

Please find below:

I object to the building of two, four bedroom houses to replace the current single storey bungalow for the below reasons:

1) Access

The access to the proposed sites would be down the current driveway, which runs between 60a and 58 Carr Hill Road. The driveway is narrow and single track, the drive is quite long and will have no room for passing places and due to the adjoining properties this driveway cannot be widened. The driveway run out on to Carr Hill Road which is becoming faster and busier every year, it has no pavements, just grass boarders which are heavily used by local families due to the lack of pavements. Two four bedroom houses, could potentially lead to 8 cars requiring access to the properties, the current driveway infrastructure was not built for. Noise levels to the adjoining properties would increase from the increase in traffic to the properties.

2) Four Bedroom Properties

All properties adjoining the proposed development land are all either, true bungalows; or dorma bungalows. The property currently on the land is in keeping. The proposed development of two full up four bedroom houses is not in keeping with the current surroundings. The proposed development would be imposing to the current surrounding properties of 56, 58, 60a Carr Hill Road and to 5 Carr Mount. Privacy to these properties will be affected and it will increase the potential for noise and light pollution.

3) Garden Grabbing

This would be the second occasion that the current property owners will have built or attempted to build, additional properties on the site. This is a clear and valid point to be raised under both Government and Council legislation against "garden grabbing"

4) Access to build

The building of the properties is a potential safety hazard for adjoining properties due to heavy goods vehicles, accessing and exiting and parking on the road which is on a bend. This could also affect locals whilst walking up and down the road

5) Plans

The drive on the plans show the boundary to be alongside/against the garage of the 58 Carr Hill Road, where actually there is a 1.7ft gap between the boundary and the garage in favour of 58 Carr Hill Road, which also includes a footway. Therefore the drive on the plan is narrower than the plans show at that stage