

DCAdmin

From:
Sent: 12 February 2024 08:54
To: DCAdmin
Subject: FW: Planning permission

Categories:

From:
Sent: Sunday, February 11, 2024 10:53 AM
To:
Subject: Planning permission

Dear Jennifer

It's been brought to my attention that planning are opposing the proposals as submitted for 2 Scott Hill Clayton West. This property adjoins our home where we have lived for the past 6 years. I can confirm we've seen the plans/proposals and have no objection whatsoever, in fact we are in support of them given they will update, tidy up and modernise what has been a deteriorating, dilapidated mess for the 6 years we have lived here.

The windows referred to on the rear of our property, 4 Scott Hill have little light in any case given the orientation, sun path, mature trees lining the rear boundary and the current single storey outshot to the rear of Number 2. The lower window is to the base of our staircase so not window where we spend time looking out. Indeed the staircase door, opens out from the stair to effectively block this window. Our bins are actually stored to the rear of this window where the ground level is also at a higher level (the cill of this window is at the same level as the wheels on the wheelie bin!). The upper window is a bathroom window, an obscure glazed window, again one where we don't spend time looking out or have a need for additional/the same levels of natural daylight.

The tidying up works carried out to date by Mr Gunson, the new owner of 2 Scott Hill, has positively impacted on our property and in turn wellbeing. Numerous overgrown shrubs and rubbish has been removed from the rear garden area and it seems Mr Gunsons approach is to simply improve and enhance the property which will enhance our property and the immediate locality for others.

I understand his architect is preparing revised proposals for an alternative roof design, a gabled pitched roof to replace the currently shown flat roof, we have no preference over this and very much doubt it will impact on the above-mentioned windows in our home in any way that would cause us concern.

I hope this email can be taken as our full support of the plans and possible changes and that we don't oppose the application.

Regards