

**KIRKLEES METROPOLITAN COUNCIL  
INVESTMENT & REGENERATION SERVICE**

**DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT**

**Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended) – SECTION 70**

**DELEGATED DECISION TO DETERMINE PLANNING APPLICATIONS**

Reference No:	<b>2024/62/90460/E</b>
Site Address:	8, Fair Ways, Upper Hopton, Mirfield, WF14 8PY
Description:	Erection of two storey side extension and single storey infill front extension with associated alterations
Recommending Officer:	Jennifer Booth

**DECISION – Conditional Full Permission**

**I hereby authorise the approval of this application for the reasons set out in the officer's report and recommendation annexed below in respect of the above matter.**

Sarah Longbottom

***AUTHORISED OFFICER***

**Date: 15-Apr-2024**

## **OFFICER REPORT**

### **Site Description**

8 Fairways is a detached stone dwelling with a pitched roof for accommodation across two floors with a garage and porch attached to the front and side with a flat roof form.

The surrounding properties are similar in terms of age although there are variances in terms of size and style.

### **Description of Proposal**

The applicant is seeking permission for an infill extension to the front, alterations to form a bay window at first floor and erection of a two storey side extension.

The area between the main house and the garage would be infilled with the wall being built up and a window installed.

The first floor bedroom window over would have the window altered to form a bay window.

The two storey side extension would project 2m from the side wall of the property with a hipped roof form.

The walls would be constructed using stone with tiles for the roof covering.

### **Relevant Planning History**

None

### **Representations**

The application was advertised by neighbour letters, which expired on 02/04/2024

As a result of the above publicity, two representations have been received. The material planning matters raised are summarised as follows:

- Impact on neighbour's window.

### **Consultation Responses**

None

### **Policy**

Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 requires that planning applications are determined in accordance with the Development Plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise. The statutory Development Plan for Kirklees is the Local Plan (adopted 27<sup>th</sup> February 2019).

The site is UNALLOCATED on the Kirklees Local Plan Proposals Map

On 12<sup>th</sup> November 2019, the Council adopted a target for achieving 'net zero' carbon emissions by 2038, with an accompanying carbon budget set by the Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research. National Planning Policy includes a requirement to promote carbon reduction and enhance resilience to climate change through the planning system and these principles have been incorporated into the formulation of Local Plan policies. The Local Plan predates the declaration of a climate emergency and the net zero carbon target; however, it includes a series of policies which are used to assess the suitability of planning applications in the context of climate change. When determining planning applications, the Council will use the relevant Local Plan policies and guidance documents to embed the climate change agenda.

### **Kirklees Local Plan Policies**

- **LP 1** – Achieving sustainable development
- **LP 2** – Place shaping
- **LP 22** – Parking
- **LP 24** - Design
- **LP 30** – Biodiversity

Kirklees Council adopted supplementary planning guidance on house extensions on 29<sup>th</sup> June 2021 which now carries full weight in decision making. This guidance indicates how the Council will usually interpret its policies regarding such built development, although the general thrust of the advice is aligned with both the Kirklees Local Plan (KLP) and the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), requiring development to be considerate in terms of the character of the host property and the wider street scene. As such, it is anticipated that this SPD will assist with ensuring enhanced consistency in both approach and outcomes relating to house extensions.

The site is within Mirfield Neighbourhood Area. There is no made Neighbourhood Development Plan (NDP) within the Mirfield Neighbourhood Area at present. Furthermore there is no emerging NDP to be considered as a material consideration in assessment of this application. Further details on the progress of neighbourhood development plans in the district can be found at: <https://www.kirklees.gov.uk/beta/planning-policy/neighbourhood-planning.aspx>

However, the Mirfield Design Guide 2002 is still of relevance and requires development to be in keeping with the area.

### **National Policies and Guidance:**

National planning policy and guidance is set out in National Policy Statements, primarily the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) published December 2023, and the Planning Practice Guidance Suite (PPGS) first launched 6<sup>th</sup> March 2014 together with Circulars, Ministerial Statements and associated technical guidance.

The NPPF constitutes guidance for local planning authorities and is a material consideration in determining applications.

- Chapter 12 – Achieving well-designed and beautiful places
- Chapter 14 – Meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding and coastal change
- Chapter 15 – Conserving and enhancing the natural environment

## **Assessment**

### Principle of development:

The site is without notation on the Kirklees Local Plan (KLP). Policy LP1 of the KLP states that when considering development proposals, the Council will take a positive approach that reflects the presumption in favour of sustainable development contained in the NPPF. In terms of extending and making alterations to a property, Policy LP24 of the KLP is relevant, in conjunction with the House Extensions & Alterations SPD and Chapter 12 of the NPPF, regarding design. In this case, the principle of development is considered acceptable, and the proposal shall now be assessed against all other material planning considerations, including visual and residential amenity, as well as highway safety.

### Impact on visual amenity:

Key Design Principle 1 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD states that extensions and alterations to residential properties should be in keeping with the appearance, scale, design and local character of the area and the street scene. Furthermore, Key Design Principle 2 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD goes on to state that extensions should not dominate or be larger than the original house and should be in keeping with the existing building in terms of scale, materials and details.

The proposal under consideration consists of three distinct elements which shall be addressed below.

### *Infill extension*

Paragraph 5.13 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD states that front extensions are highly prominent in the street scene. As per paragraph 5.14 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD, careful consideration needs to be given to ensure that they are carefully designed to limit the potential for them

to erode the character, they should be small and subservient to the main house and constructed using appropriate materials.

Given the extension is infilling and would not project beyond the existing front walls, the scale is considered to be acceptable. The detailing would form an appropriate relationship with the main house and the materials proposed would match the host property. The infill extension is therefore considered to be acceptable in terms of visual amenity.

#### *Provision of bay window*

Paragraph 4.2 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD states that “the local context, character and identity of the area will be a significant factor in determining the appropriate form and scale of alterations”. Paragraph 4.6 states “where extensions seek to differ from the existing materials, **design**, roof pitch or detailing, proposals will be considered on a case by case basis.”

The bay window proposed at first floor level would be an unusual feature. However, this would not be out of character with the wider street scene given the variances in terms of the design of neighbouring properties.

#### *Two storey side extension*

Paragraphs 5.15, 5.19 & 5.21 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD are of relevance with regards to the side extension as they require the development proposed to be located and designed to minimise the impact on the character of the area, reflect the original building in terms of materials and detailing and ensure adequate space is retained to provide a sense of space.

Having taken the above into account, the proposals would not cause any significant harm to the visual amenity of either the host dwelling or the wider street scene, complying with Policy LP24 of the Kirklees Local Plan (a) in terms of the form, scale and layout and (c) as the extension would form a subservient addition to the property in keeping with the existing building, KDP 1 & 2 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD and the aims of chapter 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

#### Impact on residential amenity:

Consideration in relation to the impact on the residential amenity of neighbouring occupants shall now be set out, taking into account policy LP24 c), which sets out that proposals should promote good design by, amongst other things, extensions minimising impact on residential amenity of future and neighbouring occupiers. The House Extensions & Alterations SPD goes into further detail with respect to Key Design Principle 3 on privacy, Key Design Principle 5 on overshadowing/loss of light and Key Design Principle 6 on preventing overbearing impact.

#### *Impact on 6 Fairways*

The works proposed are to the other side of the host property from the adjacent dwelling to the east and as such would result in no overlooking, overshadowing or overbearing.

With regards to the impact on the adjacent 6 Fairways, the scheme has been considered in terms of KDP3 – privacy, KDP5 – overshadowing and KDP 6 – overbearing impact of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD, policy LP24 of the KLP c) in term of minimising impact on neighbouring occupiers and advice within chapter 12, paragraph 135 of the NPPF and the proposals are considered to be acceptable.

#### *Impact on 10 Fairways*

The infill extension and the first floor bay window would have no impact on the amenities of the occupiers of the adjacent neighbour to the west given the scale and position with regards to overlooking, overshadowing or overbearing.

The two storey side extension would bring the main house closer to the neighbouring property to the west. However, the host property occupies a lower land level with a modest separation of 3.9m to the boundary. It is noted that the neighbouring property has a small greenhouse on the ground level which is not a part of the house although there is also a bedroom window in the gable end at first floor level. This window would be some 6.5m from the new end wall if the extension were to be approved. However, the roof form proposed has been amended during the application to use a hipped design which would take the vertical emphasis away from the neighbouring property. The difference in land level together with the 6.5m separation and the alteration of the roof form to a hip minimises the potential for there are no significant concerns in terms of overbearing or overshadowing. The windows proposed would be in the front and rear elevations and would serve dressing rooms which would not be considered to be habitable and therefore there are no concerns in terms of overlooking.

With regards to the impact on the adjacent 10 Fairways, the scheme has been considered in terms of KDP3 – privacy, KDP5 – overshadowing and KDP 6 – overbearing impact of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD, policy LP24 of the KLP c) in term of minimising impact on neighbouring occupiers and advice within chapter 12, paragraph 135 of the NPPF and the proposals are considered to be acceptable.

#### *Impact on 7 Fairways*

The property on the opposite side of the road occupies a position some 27m from the host property. The works proposed would not reduce the space between the properties and would result in no additional overlooking, overshadowing or overbearing.

With regards to the impact on the neighbouring 7 Fairways, the scheme has been considered in terms of KDP3 – privacy, KDP5 – overshadowing and KDP 6 – overbearing impact of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD,

policy LP24 of the KLP c) in term of minimising impact on neighbouring occupiers and advice within chapter 12, paragraph 135 of the NPPF and the proposals are considered to be acceptable.

#### *Impact on 3 Top Meadow*

The neighbouring property to the rear occupies a position some 21m from the host property. The works proposed would result in no additional overlooking, overshadowing or overbearing.

With regards to the impact on the 3 Top Meadow, the scheme is considered to be acceptable in terms of KDP3 – privacy, KDP5 – overshadowing and KDP 6 – overbearing impact of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD, policy LP24 of the KLP c) in term of minimising impact on neighbouring occupiers and advice within chapter 12, paragraph 135 of the NPPF.

Having considered the above factors, the proposals are not considered to result in any adverse impact upon the residential amenity of any surrounding neighbouring occupants, complying with Policy LP24 of the Kirklees Local Plan (b) in terms of the amenities of neighbouring properties, Key Design Principles 3, 5, 6 & 7 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD and Paragraph 135 (f) of the National Planning Policy Framework.

#### Impact on highway safety:

The proposals will result in some intensification of the domestic use. However, the parking area to the front of the property would not be affected by the proposed extension and is considered to represent a sufficient provision. Bin storage for the dwelling would not be moved as part of the proposals. As such the scheme would not represent any additional harm in terms of highway safety and as such complies with Policy LP22 of the Kirklees Local Plan along with Key Design Principles 15 & 16 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD.

#### Other matters:

##### *Biodiversity*

After a visual assessment of the building by the officer, it appears that the building is in good order, well-sealed and unlikely to have any significant bat roost potential. Even so, a cautionary note should be added that if bats are found during the development, then work must cease immediately, and the advice of a licensed bat worker sought.

##### *Carbon Budget*

The proposal is a small scale domestic development to an existing dwelling. As such, no special measures were required in terms of the planning application with regards to carbon emissions. However, there are controls in

terms of Building Regulations which will need to be adhered to as part of the construction process which will require compliance with national standards.

There are no other matters for consideration.

#### Representations:

Two representations have been received. The material planning matters raised are summarised as follows:

- Impact on neighbours window – **response:** *this is a material consideration in terms of residential amenity. Amended plans have been supplied which alter the roof form over the side extension to a hipped roof form which reduces any impacts on the neighbours window.*

#### Negotiations:

None

#### Proposed conditions

Along with the standard timescale condition, which is a requirement of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, it is considered appropriate to add the following conditions.

Accordance with the approved plans to ensure the development is carried out in line with the officer's assessment.

Matching materials to ensure that the extensions harmonise with the host property as using alternative materials would look out of place within the street scene.

#### Conclusion:

This application to infill the lower ground floor at the front, form a first floor bay window on the front and erect a two storey side extension at 8 Fairways has been assessed against relevant policies in the development plan as listed in the policy section of the report, the House Extensions & Alterations SPD, the National Planning Policy Framework and other material considerations. Given the acceptable design and lack of harm in terms of visual and residential amenity, the proposals are considered to be acceptable.

The NPPF has introduced a presumption in favour of sustainable development. The policies set out in the NPPF taken as a whole constitute the Government's view of what sustainable development means in practice.

As set out above, this application has been assessed against relevant policies in the development plan and other material considerations. It is considered

that the development would constitute sustainable development and is therefore recommended for approval.

**Recommendation**

**Approve**

## **Decision Authorisation - Delegated Powers**

**Application Number:** 2024/90460

**Officer Recommendation:** Approve

### **Conditions and Reasons**

1. The development hereby permitted shall be begun within three years of the date of this permission.

**Reason:** Pursuant to the requirements of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

2. The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in complete accordance with the plans and specifications schedule listed in this decision notice, except as may be specified in the conditions attached to this permission, which shall in all cases take precedence.

**Reason:** For the avoidance of doubt as to what is being permitted and so as to ensure the satisfactory appearance of the development on completion, and to accord with Policy LP24 of the Kirklees Local Plan, Key Design Principles of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD and the aims of the National Planning Policy Framework.

3. The external walls and roofing materials of the extension hereby approved shall in all respects match those used in the construction of the existing building.

**Reason:** In the interests of visual amenity and to accord with Policy LP24 of the Kirklees Local Plan, Key Design Principles of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD and the aims of chapter 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

**NOTE:** Due to its location, a bat roost may be present on site. Bats are a European protected species under regulation 41 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010. It is an offence for anyone intentionally to kill, injure or handle a bat, disturb a roosting bat, or sell or offer a bat for sale without a licence. It is also an offence to damage, destroy or obstruct access to any place used by bats for shelter, whether they are present or not.

If bats are discovered on site development shall cease and the applicant is advised to contact Natural England for advice.

**NOTE:** The granting of planning permission does not override any private legal rights or consents that may be required. It is the responsibility of the applicant / developer to ensure that all appropriate consents are in place prior to any development commencing; during the period of construction existing access for neighbouring properties is maintained; and no damage is caused to the access driveway or surrounding properties.

**NOTE:** To minimise noise disturbance at nearby premises it is generally recommended that activities relating to the erection, construction, alteration,

repair or maintenance of buildings, structures or roads shall not take place outside the hours of:

07.30 and 18.30 hours, Mondays to Fridays  
08.00 and 13.00hours, Saturdays

With no working Sundays or Public Holidays

In some cases, different site specific hours of operation may be appropriate. Under the Control of Pollution Act 1974, Section 60 Kirklees Environment and Transportation Services can control noise from construction sites by serving a notice. This notice can specify the hours during which the works may be carried out.

Plans and specifications schedule: -

<b>Plan Type</b>	<b>Reference</b>	<b>Web ID</b>	<b>Date Received</b>
Location plan	(EX)002	1030231	19/02/2024
Block plan	(20)002 A	1035411	04/04/2024
Existing plan	(EX)001	1030232	19/02/2024
Proposed plans	(20)001 A	1035413	04/04/2024
Climate change statement	-	1030233	19/02/2024

Pursuant to article 35 (2) of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) Order 2015 and guidance in the National Planning Policy Framework, the Local Planning Authority have, where possible, made a pre-application advice service available, complied with the Kirklees Development Management Charter 2015 and otherwise actively engaged with the applicant in dealing with the application.

The agent supplied amended plans in response to objection to alter the roof over the side extension. As the alteration reduced the level of development, the amended plans have not been advertised.

**Report Dated** 11/04/2024

