

About the application

Application number: 2023/90444	
What is the application for?:	Erection of detached dwelling
Address of the site or building:	Land adj, Fern Bank, Far End Lane, Honley, Holmfirth, HD9 6NS
Postcode:	HD9 6RP

User comments

Type of comment: An objection	
Do you wish your comments to be published on the website anonymously?	Yes
I wish to object to the proposed development at No39 Far End Lane, Planning reference 2023/90444. This current application shows part of the new design in the same position as 'Plot 2' of the original application, (2019/91370). The owners of Quarry House objected to the first application as it overlooked their garden. Plot 2 was subsequently removed, and the council granted outline planning for just one dwelling much nearer the existing house. As 'Plot 2' was considered unsuitable for a house in 2019 why should it be granted permission in 2023? What has changed? The council would be backtracking on their own decision. The second application, 2022/91069, from Feb 2022 received 20 objections before being withdrawn. The previous objections should be considered on this application as nothing much has changed apart from the 'build' moving 8mts in a north westerly direction. Ellie Worth the officer who dealt with application 2022/91069 is not dealing with this new one, why? She knew the background to 2022/91069 and would be better to have continuity, it is basically the same application. A condition of the outline planning permission 2019/91370 required a 2-metre pavement along the full site. Why is this 2-metre pavement not shown on the new design? A safe entrance with sight lines was agreed in 2019, why has this safe entrance/exit been moved closer to a blind bend on the new application? The new application is for a house in the region of a million pounds but only allows parking for 2 cars & no garage. Roadside parking in this area of Far End Lane is unsuitable. Any other cars belonging to, or visiting the new development, will be parked on a blind bend. A lone driver exiting the large gate at No39 is in danger of a side on impact crash due to the large barn type structure to the drivers right which blocks their view of oncoming traffic which is often travelling above the speed limit. The house was built in the 19th century before cars were invented so then an entrance on a blind bend didn't cause a problem to a man on a horse. Modern transport has	

on a blind bend didn't cause a problem to a man on a horse. Modern transport has caused the current situation, permission should not be given for another entrance to be constructed only a few metres away from an already dangerous one.

This new entrance will be made worse by the additional traffic travelling too & from the new development at Smithy Place. It will be used as a short cut to the village and schools. All traffic will be converging on this blind bend which is an accident in the waiting.

Opposite this blind bend is the entrance to Field End Lane which services a farm where tractors, milk wagons and various other vehicles are coming in and out all day.

A project of this size would require heavy duty machinery to install the stilts for the foundations. The noise and disruption to the neighbours during construction would be unacceptable. Also, the vibrations from the drilling could affect surrounding buildings. What guarantee's will the council or builders give that this won't happen. If it did who would compensate them?

Can Kirklees Council also guarantee that Far End Lane will be safe from subsidence once the work starts and not be another Burnlee Rd or South Lane in Holmfirth where the banking's have collapsed and in the case of Burnlee, require road closure.

Where will the builders park their machinery. Where will the contractors park? Far End Lane leading to Field End Lane is a private road owned & maintained by the residents, so no parking is allowed on there.

I see that another comment has mentioned Badgers, I have certainly seen signs that they are about during the night.

Finally, I repeat, what has changed from the original 2019 application to this new one? Nothing. Granting permission of 2023/90444 would be a complete U-turn by the council to their decision on application 2019/91370.

I would therefore request the Council refuse planning permission and the 'landowner' use the safer decision agreed in 2019/20.