

**KIRKLEES METROPOLITAN COUNCIL  
INVESTMENT & REGENERATION SERVICE**

**DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT**

**Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended) – SECTION 70**

**DELEGATED DECISION TO DETERMINE PLANNING APPLICATIONS**

Reference No:	<b>2024/62/90224/E</b>
Site Address:	20, Park View, Thornhill Lees, Dewsbury, WF12 9DT
Description:	Erection of two storey extension with a front dormer and associated alterations
Recommending Officer:	Jennifer Booth

**DECISION – CONDITIONAL FULL PERMISSION**

**I hereby authorise the approval of this application for the reasons set out in the officer's report and recommendation annexed below in respect of the above matter.**

Emma Thompson

***AUTHORISED OFFICER***

**Date: 02-Apr-2024**

## **OFFICER REPORT**

### **Site Description**

20 Park View is a brick built, semi-detached dwelling with a parking space to the front and an enclosed garden to the rear with a detached outbuilding.

The property is located on a residential street with properties of varied ages.

### **Description of Proposal**

The applicant is seeking permission for a front dormer, two storey rear extension and associated alterations.

The front dormer would be central within the roof plane with a width of 2.4m and a height of 1.9m. The dormer would be clad with horizontal boarding.

The two storey rear extension would be set in 1m from the shared boundary with the adjoining dwelling and would project 3m from the original rear wall of the property with a perpendicular pitched roof form.

The walls of the extension would be constructed using brick with tiles for the roof covering.

The plans also show the alteration of the windows and doors on the ground floor with the existing door being bricked up and a new door towards the shared boundary with the adjoining house.

### **Relevant Planning History**

None

### **History of negotiations**

Kirklees Development Management Charter together with the National Planning Policy Framework and the DMPO 2015 encourages negotiation/engagement between Local Planning Authorities and agents/applicants, this is only within the scope of the application under consideration. The initially submitted plans raised concerns in terms of the size of the front dormer, the use of a rear dormer and the impact of the rear extension on the adjoining dwelling. Amended plans have been sought and received which reduced the front dormer, removed the rear dormer and reduced the width of the rear extension. As the alterations reduced the level of development, the amended plans have not been advertised.

### **Representations**

The application was advertised by neighbour letters, which expired on 13/03/2024

As a result of the above publicity, no representations have been received.

## **Consultation Responses**

None

## **Policy**

Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 requires that planning applications are determined in accordance with the Development Plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise. The statutory Development Plan for Kirklees is the Local Plan (adopted 27<sup>th</sup> February 2019).

The site is UNALLOCATED on the Kirklees Local Plan Proposals Map

On 12<sup>th</sup> November 2019, the Council adopted a target for achieving 'net zero' carbon emissions by 2038, with an accompanying carbon budget set by the Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research. National Planning Policy includes a requirement to promote carbon reduction and enhance resilience to climate change through the planning system and these principles have been incorporated into the formulation of Local Plan policies. The Local Plan predates the declaration of a climate emergency and the net zero carbon target; however, it includes a series of policies which are used to assess the suitability of planning applications in the context of climate change. When determining planning applications, the Council will use the relevant Local Plan policies and guidance documents to embed the climate change agenda.

## **Kirklees Local Plan Policies**

- **LP 1** – Achieving sustainable development
- **LP 2** – Place shaping
- **LP 22** – Parking
- **LP 24** - Design
- **LP 30** – Biodiversity

Kirklees Council adopted supplementary planning guidance on house extensions on 29<sup>th</sup> June 2021 which now carries full weight in decision making. This guidance indicates how the Council will usually interpret its policies regarding such built development, although the general thrust of the advice is aligned with both the Kirklees Local Plan (KLP) and the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), requiring development to be considerate in terms of the character of the host property and the wider street scene. As such, it is anticipated that this SPD will assist with ensuring enhanced consistency in both approach and outcomes relating to house extensions.

## **National Policies and Guidance:**

National planning policy and guidance is set out in National Policy Statements, primarily the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) published December 2023, and the Planning Practice Guidance Suite (PPGS) first launched 6<sup>th</sup> March 2014 together with Circulars, Ministerial Statements and associated technical guidance.

The NPPF constitutes guidance for local planning authorities and is a material consideration in determining applications.

- Chapter 12 – Achieving well-designed and beautiful places
- Chapter 14 – Meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding and coastal change
- Chapter 15 – Conserving and enhancing the natural environment

## **Assessment**

### Principle of development:

The site is without notation on the Kirklees Local Plan (KLP). Policy LP1 of the KLP states that when considering development proposals, the Council will take a positive approach that reflects the presumption in favour of sustainable development contained in the NPPF. In terms of extending and making alterations to a property, Policy LP24 of the KLP is relevant, in conjunction with the House Extensions & Alterations SPD and Chapter 12 of the NPPF, regarding design. In this case, the principle of development is considered acceptable, and the proposal shall now be assessed against all other material planning considerations, including visual and residential amenity, as well as highway safety.

### Impact on visual amenity:

Key Design Principle 1 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD does state that extensions and alterations to residential properties should be in keeping with the appearance, scale, design and local character of the area and the street scene. Furthermore, Key Design Principle 2 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD goes on to state that extensions should not dominate or be larger than the original house and should be in keeping with the existing building in terms of scale, materials and details.

The proposal under consideration consists of three distinct elements which shall be addressed below.

#### *Front dormer*

Paragraph 5.26 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD requires consideration of the wider area and surrounding buildings when considering whether a front dormer is appropriate. Dormers should be well-designed and small in scale.

The front dormer would be centrally sited within the roof plane with a modest width. The plans show the use of horizontal cladding. However, colour finish has not been specified. To ensure a satisfactory appearance, it is considered to be reasonable to condition the finish is a grey. The front dormer, subject to condition, is considered to be acceptable in terms of visual amenity.

#### *Two storey rear extension*

Paragraphs 5.1 and 5.2 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD go into further specific detail regarding rear extensions requiring development to maintain the quality of the residential environment, respect the original house and use appropriate materials.

The proposals would retain a reasonable amenity space and the rear extension would form a proportionate addition to the property in terms of scale. The materials include the use of brick for the walling with tiles for the roof covering which will match the main house. The detailing is considered to form an appropriate relationship with the host property. The rear extension is therefore considered to be acceptable in terms of visual amenity.

#### *Changes to front elevation*

Paragraph 4.2 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD states that “the local context, character and identity of the area will be a significant factor in determining the appropriate form and scale of alterations”. Paragraph 4.6 states “where extensions seek to differ from the existing materials, **design**, roof pitch or detailing, proposals will be considered on a case by case basis.”

The alteration to the openings on the front elevation is still considered to be in keeping with the host property and wider street scene.

Having taken the above into account, the proposals would not cause any significant harm to the visual amenity of either the host dwelling or the wider street scene, complying with Policy LP24 of the Kirklees Local Plan (a) in terms of the form, scale and layout and (c) as the extension would form a subservient addition to the property in keeping with the existing building, KDP 1 & 2 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD and the aims of chapter 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

#### Impact on residential amenity:

Consideration in relation to the impact on the residential amenity of neighbouring occupants shall now be set out, taking into account policy LP24 c), which sets out that proposals should promote good design by, amongst other things, extensions minimising impact on residential amenity of future and neighbouring occupiers. The House Extensions & Alterations SPD goes into further detail with respect to Key Design Principle 3 on privacy, Key Design Principle 5 on overshadowing/loss of light and Key Design Principle 6 on preventing overbearing impact.

There are no properties directly to the rear which could be affected by the works proposed.

#### *Impact on 19 Park View*

The front dormer would be set up within the roof plane and as such will result in no additional overlooking, overshadowing or overbearing.

The rear extension would be set back 1m from the shared boundary. The agent has supplied a floor plan which shows the 45 degree relationship with the neighbour's windows which is considered to be acceptable. The impact in terms of overshadowing or overbearing is considered to be limited. The windows would look into the applicants own garden with limited potential for loss of privacy.

With regards to the impact on the adjoining 19 Park View, the scheme has been considered in terms of KDP3 – privacy, KDP5 – overshadowing and KDP 6 – overbearing impact of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD, policy LP24 of the KLP c) in term of minimising impact on neighbouring occupiers and advice within chapter 12, paragraph 135 of the NPPF and the proposals are considered to be acceptable.

#### *Impact on 21 Park View*

The front dormer is proposed to be set up within the roof plane with limited potential to result in any overlooking, overshadowing or overbearing.

The adjacent property has their own rear extension which would minimise the impact of the extension proposed in terms of overshadowing and overbearing. The windows proposed in the rear elevation would look into the applicants own garden and have no significant impact in terms of the neighbours privacy.

With regards to the impact on the adjacent 21 Park View, the scheme has been considered in terms of KDP3 – privacy, KDP5 – overshadowing and KDP 6 – overbearing impact of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD, policy LP24 of the KLP c) in term of minimising impact on neighbouring occupiers and advice within chapter 12, paragraph 135 of the NPPF and the proposals are considered to be acceptable.

#### *Impact on 49 & 51 Slaithwaite Road*

The host property faces towards the rear elevations of the neighbouring properties on Slaithwaite Road. The separation of 22m is sufficient to ensure that the front dormer proposed would result in no overlooking, overshadowing or overbearing.

With regards to the impact on the neighbouring 49 & 51 Slaithwaite Road, the scheme has been considered in terms of KDP3 – privacy, KDP5 – overshadowing and KDP 6 – overbearing impact of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD, policy LP24 of the KLP c) in term of minimising impact on

neighbouring occupiers and advice within chapter 12, paragraph 135 of the NPPF and the proposals are considered to be acceptable.

Having considered the above factors, the proposals are not considered to result in any adverse impact upon the residential amenity of any surrounding neighbouring occupants, complying with Policy LP24 of the Kirklees Local Plan (b) in terms of the amenities of neighbouring properties, Key Design Principles 3, 5, 6 & 7 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD and Paragraph 135 (f) of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Impact on highway safety:

The proposals will result in some intensification of the domestic use and the property has only a single parking space at the front. However, the increase in accommodation over and above the existing is limited. As such, whilst not ideal, the scheme is not considered to be significantly harmful in terms of highway safety. Bin storage for the dwelling would not be moved as part of the proposals. As such the scheme would not represent any additional harm in terms of highway safety and as such complies with Policy LP22 of the Kirklees Local Plan along with Key Design Principles 15 & 16 of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD.

Other matters:

*Biodiversity*

After a visual assessment of the building by the officer, it appears that the building is in good order, well-sealed and unlikely to have any significant bat roost potential. Even so, a cautionary note should be added that if bats are found during the development, then work must cease immediately, and the advice of a licensed bat worker sought.

*Carbon Budget*

The proposal is a small scale domestic development to an existing dwelling. As such, no special measures were required in terms of the planning application with regards to carbon emissions. However, there are controls in terms of Building Regulations which will need to be adhered to as part of the construction process which will require compliance with national standards.

There are no other matters for consideration.

Representations:

None

Proposed conditions

Along with the standard timescale condition, which is a requirement of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, it is considered appropriate to add the following conditions.

Accordance with the approved plans to ensure the development is carried out in line with the officer's assessment.

Matching materials for the rear extension to ensure that the extensions harmonise with the host property as using alternative materials would look out of place within the street scene.

The colour finish of the cladding should be conditioned to be a grey colour to minimise the visual impact.

### Conclusion:

This application to erect a front dormer, two storey rear extension and associate alterations at 20 Park View has been assessed against relevant policies in the development plan as listed in the policy section of the report, the House Extensions & Alterations SPD, the National Planning Policy Framework and other material considerations. Given the acceptable design and lack of harm in terms of visual and residential amenity, the proposals are considered to be acceptable.

The NPPF has introduced a presumption in favour of sustainable development. The policies set out in the NPPF taken as a whole constitute the Government's view of what sustainable development means in practice.

As set out above, this application has been assessed against relevant policies in the development plan and other material considerations. It is considered that the development would constitute sustainable development and is therefore recommended for approval.

**Recommendation**

**Approve**

## **Decision Authorisation - Delegated Powers**

**Application Number:** 2024/90224

**Officer Recommendation:** Approve

### **Conditions and Reasons**

1. The development hereby permitted shall be begun within three years of the date of this permission.

**Reason:** Pursuant to the requirements of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

2. The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in complete accordance with the plans and specifications schedule listed in this decision notice, except as may be specified in the conditions attached to this permission, which shall in all cases take precedence.

**Reason:** For the avoidance of doubt as to what is being permitted and so as to ensure the satisfactory appearance of the development on completion, and to accord with Policy LP24 of the Kirklees Local Plan, Key Design Principles of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD and the aims of the National Planning Policy Framework.

3. The external walls and roofing materials of the extension hereby approved shall in all respects match those used in the construction of the existing building.

**Reason:** In the interests of visual amenity and to accord with Policy LP24 of the Kirklees Local Plan, Key Design Principles of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD and the aims of chapter 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

4. Notwithstanding the submitted details the front dormer hereby approved shall be clad with a grey boarding and thereafter retained.

**Reason:** In the interests of visual amenity and to accord with Policy LP24 of the Kirklees Local Plan, Key Design Principles of the House Extensions & Alterations SPD and the aims of chapter 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

**NOTE:** Due to its location, a bat roost may be present on site. Bats are a European protected species under regulation 41 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010. It is an offence for anyone intentionally to kill, injure or handle a bat, disturb a roosting bat, or sell or offer a bat for sale without a licence. It is also an offence to damage, destroy or obstruct access to any place used by bats for shelter, whether they are present or not.

If bats are discovered on site development shall cease and the applicant is advised to contact Natural England for advice.

**NOTE:** The granting of planning permission does not override any private legal rights or consents that may be required. It is the responsibility of the applicant / developer to ensure that all appropriate consents are in place prior to any development commencing; during the period of construction existing

access for neighbouring properties is maintained; and no damage is caused to the access driveway or surrounding properties.

**NOTE:** To minimise noise disturbance at nearby premises it is generally recommended that activities relating to the erection, construction, alteration, repair or maintenance of buildings, structures or roads shall not take place outside the hours of:

07.30 and 18.30 hours, Mondays to Fridays

08.00 and 13.00hours, Saturdays

With no working Sundays or Public Holidays

In some cases, different site specific hours of operation may be appropriate. Under the Control of Pollution Act 1974, Section 60 Kirklees Environment and Transportation Services can control noise from construction sites by serving a notice. This notice can specify the hours during which the works may be carried out.

Plans and specifications schedule: -

<b>Plan Type</b>	<b>Reference</b>	<b>Web ID</b>	<b>Date Received</b>
Location plan	-	1026976	06/02/2024
Floor plans	-	1033679	20/03/2024
Elevations	-	1033678	20/03/2024
45 degree plan	-	1033677	20/03/2024
Climate change statement	-	1027702	06/02/2024

Pursuant to article 35 (2) of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) Order 2015 and guidance in the National Planning Policy Framework, the Local Planning Authority have, where possible, made a pre-application advice service available, complied with the Kirklees Development Management Charter 2015 and otherwise actively engaged with the applicant in dealing with the application.

The initially submitted plans raised concerns in terms of the size of the front dormer, the use of a rear dormer and the impact of the rear extension on the adjoining dwelling. Amended plans have been sought and received which reduced the front dormer, removed the rear dormer and reduced the width of the rear extension. As the alterations reduced the level of development, the amended plans have not been advertised.

**Report Dated** 25/03/2024

