

## About the application

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Application number: 2022/91822   |  |
| What is the application for?:    | Modify Section 106 obligation relating to previous permission 2014/93014 for out |
| Address of the site or building: | Land at Edgerton Road, Huddersfield, HD3 3AA                                     |
| Postcode:                        | LS21 1AQ   |

## User comments

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Type of comment: An objection  |     |
| Do you wish your comments to be published on the website anonymously?  | Yes |
| <p>The developers are experienced. They purchased a speculative, contentious investment. They were naïve if they did not expect the planning process to result in “over and above the normal costs”. The council should not be responsible for bailing out their business failings.</p> <p>The claim that Prospect Estates have managed the site for 83 months at cost of £1000 per month is laughable. Other than some initial work on the site after the Supreme Court ruling, there has been zero management of the site, and as can be seen, nature is slowly reclaiming the site. Have the applicants provided evidence of this work &amp; this expenditure?</p> <p>Prospect Estates Limited has four active directors. Two of these directors are the active directors of Paddico (267) Limited. Prospect Estates Limited has a 100% shareholding in Paddico (267) Limited. The most recent sets of accounts for both companies do not appear to support the claimed massive loans between the two companies.</p> <p>The most recent accounts filed for Paddico (267) Limited state that it is dormant company. How does a dormant company pay someone to do £1,000 worth of management work per month?</p> <p>This application is speculative and deeply flawed and should be rejected.</p> |     |