning permission does not override any private legal rights or consents that may be required. It is the responsibility of the applicant / developer to ensure that all appropriate consents are in place prior to any development commencing; during the period of construction existing access for neighbouring properties is maintained; and no damage is caused to the access driveway or surrounding properties.

NOTE: To minimise noise disturbance at nearby premises it is generally recommended that activities relating to the erection, construction, alteration, repair or maintenance of buildings, structures or roads shall not take place outside the hours of:

07.30 and 18.30 hours, Mondays to Fridays
08.00 and 13.00hours, Saturdays

With no working Sundays or Public Holidays

In some cases, different site specific hours of operation may be appropriate. Under the Control of Pollution Act 1974, Section 60 Kirklees Environment and Transportation Services can control noise from construction sites by serving a notice. This notice can specify the hours during which the works may be carried out.

Plans and specifications schedule: -

Plan Type	Reference	Web ID	Date Received
Location plan	-	1043156	28/05/2024
Existing plans	-	1043152	28/05/2024
Proposed site plan	-	1043151	28/05/2024
Proposed floor plans	-	1043154	28/05/2024
Proposed elevations	-	1043153	28/05/2024
Climate change statement	-	1043155	28/05/2024

Pursuant to article 35 (2) of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) Order 2015 and guidance in the National Planning Policy Framework, the Local Planning Authority have, where possible, made a pre-application advice service available, complied with the Kirklees Development Management Charter 2015 and otherwise actively engaged with the applicant in dealing with the application.

As the submitted plans were considered to be acceptable, no changes were sought.

Report Dated

18/07/2024
