

**KIRKLEES METROPOLITAN COUNCIL  
INVESTMENT & REGENERATION SERVICE**

**DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT**

**Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended) – SECTION 70**

**DELEGATED DECISION TO DETERMINE PLANNING APPLICATIONS**

Reference No:	<b>2024/62/90925/E</b>
Site Address:	114, Hunsworth Lane, Hunsworth, Cleckheaton, BD19 4DP
Description:	Raising of roof height and erection of extension to roof to create first floor living accommodation, erection of first floor rear extension and alterations
Recommending Officer:	Jennifer Booth

**DECISION – CONDITIONAL FULL PERMISSION**

**I hereby authorise the approval of this application for the reasons set out in the officer's report and recommendation annexed below in respect of the above matter.**

Emma Thompson

***AUTHORISED OFFICER***

**Date: 07-Jun-2024**

## **OFFICER REPORT**

### **Site Description**

114 Hunsworth Lane is a detached 1950's property of brick construction with mostly single storey accommodations although there is a two storey section and a number of later additions including a stone side extension and a conservatory to the front. The property has a drive and gardens to the front, with gardens to the side and rear.

The property is located on the corner of Hunsworth Lane and Whitehall Road with a mix of property types surrounding.

### **Description of Proposal**

The applicant is seeking permission to raise the roof height to provide accommodation and for first floor extensions to the rear with associated alterations.

The footprint of the property would not be increased. The eaves would be carried across the front of the property at the same level, bringing the extension in line with the original. The hipped roof would be altered to a gable with an increase in height to match the apex of the two storey extension. The first floor rear extension would be built over the existing terrace.

The plinth would be a combination of brick and stone with render for the walls and tiles for the roof covering.

### **Relevant Planning History**

None

### **Representations**

The application was advertised by neighbour letters, which expired on 24/05/2024

As a result of the above publicity, no representations have been received.

### **Consultation Responses**

None

### **Policy**

Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 requires that planning applications are determined in accordance with the

Development Plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise. The statutory Development Plan for Kirklees is the Local Plan (adopted 27<sup>th</sup> February 2019).

The site is UNALLOCATED on the Kirklees Local Plan Proposals Map

On 12<sup>th</sup> November 2019, the Council adopted a target for achieving 'net zero' carbon emissions by 2038, with an accompanying carbon budget set by the Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research. National Planning Policy includes a requirement to promote carbon reduction and enhance resilience to climate change through the planning system and these principles have been incorporated into the formulation of Local Plan policies. The Local Plan predates the declaration of a climate emergency and the net zero carbon target; however, it includes a series of policies which are used to assess the suitability of planning applications in the context of climate change. When determining planning applications, the Council will use the relevant Local Plan policies and guidance documents to embed the climate change agenda.

### **Kirklees Local Plan Policies**

- **LP 1** – Achieving sustainable development
- **LP 2** – Place shaping
- **LP 22** – Parking
- **LP 24** - Design

Kirklees Council adopted supplementary planning guidance on house extensions on 29<sup>th</sup> June 2021 which now carries full weight in decision making. This guidance indicates how the Council will usually interpret its policies regarding such built development, although the general thrust of the advice is aligned with both the Kirklees Local Plan (KLP) and the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), requiring development to be considerate in terms of the character of the host property and the wider street scene. As such, it is anticipated that this SPD will assist with ensuring enhanced consistency in both approach and outcomes relating to house extensions.

### **National Policies and Guidance:**

National planning policy and guidance is set out in National Policy Statements, primarily the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) published December 2023, and the Planning Practice Guidance Suite (PPGS) first launched 6<sup>th</sup> March 2014 together with Circulars, Ministerial Statements and associated technical guidance.

The NPPF constitutes guidance for local planning authorities and is a material consideration in determining applications.

- Chapter 12 – Achieving well-designed and beautiful places
- Chapter 14 – Meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding and coastal change

## Assessment

### Principle of development:

The site is without notation on the Kirklees Local Plan (KLP). Policy LP1 of the KLP states that when considering development proposals, the Council will take a positive approach that reflects the presumption in favour of sustainable development contained in the NPPF. In terms of extending and making alterations to a property, Policy LP24 of the KLP is relevant, in conjunction with the House Extensions & Alterations SPD and Chapter 12 of the NPPF, regarding design. In this case, the principle of development is considered acceptable, and the proposal shall now be assessed against all other material planning considerations, including visual and residential amenity, as well as highway safety.

### Impact on visual amenity:

Key Design Principle 1 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD does state that extensions and alterations to residential properties should be in keeping with the appearance, scale, design and local character of the area and the street scene. Furthermore, Key Design Principle 2 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD goes on to state that extensions should not dominate or be larger than the original house and should be in keeping with the existing building in terms of scale, materials and details.

The works proposed include a significant alteration in terms of the scale of the property. Paragraph 4.2 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD states that “the local context, character and identity of the area will be a significant factor in determining the appropriate form and scale of alterations”. Paragraph 4.6 states “where extensions seek to differ from the **existing materials, design, roof pitch or detailing**, proposals will be considered on a case by case basis.”

Paragraphs 5.1 and 5.2 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD go into further specific detail regarding rear extensions requiring development to maintain the quality of the residential environment, respect the original house and use appropriate materials.

The proposed alterations to the house in terms of increasing the height of the property and extending first floor at the rear would not be considered to be subservient to the original house. However, in this instance, this can be considered to be justifiable given the mix of styles of properties in the area, the overall enhancement in the appearance of the main house – which is an odd looking amalgamation of previous extensions and the separation between the host property and the surrounding development. As such, the increase in height, alteration of the hipped roof form to a combination of gabled roof forms can be considered to be justifiable. The use of render for the main finish of the dwelling would form an appropriate relationship with the wider area given the mixed palette in the surroundings. A condition regarding the colour should be included and an off white finish is suggested. The alterations and extensions

are therefore considered to be acceptable with the character of the wider area and can be considered to be acceptable in terms of visual amenity.

Having taken the above into account, the proposals would not cause any significant harm to the visual amenity of either the host dwelling or the wider street scene, complying with Policy LP24 of the Kirklees Local Plan (a) in terms of the form, scale and layout and (c) as the extension would form a subservient addition to the property in keeping with the existing building, KDP 1 & 2 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD and the aims of chapter 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

#### Impact on residential amenity:

Consideration in relation to the impact on the residential amenity of neighbouring occupants shall now be set out, taking into account policy LP24 c), which sets out that proposals should promote good design by, amongst other things, extensions minimising impact on residential amenity of future and neighbouring occupiers. The House Extensions & Alterations SPD goes into further detail with respect to Key Design Principle 3 on privacy, Key Design Principle 5 on overshadowing/loss of light and Key Design Principle 6 on preventing overbearing impact.

#### *Impact on 2 & 2a Green Lane*

The host property occupies a position between 17m and 15m to the south of the neighbouring properties to the side. However, the existing two storey extension, which aligns with these boundaries would not be changing and as such, the proposals would have no further impact on the amenities of the occupiers of these neighbouring properties in terms of overshadowing, overbearing or overlooking.

With regards to the impact on the neighbouring 2 & 2a Green Lane, the scheme has been considered in terms of KDP3 – privacy, KDP5 – overshadowing and KDP 6 – overbearing impact of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD, policy LP24 of the KLP c) in term of minimising impact on neighbouring occupiers and advice within chapter 12, paragraph 135 of the NPPF and the proposals are considered to be acceptable.

#### *Impact on 3 Green Lane*

The rear of the host property aligns with the rear most extent of the neighbour's garden. That being said, the angling between the properties together with the screening are considered to suitably minimise the potential for the extensions and alterations to result in any significant overshadowing, overbearing or overlooking.

With regards to the impact on the neighbouring 3 Green Lane, the scheme has been considered in terms of KDP3 – privacy, KDP5 – overshadowing and KDP 6 – overbearing impact of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD, policy LP24 of the KLP c) in term of minimising impact on neighbouring

occupiers and advice within chapter 12, paragraph 135 of the NPPF and the proposals are considered to be acceptable.

#### *Impact on 1 Oak Rise*

The property on the opposite side of the road occupies a position some 40m from the site. Given the separation, the proposed works would result in no overshadowing, overbearing or overlooking.

With regards to the impact on the neighbouring 1 Oak Rise, the scheme has been considered in terms of KDP3 – privacy, KDP5 – overshadowing and KDP 6 – overbearing impact of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD, policy LP24 of the KLP c) in term of minimising impact on neighbouring occupiers and advice within chapter 12, paragraph 135 of the NPPF and the proposals are considered to be acceptable.

#### *Impact on 365 & 367 Whitehall Road West*

The neighbouring properties to the south side occupy significantly lower land levels some 40m from the host property. Given the topography together with the separation, the works proposed would result in no overlooking, overshadowing or overbearing.

With regards to the impact on the 365 & 367 Whitehall Road West, the scheme is considered to be acceptable in terms of KDP3 – privacy, KDP5 – overshadowing and KDP 6 – overbearing impact of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD, policy LP24 of the KLP c) in term of minimising impact on neighbouring occupiers and advice within chapter 12, paragraph 135 of the NPPF.

Having considered the above factors, the proposals are not considered to result in any adverse impact upon the residential amenity of any surrounding neighbouring occupants, complying with Policy LP24 of the Kirklees Local Plan (b) in terms of the amenities of neighbouring properties, Key Design Principles 3, 5, 6 & 7 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD and Paragraph 135 (f) of the National Planning Policy Framework.

#### Impact on highway safety:

The proposals will result in some intensification of the domestic use. However, the parking area to the front of the property would not be affected by the proposed extension and is considered to represent a sufficient provision. Bin storage for the dwelling would not be moved as part of the proposals. As such the scheme would not represent any additional harm in terms of highway safety and as such complies with Policy LP22 of the Kirklees Local Plan along with Key Design Principles 15 & 16 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD.

#### Other matters:

### *Carbon Budget*

The proposal is a small scale domestic development to an existing dwelling. As such, no special measures were required in terms of the planning application with regards to carbon emissions. However, there are controls in terms of Building Regulations which will need to be adhered to as part of the construction process which will require compliance with national standards.

There are no other matters for consideration.

### Representations:

None

### Negotiations:

None

### Proposed conditions

Along with the standard timescale condition, which is a requirement of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, it is considered appropriate to add the following conditions.

Accordance with the approved plans to ensure the development is carried out in line with the officer's assessment.

The render finish should be conditioned to be an off white colour to ensure that the extensions harmonise within the street scene.

### Conclusion:

This application to raise the roof, extend and alter 114 Hunsworth Lane has been assessed against relevant policies in the development plan as listed in the policy section of the report, the House Extensions & Alterations SPD, the National Planning Policy Framework and other material considerations. Given the acceptable design and lack of harm in terms of visual and residential amenity, the proposals are considered to be acceptable.

The NPPF has introduced a presumption in favour of sustainable development. The policies set out in the NPPF taken as a whole constitute the Government's view of what sustainable development means in practice.

As set out above, this application has been assessed against relevant policies in the development plan and other material considerations. It is considered that the development would constitute sustainable development and is therefore recommended for approval.

**Recommendation**

**Approve**



## **Decision Authorisation - Delegated Powers**

**Application Number:** 2024/90925

**Officer Recommendation:** Approve

### **Conditions and Reasons**

1. The development hereby permitted shall be begun within three years of the date of this permission.

**Reason:** Pursuant to the requirements of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

2. The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in complete accordance with the plans and specifications schedule listed in this decision notice, except as may be specified in the conditions attached to this permission, which shall in all cases take precedence.

**Reason:** For the avoidance of doubt as to what is being permitted and so as to ensure the satisfactory appearance of the development on completion, and to accord with Policy LP24 of the Kirklees Local Plan, Key Design Principles of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD and the aims of the National Planning Policy Framework.

3. The external walls of the extension hereby approved shall be finished with an off white render.

**Reason:** In the interests of visual amenity and to accord with Policy LP24 of the Kirklees Local Plan, Key Design Principles of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD and the aims of chapter 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

**NOTE:** The granting of planning permission does not override any private legal rights or consents that may be required. It is the responsibility of the applicant / developer to ensure that all appropriate consents are in place prior to any development commencing; during the period of construction existing access for neighbouring properties is maintained; and no damage is caused to the access driveway or surrounding properties.

**NOTE:** To minimise noise disturbance at nearby premises it is generally recommended that activities relating to the erection, construction, alteration, repair or maintenance of buildings, structures or roads shall not take place outside the hours of:

07.30 and 18.30 hours, Mondays to Fridays

08.00 and 13.00hours, Saturdays

With no working Sundays or Public Holidays

In some cases, different site specific hours of operation may be appropriate. Under the Control of Pollution Act 1974, Section 60 Kirklees Environment and Transportation Services can control noise from construction sites by serving a notice. This notice can specify the hours during which the works may be carried out.

Plans and specifications schedule: -

<b>Plan Type</b>	<b>Reference</b>	<b>Web ID</b>	<b>Date Received</b>
Location & site plan	PL01	1036156	12/04/2024
Existing floor plans	PL02	1036155	12/04/2024
Existing elevations	PL03	1036159	12/04/2024
Proposed floor plans	PL04	1036158	12/04/2024
Proposed elevations	PL05	1036154	12/04/2024
3d plans	PL06	1036152	12/04/2024
Climate change statement	-	1036641	12/04/2024

Pursuant to article 35 (2) of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) Order 2015 and guidance in the National Planning Policy Framework, the Local Planning Authority have, where possible, made a pre-application advice service available, complied with the Kirklees Development Management Charter 2015 and otherwise actively engaged with the applicant in dealing with the application.

As the submitted plans were considered to be acceptable, no changes were sought.

**Report Dated** 06/06/2024