

**ARBORICULTURAL METHOD STATEMENT
to BS 5837:2012**

at

**Oakley House
1 Hungerford Road
Edgerton
Huddersfield
West Yorkshire
HD3 3AL**

Client:

Ramsdens Solicitors

Client Address:

Oakley House
1 Hungerford Road
Edgerton
Huddersfield
West Yorkshire
HD3 3AL

Client Telephone:

01484 558066

JCA Ref:

16105-A/AJB

Contents

1. Introduction.....	3
2. Tree Works Prior, During and Post Construction	4
3. The Protective Barrier Prior, During and Post Construction.....	5
4. Construction Phase.....	7
4.1 Demolition Works	7
4.2 Ground Level Changes	7
4.3 Construction of Hard Surfaces	7
4.4 Construction of New Buildings	8
4.5 Excavations and Services	8
4.6 Location of the Site Compound.....	8
5. Post Construction Phase.....	9
5.1 Completion Meeting	9
5.2 Post Construction Landscaping	9
6. Timescale of Works	10
7. Relevant Contact Details.....	10
Appendix 1: Tree Works Schedule	12
Appendix 2: Protective Barrier	13
Appendix 3: Utilities and Drainage.....	15
Appendix 4: Permanent Hard Surfaces.....	17
Appendix 5: Tree Protection Plan.....	18

1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose of the Method Statement

- 1.1.1 This Arboricultural Method Statement has been prepared to ensure good practice in the protection of retained trees during the development at **Oakley House, 1 Hungerford Road, Edgerton, Huddersfield.**

1.2 Terms of Reference

- 1.2.1 JCA Limited is instructed by **Ramsdens Solicitors** to prepare an Arboricultural Method Statement for the proposed development, based on our arboricultural report dated 10th August 2020 (JCA Ref: **16105/AJB**). The arboricultural survey and report conforms to the most recent specifications outlined in BS 5837: 2012 *Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction - Recommendations*.
- 1.2.2 It is proposed to construct a new coach house and a number of additional car parking spaces.
- 1.2.3 The following drawings have been provided and these are the basis of the Arboricultural Method Statement and the Tree Protection Plan at **Appendix 5**:
- Topographical Survey (Drawing Ref. **FBA_023_OAKLEY HOUSE**).
 - Development Layout (Drawing Ref. **15-C37-09 Coach House Site layout**).

1.3 Status of the Method Statement

- 1.3.1 This Arboricultural Method Statement should be included as part of the specification and schedule of works issued to the building contractor and can form part of the contract.
- 1.3.2 This Arboricultural Method Statement should be available on site for inspection by the local authority, contractors and other relevant persons.

2. Tree Works Prior, During and Post Construction

2.1 Tree Works Prior to Construction

- 2.1.1 Prior to any construction activity, the first operation on site will be the undertaking of the necessary arboricultural works, as described at **Appendix 1**.
- 2.1.2 The tree works include:
- The removal of **T1**, to facilitate the proposed development.
 - The pruning of **T4, T29** and **T30**, for arboricultural reasons.
 - The crown lifting of **G19, T21, T23** and **T24**, to facilitate the installation of the protective fencing.

2.2 Tree Works During or Post Construction

- 2.2.1 No tree works are envisaged to be required during or after the construction phase.
- 2.2.2 Damage to trees during the construction phase will be prevented by the installation of the temporary protective fencing in order to create a Construction Exclusion Zone (CEZ). All persons on site must be aware of limitations that apply within the CEZ (please refer to **Section 3.1.3**).
- 2.2.3 If any trees on site are damaged, this must be immediately reported to JCA to agree on appropriate remedial action. Contact numbers for all parties can be found at **Section 7**.

2.3 Recommendations for Tree Works

- 2.3.1 All work must be undertaken to BS 3998: 2010 - *Recommendations for tree work* and carried out by qualified, experienced and, ideally, Arboricultural Association approved contractors who must be adequately insured.
- 2.3.2 Any defects seen by a contractor or the client that were not apparent to the consultant must be brought to the attention of JCA immediately.
- 2.3.3 No liability can be accepted by JCA in respect of the trees unless the recommendations of this Method Statement are carried out under our supervision.

3. The Protective Barrier Prior, During and Post Construction

3.1 Protective Barrier Prior to Construction

- 3.1.1 The installation of the temporary protective barrier will be the very first job to be undertaken on site following the completion of the tree works (**Section 2.1**). This barrier will comprise of protective fencing and ground protection, as detailed below and in **Section 3.2**.
- 3.1.2 The protective fencing must be constructed in accordance with BS 5837: 2012 *Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction - Recommendations* and will be located as shown in a purple line on the Tree Protection Plan at **Appendix 5**. Where possible, the protective barrier will enclose the entire Root Protection Area (RPA) of the trees to make a Construction Exclusion Zone (CEZ); **this area is to be considered a restricted area; no pedestrians, vehicles, equipment or machinery are allowed within the CEZ and the storage of materials is not permitted, unless specified within this Method Statement.**
- 3.1.3 The protective fencing will be installed in accordance with BS 5837: 2012 and will comprise of weld mesh panel fencing, situated in rubber or concrete feet. Panels will be joined together using a minimum of two anti-tamper couplers, positioned so that they can only be removed from inside the barrier. The fencing will be supported at each joint (where two panels meet) with a stabiliser strut, attached to the fencing at one end and a block tray at the other. Please refer to **Appendix 2** for protective fencing details.
- 3.1.4 Once the fencing is installed, waterproof signs with the sentence ‘*Protected tree zone, no storage or operations within this area*’ are to be placed at 3m intervals to ensure that all personnel are aware of the restrictions that apply to the cordoned off area. A prepared sign is available at **Appendix 2**.

3.2 Ground Protection

- 3.2.1 Where it is not possible to enclose the entire RPA of **T4** with protective fencing, it will be necessary to lay appropriate ground protection which, in combination with the fencing described in **Section 3.1**, will comprise the protective barrier.
- 3.2.2 The ground protection will be installed in the location shown in blue shade on the plan at **Appendix 5** prior to construction and retained until the material completion of development. The purpose of the ground protection is to enable site traffic to pass over the RPAs of trees, whilst minimizing compaction and disturbance of the underlying soil which can lead to root asphyxiation and damage.

3.2.3 As only pedestrian traffic is required to pass over the RPA, a suspended walkway (as shown on the plan at **Appendix 5**) will be constructed. This will be achieved by constructing a framework of scaffold poles attached to the main scaffolding and may incorporate driven poles at suitable intervals, if necessary. Scaffold boards will be placed over this framework and utilised as a walkway for **pedestrian use only**. Vehicular/mechanical movement is not permitted over this type of ground protection.

3.3 Checking the Protective Barrier Prior to Construction

3.3.1 Once installed, the appointed arboriculturalist will be invited on site to inspect the protective fencing and ground protection, ensuring that it is located in the correct position and that it has been constructed in accordance with this Method Statement. No other work, including soil stripping, excavation, or the bringing onto site of materials or machinery, shall commence until the barrier is installed and confirmed to be acceptable by the appointed arboriculturalist.

3.3.2 It is important that the protective fencing and ground protection be checked by the LPA or an arboricultural consultant prior to any construction works being carried out on site. **If at any time during construction the protective fencing or ground protection is not correctly installed, or if it does not comply with BS 5837: 2012, this could result in damage being caused to trees and consequently, a stop notice may be served by the LPA.**

3.4 Protective Barrier During Construction

3.4.1 No operations shall take place which require the removal of part of the protective barrier without prior agreement with the Local Planning Authority.

3.4.2 The protective barrier must be inspected for faults or damage by the site manager or other responsible named person on a regular basis and a written record kept. Any faults or defects must be repaired or replaced as soon as is reasonably practicable. Details of the site manager and relevant contact details can be found at **Section 7**.

3.5 Removal of the Protective Barrier

3.5.1 When the development phase is complete and the main site machinery has been removed, the Local Planning Authority should be invited to inspect the site to give approval for the removal of the protective barrier.

3.5.2 When this approval has been given the protective barrier may be dismantled and removed from site.

3.5.3 It should be noted the same restrictions apply to all RPAs as the CEZ (please refer to **Section 3.1.2**).

4. Construction Phase

4.1 Demolition Works

4.1.1 No demolition works are required adjacent to retained trees.

4.2 Ground Level Changes

4.2.1 Other than the no-dig hard surfaces (**Section 4.3**) no ground level changes are required within the RPA of any tree to be retained on this site. As such no mitigation actions are considered necessary.

4.3 Construction of Hard Surfaces

4.3.1 Hard surfaces, in the form of an access driveway and car parking area, are proposed within the RPA of **G19, T20, T21, G22, T23** and **T24**. A no-dig method of construction will therefore be implemented to prevent damage to tree roots.

4.3.2 First, any minor undulations in ground levels (e.g. pot holes) will be filled-in using suitable top soil or sharp sand, to create a level surface. No excavation will be utilised to achieve a level surface.

4.3.3 Following this, a thin geotextile membrane will be placed on the soil and pegged/pinned into position. A three dimensional, cellular confinement system will be installed over the geotextile membrane and filled with no-fines, washed angular stone, no less than 4mm in diameter and to a minimum depth of 100mm. This may then be compacted using a plate compactor (wacker-plate) and utilised as ground protection for the retained trees.

4.3.4 In order to retain the surfacing in place, edging supports may be required. Such supporting systems will minimize disturbance to the underlying soil and will not utilise continual trenching within the RPA. Acceptable methods include peg and board edging, gabions or sleepers which may be pinned in place if required.

4.3.5 The final surface treatment must be porous to enable the percolation of water through the surfacing to the tree roots beneath. This method is considered to be appropriate in terms of minimising damage to retained trees. However, a structural engineer should be consulted to ensure that the mechanical needs of the chosen design are adequately met.

4.4 Construction of New Buildings

- 4.4.1 In this case, the proposed building is located at a sufficient distance from retained trees that no specialist foundation methods are required for arboricultural purposes.

4.5 Excavations and Services

- 4.5.1 Details on service routes are not available at this time. As such, no provision for the routing of utilities within the RPAs is made within the scope of this report. All utilities must therefore be located outside the RPA of retained trees. If, for whatever reason, incursions into the RPAs are considered unavoidable, the consulting arboriculturalist and/or the LPA must be consulted immediately, to prevent a breach of planning conditions and/or damage to retained trees.
- 4.5.2 Guidance and methodologies on the installation of underground services whilst minimising damage to tree roots is provided at **Appendix 3**.

4.6 Location of the Site Compound

- 4.6.1 The site compound, typically including the site office, mess facilities, toilets, storage of materials and parking, must be located away from, and outside the RPA of retained trees. Areas designated for the storage and/or mixing of chemicals, including petrol, diesel and oils must also be located away from, and outside the RPA of retained trees. Such areas should be constructed with consideration to, and contingencies for, the occurrence of spillages, preventing the leaching of chemicals into unprotected, open ground.

5. Post Construction Phase

5.1 Completion Meeting

- 5.1.1 Upon completion of the works as specified in **Section 4**, a JCA consultant will invite the Local Planning Authority representative to meet with them on site to agree on any remedial works which may be required (if any).
- 5.1.2 Any necessary remedial tree works will be confirmed in writing and must be carried out in accordance with BS 3998: 2010 - *Recommendations for tree work*.

5.2 Post Construction Landscaping

- 5.2.1 Following completion of the main construction phase, the protective fencing and ground protection may be removed and the landscaping phase can commence.
- 5.2.2 The retained trees on site may be subject to some form of landscaping or seeding beneath their canopies after the development phase. At this stage the protective barrier will have been removed.
- 5.2.3 Landscaping works must be carried out in such a way as to avoid ground level changes or deep digging within RPAs. Tractor mounted rotovation or other mechanised cultivation methods must not be used within the RPAs of retained trees.
- 5.2.4 Heavy machinery is not permitted in the vicinity of retained trees, unless otherwise stated in this method statement.
- 5.2.5 Herbicides should be appropriate for the purpose and should not be used in such a way as to damage any retained trees or vegetation.
- 5.2.6 If in doubt, regarding the impact of proposed landscape operations, please contact the appointed arboriculturalist.

6. Timescale of Works

6.1.1 The timescale for arboricultural requirements are summarised below:

Timescale	Action	✓	Initial
Stage 1	All requirements listed in the planning consent are approved by the Local Authority planning office.		
Stage 2	Undertake the tree works (as detailed at Appendix 1).		
Stage 3	Install the temporary protective fencing around the trees (as detailed at Appendix 2 and as shown on the Tree Protection Plan at Appendix 5).		
Stage 4	Install ground protection within the RPAs of those trees which are not fully protected by the fencing (as detailed in Section 4).		
Stage 5	Have the Local Planning Authority or Arboricultural Consultant inspect the fencing and ground protection measures prior to any on site construction. Once inspected, the protective fencing and ground protection must not to be moved or breached.		
Stage 6	Construction Phase: Undertake the construction of the new building. Install permanent hard surfaces whilst undertaking suitable measures to avoid root damage and soil compaction (as detailed in Section 4 and at Appendix 4.3).		
Stage 7	Following the completion of the construction phase and when all site traffic and machinery has left, the protective fencing and ground protection can be removed.		
Stage 8	Undertake any proposed landscaping in line with Section 5.2 .		

7. Relevant Contact Details

Contact Name	Organisation/Detail	Contact Number
Andrew Bussey Arboricultural Consultant	JCA Limited	01422 376335
Nick Goddard Tree Officer	Kirklees Metropolitan Council	01484 221589
TBC Site Manager	TBC	TBC
Matthew Carter	Farrah Bamforth Associates	01484 424008

Appendices

Tree Ref.	Age	Height (m)	Crown Height (m)	Height (m) and Direction of the Lowest Branch	Diameter (cm)	Crown Spread			Observations	Recommendations	Physiological Condition	Structural Condition	Amenity Value	NHBC Water Demand	Life Expectancy (yrs)	Retention Category
	Common Name					Botanical Name	N	W								
T 1	Early-mature	7	4	4	30	1	1	1	Single-stemmed and vertical with a balanced crown. Previously topped at 5m with progressive decay at the topping point. A light is attached to the stem.	Remove to facilitate the proposed development.	POOR	FAIR	LOW	MOD	10+	C 1
	Sycamore			n/a						n/a						
	<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>															
T 2	Mature	14	3	3	80#	7.5#	7.5#	7.5#	Situated on adjacent land. Twin-stemmed at 2m with a balanced crown. Occasional pruning wounds. No major visible defects. Limited inspection due to restricted access.	No action required.	GOOD	GOOD	MOD	MOD	40+	1 B 2
	Sycamore			n/a						n/a						
	<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>															
T 3	Young	6	1	1	9	2.2	2	0	Single-stemmed and leaning with an unbalanced crown. No evidence of significant pruning. No major visible defects. A tree of little significance.	No action required.	GOOD	GOOD	LOW	HIGH	20+	C 1
	Elm			E						n/a						
	<i>Ulmus sp.</i>															
T 4	Mature	18	7	7	103	10	8	8#	Single-stemmed and slightly leaning with a balanced crown. Occasional pruning wounds. No major visible defects. Dense Ivy prevented a detailed inspection.	Remove deadwood. Remove the Ivy and re-inspect for defects.	GOOD	GOOD	MOD	MOD	40+	1 A 2
	Sycamore			N						Low						
	<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>															
T 5	Semi-mature	6	6	0	14	2.5	2.5	2	Single-stemmed and vertical with a balanced crown. No evidence of significant pruning. No major visible defects.	No action required.	GOOD	GOOD	LOW	LOW	20+	C 1
	Holly			n/a						n/a						
	<i>Ilex aquifolium</i>															
T 6	Early-mature	13	2	2	34	0	3#	3#	Situated on adjacent land. A multi-stemmed coppice on an old stump with an unbalanced crown. No evidence of significant pruning.	Monitor biennially.	GOOD	FAIR	LOW	MOD	<10	C 1
	Common Lime			n/a				5#		Low						
	<i>Tilia x europaea</i>															
T 7	Mature	15	0	5	74	5.5	6	6	Single-stemmed and vertical with a balanced crown. No evidence of significant pruning. No major visible defects.	No action required.	GOOD	GOOD	MOD	MOD	40+	1 A 2
	Horse Chestnut			NE				5.3		n/a						
	<i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i>															
G 8	Semi to early-mature	To 6	0	0	To 40#	See plan			Situated on adjacent land. A row of topped trees of reasonable form. Limited inspection due to restricted access. No major visible defects.	No action required.	GOOD	GOOD	MOD	LOW	20+	1 B 2
	Holly			n/a						n/a						
	<i>Ilex aquifolium</i>															
T 9	Mature	17	7	7	50#	4.5#	4.5#	4.5#	Single-stemmed and vertical with a balanced crown. No evidence of significant pruning. No major visible defects. Limited inspection due to basal vegetation.	No action required.	GOOD	GOOD	MOD	LOW	20+	1 C 2
	Holly			n/a						n/a						
	<i>Ilex aquifolium</i>															
T 10	Early-mature	9	3	3	27#	3#	3#	3.5#	Single-stemmed and vertical with a balanced crown. No evidence of significant pruning. No major visible defects. Limited inspection due to basal vegetation.	No action required.	GOOD	GOOD	MOD	MOD	40+	1 B 2
	Monkey Puzzle			n/a						n/a						
	<i>Araucaria araucana</i>															


Tree Ref.	Age	Height (m)	Crown Height (m)	Height (m) and Direction of the Lowest Branch	Diameter (cm)	Crown Spread		Observations	Recommendations Priority	Physiological Condition	Structural Condition	Amenity Value	NHBC Water Demand	Life Expectancy (yrs)	Retention Category
	Common Name Botanical Name					N	E								
T 11	Mature Sycamore <i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>	16	4	4 n/a	70#	6.5# 6.5# 6.5#		Situated on adjacent land. The crown overhangs the footpath and road. Twin-stemmed at 3m with a balanced crown. Occasional pruning wounds. No major visible defects.	No action required. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	MOD	MOD	40+	1 B 2
G 12	Young Holly <i>Ilex aquifolium</i>	To 4	0	0 n/a	To 12	See plan		A small group of trees of good form. No major visible defects.	No action required. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	LOW	LOW	40+	C 1
G 13	Early-mature Cherry Laurel <i>Prunus laurocerasus</i>	To 8	0	0 n/a	To 28#	See plan		The crowns overhangs the footpath, road and car park. A dense group of overgrown shrubs. Limited inspection due to dense vegetation. No major visible defects.	No action required. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	LOW	MOD	20+	C 1
T 14	Semi-mature Goat Willow <i>Salix caprea</i>	6	3	3 n/a	18#	4.8 4 4 0		The crown overhangs the footpath and road. Single-stemmed and leaning with an unbalanced crown and a poor form. No evidence of significant pruning. No major visible defects. Limited inspection due to dense vegetation.	No action required. n/a	GOOD	FAIR	LOW	HIGH	10+	C 1
T 15	Semi-mature Cherry <i>Prunus sp</i>	10	4	4 n/a	25#	4.5 5# 4# 3#		The crown overhangs the footpath and road. Single-stemmed and slightly leaning with an unbalanced crown. No evidence of significant pruning. No major visible defects. Limited inspection due to dense vegetation.	No action required. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	LOW	MOD	20+	C 1
G 16	Semi-mature Mixed Species <i>Details in observations</i>	To 5	0+	0+ n/a	To 10	See plan		Cypress and Goat Willow of reasonable form.	No action required. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	LOW	HIGH	20+	C 2
H 17	Semi-mature Holly <i>Ilex aquifolium</i>	To 1.8	0+	0+ n/a	To 5	See plan		A maintained hedge.	No action required. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	LOW	LOW	20+	C 2
T 18	Early-mature Hawthorn <i>Crataegus monogyna</i>	7	2	2 n/a	30#	3.5 3.5 1 3.2		The crown overhangs the footpath and road. Twin-stemmed at 1m with an unbalanced crown. Occasional pruning wounds. No major visible defects. Limited inspection due to dense vegetation.	No action required. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	MOD	HIGH	20+	C 1
G 19	Semi to early-mature Holly, Rhododendron, Maple <i>Ilex aquifolium, Rhododendron sp, Acer sp</i>	To 7	0	0 n/a	To 23	See plan		Dense group of trees of reasonable form overhanging the footpath and road. No major visible defects.	Remove the four trees shown in red on the plan at Appendix 4 in order to facilitate the proposed development. Crown lift the remainder of the group to 2 metres in order to allow for the installation of the protective fencing. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	MOD	MOD	20+	1 B 2

Tree Ref.	Age	Height (m)	Crown Height (m)	Height (m) and Direction of the Lowest Branch	Diameter (cm)	Crown Spread			Observations	Recommendations	Physiological Condition	Structural Condition	Amenity Value	NHBC Water Demand	Life Expectancy (yrs)	Retention Category
	Common Name					W	E	S								
T 20	Mature Sycamore <i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>	15	5	5 n/a	54	5 6	3# 5#		The crown overhangs the footpath and road. Twin-stemmed at 2.5m with a slightly unbalanced crown containing moderate deadwood and die-back. Occasional pruning wounds.	Remove deadwood. Monitor biennially. Moderate	FAIR	FAIR	MOD	MOD	10+	C 1
T 21	Mature Snake Bark Maple <i>Acer capillipes</i>	14	1.5	3 n/a	82 at base	4#	6.5 6.3		Twin-stemmed at 1m with an slightly unbalanced crown. Occasional pruning wounds. Included bark present at the stem junction. Limited inspection due to basal vegetation.	Monitor biennially. Crown lift to 2 meters in order to allow for the installation of the protective fencing. Moderate	GOOD	FAIR	MOD	MOD	20+	1 B 2
G 22	Semi to early-mature Holly, Rhododendron, Plum, Robinia <i>Mixed</i>	To 12	0	0 n/a	To 30	See plan			Dense group of trees of reasonable forms overhanging the footpath and the road. No major visible defects.	No action required. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	MOD	LOW TO MOD	20+	1 B 2
T 23	Semi-mature Yew <i>Taxus baccata</i>	6.5	0	0 n/a	31	2.8 3.8	4		The crown overhangs the footpath and road. Single-stemmed and vertical with a balanced crown. No evidence of significant pruning. No major visible defects.	Crown lift to 2 meters in order to allow for the installation of the protective fencing. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	MOD	MOD	40+	1 B 2
T 24	Mature Copper Beech <i>Fagus sylvatica 'Atropurpurea'</i>	16	1	4 n/a	71	8	7		The crown overhangs the footpath and the road. Twin-stemmed at 4.5m with a balanced crown. No evidence of significant pruning. No major visible defects.	Crown lift to 2 meters in order to allow for the installation of the protective fencing. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	MOD	MOD	40+	1 A 2
T 25	Early-mature Copper Beech <i>Fagus sylvatica 'Atropurpurea'</i>	13	1.5	3.5 n/a	45#	4.5# 2	5# 6		The crown overhangs the footpath and the road. Twin-stemmed at 5m with an unbalanced crown. No evidence of significant pruning. No major visible defects. Limited inspection due to basal vegetation.	No action required. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	MOD	MOD	40+	1 B 2
T 26	Early-mature Holly <i>Ilex aquifolium</i>	5	0	0 n/a	20	2.5 3	3		The crown overhangs the footpath. Multi-stemmed at ground level with a balanced crown. No evidence of significant pruning. No major visible defects.	No action required. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	LOW	LOW	20+	C 1
G 27	Semi-mature Sycamore <i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>	To 13	0	0 n/a	To 30#	See plan			Six trees of vertical and balanced forms. Limited inspection due to basal vegetation.	No action required. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	LOW	MOD	20+	C 1
T 28	Early-mature Whitebeam <i>Sorbus aria</i>	12	1.5	4.5 SW	40	3.5 4.3	3.5# 3.5		Single-stemmed and vertical with a balanced crown which has been previously topped. A decay cavity is present at 2.5m on the main stem.	Monitor biennially. Moderate	GOOD	FAIR	LOW	MOD	20+	1 B 2
T 29	Early-mature Holly <i>Ilex aquifolium</i>	8	6	0 n/a	42	4 2.5	3# 3.5		Twin-stemmed at 1m with a balanced crown. No evidence of significant pruning. No major visible defects.	No action required. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	MOD	LOW	40+	B 1

Tree Ref.	Age	Height (m)	Crown Height (m)	Height (m) and Direction of the Lowest Branch	Diameter (cm)	Crown Spread			Observations	Recommendations Priority	Physiological Condition	Structural Condition	Amenity Value	NHBC Water Demand	Life Expectancy (yrs)	Retention Category
	Common Name Botanical Name					N	W	E								
T 30	Early-mature Sycamore <i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>	16	4	4 n/a	49#	4.5#	4.5#	4.5#	Growing against the adjacent roof. Twin-stemmed at 4m with a balanced crown. No evidence of significant pruning. No major visible defects. Limited inspection due to basal vegetation.	Prune back to clear the roof by 1.5m Low	GOOD	GOOD	MOD	MOD	40+	B 1 2
T 31	Semi-mature Holly <i>Ilex aquifolium</i>	6	1	1 n/a	13	0	1	1	Single-stemmed and leaning with an unbalanced crown. No evidence of significant pruning. No major visible defects.	No action required. n/a	GOOD	GOOD	LOW	LOW	20+	C 1

Appendix 2: Protective Barrier

A2.1 An example of the above-ground stabilisation system recommended for use within this report.



TREE PROTECTION ZONE

KEEP OUT!

TREES ENCLOSED BY THIS FENCE ARE PROTECTED
BY STRICT PLANNING CONDITIONS

ANY DAMAGE CAUSED TO THESE TREES MAY
RESULT IN CRIMINAL PROSECUTION

RESTRICTED AREA:

- THE PROTECTIVE FENCE MUST NOT BE MOVED OR BREACHED
- NO PERSON, MACHINERY, VEHICLE OR PLANT IS PERMITTED WITHIN THE TREE PROTECTION ZONE
- NO MATERIALS SHALL BE STORED WITHIN THE TREE PROTECTION ZONE
- NO EXCAVATIONS ARE PERMITTED WITHIN THE TREE PROTECTION ZONE
- NO SPOIL IS TO BE DEPOSITED WITHIN THE TREE PROTECTION ZONE
- NO FIRES ARE TO BE LIT WITHIN THE TREE PROTECTION ZONE


REPORT TREE DAMAGE TO JCA LIMITED ON
01422 376 335

Appendix 3: Utilities and Drainage

- A3.1 Over-ground services should be routed away from areas where they are likely to interfere with the crowns of trees. Similarly any landscaping should take account of over-ground services and mature tree size.
- A3.2 Underground services must be routed outside the RPA of retained trees, unless otherwise specified within this report. NJUG Volume 4 Issue 2 (on the next page) is a set of accepted guidelines for installing services in the proximity of trees. Please note that this is not a substitute for site-specific advice by an arboriculturalist and consultation should be made wherever incursions of RPAs are envisaged. The contents of this report, specifically **Section 4.5**, supersede the set of guidelines on the next page, which are only included for reference.



NJUG Guidelines for the Planning, Installation and Maintenance of Utility Apparatus in Proximity to Trees – Issue 2



TREE PROTECTION ZONE

Key to Diagram



Trunk of Tree



Spread of canopy or branches



PROHIBITED ZONE – 1m from trunk. Excavations of any kind must not be undertaken within this zone unless full consultation with Local Authority Tree Officer is undertaken. Materials, plant and spoil must not be stored within this zone.




PRECAUTIONARY ZONE – 4 x tree circumference. Where excavations must be undertaken within this zone the use of mechanical excavation plant should be prohibited. Precautions should be undertaken to protect any exposed roots. Materials, plant and spoil should not be stored within this zone. Consult with Local Authority Tree Officer if in any doubt.



PERMITTED ZONE – outside of precautionary zone. Excavation works may be undertaken within this zone however caution must be applied and the use of mechanical plant limited. Any exposed roots should be protected.


Appendix 4: Permanent Hard Surfaces

- A4.1 This Appendix outlines the options available for constructing No-Dig hard surfaces within the RPA of a tree. The design of such a construction needs to be sensitive to the requirements of tree roots, substantial enough to withstand the expected levels of traffic and practicable in terms of ease of fabrication (See **Section 4.4** for details)
- A4.2 We are not qualified to recommend any particular construction method in terms of durability or structural integrity and any proposed construction should be approved by a qualified structural engineer prior to implementation. However, with regards to trees, we make the following comments:
- Severance of roots and soil compaction should be avoided. However, if it is necessary to sever roots or if they are severed accidentally we must be informed so that we are able to assess and recommend accordingly.
 - Air and water must be able to diffuse into the soil beneath the engineered surface. Toxic substances which could leach into the ground must be avoided, as should substances which affect the pH value of the soil, for example limestone.
- A4.3 **The No-Dig Method:** This involves construction of a surface with no excavation, soil stripping or site grading. All construction takes place above ground level. Preparation is as follows:
- A4.4 Ground vegetation is killed using a suitable herbicide. Care must be taken to select a herbicide which does not damage the tree roots within the treated area. Once the vegetation has died, the dead organic matter should be removed. This helps prevent the future build-up of anaerobic conditions or settlement due to decomposition.




A light duty drive constructed using the *No Dig Method*.

An example of an above-ground stabilizing system




A stabilizer strut mounted on a block tray




EDGERTON ROAD



An example of a 'no dig' road construction



An example of scaffolding within the RPA



Appendix 5: Tree Protection Plan

ADDRESS: Oakley House, 1 Hungerford Road, Edgerton, Huddersfield, West Yorkshire, HD3 3AL. JCA REF: 16105-A/AJB.

SCALE: 1:200 PAPER SIZE: A1

SURVEYED BY: AJB DRAWN BY: AJB APPROVED BY: DK

	TREE TO BE RETAINED
	TREE TO BE REMOVED
	STEM OF TREE TO BE RETAINED
	STEM OF TREE TO BE REMOVED
	ROOT PROTECTION AREA (RPA)
	ROOT PROTECTION AREA ENCLOSED BY THE PROPOSED HARD STANDING WHERE THE NO-DIG METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION MUST BE UTILISED
	PROTECTIVE FENCE LINE (CEZ)
	PROPOSED NEW DEVELOPMENT
	EXISTING HARD STANDING TO BE RETAINED
	LOCATION WHERE GROUND PROTECTION MEASURES ARE REQUIRED

TREE PROTECTION MEASURES

THE ROOT PROTECTION AREA (RPA) INDICATES THE LIKELY ROOTING ZONE OF A TREE.

UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED IN THE ARBORICULTURAL METHOD STATEMENT, THIS AREA NEEDS TO REMAIN UNDISTURBED.

TO ACHIEVE THIS, PROTECTIVE FENCING WILL BE INSTALLED TO ENCLOSE THE RPA TO MAKE A CONSTRUCTION EXCLUSION ZONE (CEZ).

THIS AREA IS TO BE CONSIDERED A RESTRICTED AREA; NO PEDESTRIANS, VEHICLES, THE STORAGE OF MATERIALS, EQUIPMENT OR MACHINERY ARE ALLOWED WITHIN THE CEZ, UNLESS SPECIFIED WITHIN THE ARBORICULTURAL METHOD STATEMENT.

WHERE IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO ENCLOSE THE RPA WITH THE PROTECTIVE FENCING, GROUND PROTECTION MEASURES WILL NEED TO BE LAID TO MINIMIZE ANY GROUND COMPACTION AND ANY DISTURBANCE TO THE UNDERLYING SOIL.

THE PROTECTIVE BARRIER WILL BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO ANY CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES TAKING PLACE AND WILL BE RETAINED IN PLACE UNTIL THE MATERIAL COMPLETION OF DEVELOPMENT.


IT IS IMPORTANT THAT THE PROTECTIVE BARRIER IS CHECKED BY THE LPA OR THE ARBORICULTURAL CONSULTANT PRIOR TO ANY CONSTRUCTION WORKS BEING CARRIED OUT. IF THE TREE PROTECTION MEASURES ARE NOT CORRECTLY INSTALLED OR IF THEY DO NOT COMPLY WITH BS 5837: 2012, THIS COULD RESULT IN DAMAGE BEING CAUSED TO TREES AND CONSEQUENTLY A STOP NOTICE MAY BE SERVED BY THE LPA.

THIS PLAN IS TO BE PRINTED IN COLOUR AND READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE JCA ARBORICULTURAL REPORT (JCA REF: 16105-A/AJB)



I hope that this report provides all the necessary information, but should any further advice be needed please do not hesitate to contact the author.

Signed



.....

Andrew Bussey.

10th August 2020

For and on behalf of *JCA Ltd*

Registered Office:

**Unit 80
Bowers Mill
Branch Road
Barkisland
Halifax
HX4 0AD**

Tel. 01422 376335

Fax. 01422 376232

Email: jon@jcaac.com

www.jcaac.com

Report printed on recycled paper

JCA Ltd. Arboricultural and Ecological Consultants

Professional Tree and Ecology Advice nationwide

ARBORICULTURAL SERVICES

Guidance for Architects and Developers

- British Standard 5837 Tree Surveys
- Arboricultural Implication Assessments (AIA)
- Arboricultural Method Statements (AMS)

Advice for Engineers, Loss Adjusters and Insurers

- Tree Surveys for Subsidence
- Heave Assessment
- Tree Root Identification

Advice for Local Authorities and Social Housing

- Tree Safety Surveys
- Specialist Decay Detection
- Landscape and Orchard Design

Tree Advice for the Legal Profession

- Subsidence Litigation
- Personal Injury and Accident Investigation
- Expert Witness, Planning Inquiries and Appeals

Veteran Tree Management

- Ancient Woodland Management
- Veteran Tree Management

Tree Health and Pest and Disease Management

- Pest and Disease Surveys
- Tree Health Checks
- Disease Mitigation and Control

ECOLOGICAL SERVICES

Ecological Pre-Planning Services

- Phase 1 Habitat Surveys
- Great Crested Newt eDNA Sampling
- Protected Species: Bat, Wintering and Nesting Bird, Badger, Amphibian, Otter, Water Vole, White-Clawed Crayfish, Dormice and Reptile Surveys.
- Preparation for Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)
- Invasive Species Surveys
- Code for Sustainable Homes

Ecological Post-Planning Services

- Biodiversity Enhancement Plans
- Protected Species Mitigation
- Ecological Management (Bat and Bird box installation and inspection)

HEAD QUARTERS:

Unit 80 Bowers Mill,
Branch Road,
Barkisland,
Halifax, HX4 0AD.

Tel: 01422 376335
Mobile: 07778 391986
Email: jon@jcaac.com
Website: www.jcaac.com

