

DCAdmin

From:
Sent: 07 August 2023 19:02
To: DCAdmin
Subject: Ref: 2023/91907

Hello there,

As a supporter of the application Ref – 2023/91907, It has come to my attention that some residents with objections appear to be hunting for reasons to do so, and their concerns are based on personal issues.

To begin with, I find it difficult to believe that the overgrown land has been utilised for dog walking, as it is practically impossible to walk a dog in such land which would be unsafe due to the amount of rubbish and litter.

I'd also like to point out that some residents who have expressed concern over the 'precious greenery' being removed, have also expressed a willingness to pay for the greenery surrounding their garden to be removed, therefore I believe this concern is invalid. Furthermore, as shown in the planning application, there will still be trees and greenery, therefore this should not be an issue.

I feel like it is very evident that many of the objectors' behaviours contradict their claims. I'm not sure why the bin collection issue has been exaggerated as if it was such a major issue, it would have been brought up with the council previously if these objectors were very concerned and affected by it.

Another comment has indicated that Mr Ali is responsible for _____, with no evidence. This, again, appears to be a personal issue and an attack on the applicant. The proposed development will bring direct and indirect benefits to the local economy through construction employment and tax revenue and support local community facilities. I wish to remain anonymous.

Many thanks