



ecological consultants

BIODIVERSITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT FOR NET GAIN

Land off Fullwood Drive, Golcar, West Yorkshire

Report Reference: BG20.296.2

August 2023 – REV2



Brindle & Green Ecological Consultants specialise in delivering high quality and affordable ecological surveys and reports-tailored for their suitability for informing planning applications.

Brindle & Green surveyors have the necessary experience, technical ability, qualifications and accreditations to meet the high demands increasingly enforced by Local Authorities and Natural England.

Projects are undertaken against the recognised guidelines for the species or habitats being studied. Brindle & Green reports are uniquely designed to provide the reader with the best possible understanding of our client's proposals and to ensure that the information requested by the Local Planning Authority is easily found and understood.

This report has been prepared in accordance with the CIEEM (2017) **Guidance on Ecological Report Writing**. Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management, Winchester and CIEEM (2017) Technical guidance series, **Guidelines for Preliminary Ecological Appraisal**. Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management, Winchester

www.brindlegreen.co.uk



Sheffield Office

Brindle & Green Limited
Horizon House
Whiting Street
Sheffield S8 9QR

Barnsley Office

Brindle & Green Limited
Sergeants House
36 Edderthorpe Lane
Barnsley S73 9AT

Head Office

Brindle & Green Limited
Unit 3 Silverhill Court
Radbourne
Derby DE6 4LY
Tel: 0800 222 9105

London Office

Brindle & Green Limited
Nutter Lane
Wanstead
London E11 2HZ

Kent Office

Brindle & Green Limited
Sandy Lane
Sevenoaks
Kent TN13 3TP





DOES YOUR REPORT MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR
**FURTHER SURVEY WORK,
MITIGATION, MONITORING
OR ENHANCEMENT?**

Our consultancy services include specialist species surveys, landscape and mitigation, including European Protected Species Development Licences, on site supervision and monitoring.

Our contracting team deliver on site mitigation including exclusion fencing, badger sett construction and closure, tree climbing and soft landscaping.

For further information on consultancy or from our construction team please contact us on...



0800 222 9105



info@brindlegreen.co.uk

www.brindlegreen.co.uk

DID YOU KNOW BRINDLE & GREEN ALSO OFFER...



Our ecology team provide extended habitat, botanical and species survey including bat work. We also specialise in obtaining European Protected Species Licences.



Our arboriculture team deliver industry standard tree surveys, root impact assessment and tree protection plans.



Our marine team deliver shoreline surveys, marine mammal surveys, coral reef impact assessments and more within an ever diversifying division.



Our in house contracting team deliver GCN / Reptile fencing, badger sett exclusion and closure and habitat creation services.



Our science team offer GCN eDNA analysis & sample training, Bat eDNA analysis and can now provide White Clawed Crayfish eDNA analysis too.



Our Landscape consultants provide bespoke design schemes for commercial and private projects to satisfy a range of briefs. We can assess the potential scope of a proposed scheme from the earliest concept stage.

Document Control

Report	Name	Signature	Date
Prepared by	Tom Hough QualCIEEM		12/01/2021
1 st Check by	Ellen Marshall Head of Ecology		14/01/2021
2 nd Check by	Lucinda Sweet MCIEEM		10/11/2021
Issued by (PDF)	Tom Hough		06/01/2022
Rev2	Tom Hough		02/08/2023

Liability

Brindle & Green has prepared this report for the sole use of:

Acumen Designers and Architects

The report is in accordance with the agreement under which our services were performed. No warranty, express or implied, is made as to the advice in this report or any other service provided by us. This report may not be relied upon by any other party except the person, company, agent or any third party for whom the report is intended without the prior written permission of Brindle & Green.

The content of this report is, at least in part, based upon information provided by others and on the assumption that all relevant information has been provided by those parties from whom it has been requested. Information obtained from any third party has not been independently verified by Brindle & Green unless otherwise stated in the report.

COPYRIGHT

© This report is the copyright of Brindle & Green. Unauthorised reproduction or usage by any person is prohibited.

Project Details

Project carried out by:

Brindle and Green

Unit 3, Silverhill Court

Radbourne

Derby.

DE6 4LY

Head Office: 0800 222 9105

Email: info@brindlegreen.co.uk

Website: www.brindlegreen.co.uk

Project carried out for:

Mr Hamish Gledhill

Acumen Designers & Architects

Headrow House

Old Leeds Road

Huddersfield

HD1 1SG

Tel: 01484 546000

Email: hamish@acumenarchitects.co.uk

Project site:

Land off Fullwood Drive

Golcar

Huddersfield

HD7 4JH

Grid Ref. SE 09599 15668

Contents

1	Summary	7
2	Introduction	9
3	The Biodiversity Metric 3.0 Biodiversity Impact Calculator.....	10
4	Assessment Calculator Results	12
5	Evaluation	15
6	Ecological Management Prescriptions	16
	Appendix 1. Baseline Habitats.....	17
	Appendix 2. Proposed Habitats	18
	Appendix 3. Proposed Plans	19

1 Summary

- 1.1 Where a development has an impact on biodiversity, Biodiversity Net Gain encourages developers to secure an increase in appropriate natural habitat and ecological features over and above that being affected. In order to determine whether there is no net loss or a net gain to biodiversity from a development project, a quantitative approach involving the use of a metric is required. In 2012, DEFRA created such a metric to quantify the impact of a development in terms of 'biodiversity units'. The UK government's 25 Year Environment Plan will require all new developments in England, delivered via the existing planning and development process to meet a mandatory improvement in biodiversity value.
- 1.2 This Biodiversity Impact Assessment (BIA) draws upon the results of the Preliminary Ecological Appraisal (PEA) undertaken and reported upon previously (BG17.254, August 2017) and adds the results of biodiversity value calculations, derived using the Biodiversity Metric 3.0 Biodiversity Impact Calculator and based upon the detailed design proposals for the application site. An updated PEA was (BG20.296, December 2020), to support an Ecological Impact Assessment (EclA), (BG20.296.2, November 2021) however, the site had undergone clearance to control Schedule 9 Invasive species. Conditions and habitats on site are based on the initial assessment from 2017. The classifications of original habitats are presented within Appendix 1.
- 1.3 During the baseline assessment the habitats on site were evaluated as holding site value in relation to local surroundings. The site was dominated by tall ruderal herbs, with scrub and scattered trees. For the purposes of this biodiversity impact assessment, the south-eastern half of the site comprising the scattered trees was characterised as woodland. Some sections of non-native hedgerow were also present along site boundaries under the ownership of adjacent areas of land.
- 1.4 Using the Biodiversity Metric 3.0 (Beta Test) provided by Natural England, the existing habitats within the application boundary were valued at 1.64 'biodiversity units'. The proposed scheme, totalling 0.4ha, currently results in an overall net-loss to biodiversity of -0.52 'biodiversity units' (-31.74%), despite the introduction of proposed enhancements (Appendix 2). Baseline hedgerows

equate to a value of 0.02 hedgerow units. Additional non-native hedgerow is proposed, which will result in a net gain of 0.11 'hedgerow units' to the site.

- 1.5 The current plan incorporates the creation of areas meadow grassland, amenity grassland and street trees, with the remainder of the site comprising the proposed residential dwellings and access roads. It is also recommended that an area of existing poor condition woodland along the southern boundary retained and enhanced to a moderate condition via the planting of additional native trees and ensuring the removal of invasive species. These enhancements mitigate the biodiversity loss to the site to -35.68% (Appendix 2). The proposed enhancements will allow continued connectivity to the surrounding landscape and ecological function across the site, especially along retained features.
- 1.6 This report was compiled following the Biodiversity Net Gain Good Practice Principles for Development (CIEEM, 2019).

2 Introduction

- 2.1 Brindle and Green Ltd were approached by Acumen Designers and Architects to carry out a Biodiversity Impact Assessment (BIA). This report provides an appraisal of the biodiversity value associated with existing habitats established during the baseline survey and assesses the impacts in terms of biodiversity loss against the proposed layout (Appendix 1) using the Biodiversity Metric 3.0, provided by Natural England.
- 2.2 The project area is approximately 0.4ha in extent and is located within the village of Golcar, within the western outskirts of the town of Huddersfield. Residential plots border the northern and eastern site boundary, whilst the eastern and southern boundaries are bordered by woodland areas. The site is the subject of a full planning application for the development of eight residential dwellings associated access and landscaping. Design plans are provided within Appendix 1 of this report.
- 2.3 The majority of existing habitats within the site boundaries contributing to the baseline biodiversity score will be lost to facilitate the proposed development. In order to reduce the biodiversity loss, the retention and enhancement of a woodland buffer zone along the southern boundary is recommended. It is also recommended that an area of meadow grassland be introduced at the north-western corner of the site comprising an EM2 Standard Meadow Mix.
- 2.4 The purpose of this report is to assess the current biodiversity habitat and value of the site and determine the impact on biodiversity following development. The targets relating to net gain are to seek to ensure no net-loss as a result of the development, and preferably, a net-gain in biodiversity value. If a net gain cannot be made on-site, then off-site enhancement, financial contribution or habitat banking may be stipulated by the LPA, unless an agreement can be made.
- 2.5 Results and recommendations contained within this report have been prepared by an experienced ecologist and are therefore the view of Brindle & Green Limited. The results of the Biodiversity Impact Assessment are based on information provided by our client, the development proposals and our survey of the site. This report pertains to this information only.

3 The Biodiversity Metric 3.0 Biodiversity Impact Calculator

- 3.1 The biodiversity accounting system is underpinned by a metric that calculates the ecological value of both development impact and habitat restoration/creation.
- 3.2 The Biodiversity Metric 3.0 uses the national metric recommended by Defra, developed by Natural England in consultation with a range of experts, and was the standard metric at the time of writing of the original report. The metric is based on an assessment of habitat type and condition. Habitat types are classified into three bands of 'distinctiveness' which are: priority habitats as defined in the NERC Act 2006 (high), semi-natural habitats (medium) and managed habitats, such as arable farmland (low).
- 3.3 Compensation arrangements should be like-for-like or better, i.e. the loss of semi-natural habitats can only be compensated for through the creation of priority or other semi-natural habitats, not through creating some lesser quality habitat. 'Trading up' options allow for the loss of poor-quality habitat, such as farmland, to be compensated for with the creation of high-quality habitat.
- 3.4 The ecological value of the habitat lost to development is a function of its distinctiveness, its condition and the area lost – scores are assigned to all three variables and multiplied together to arrive at the number of units lost. To compensate for a loss, the same or more units ('conservation credits') must then be delivered through habitat creation or restoration at another site that is going to be managed for wildlife (the 'receptor' site or compensation site).
- 3.5 The number of credits delivered by the compensation receptor sites are also a function of the type, condition and area of the habitat being created or restored. But additionally, there are a further range of 'multipliers' applied to the creation of habitat because there are a number of risks to take account of – spatial, temporal and delivery.
- 3.6 **Mapping and assessment**
 - 3.6.1 A phase 1 habitat survey was undertaken on the 23rd August 2017. The Phase 1 habitats recorded were converted to UK Habitats classification and habitat

conditions were determined using the habitat descriptions within the phase 1 report. The classification of habitats and conditions follow the outline in the Natural England Technical Support document associated with the beta edition of the metric. The habitats recorded during the Phase 1 surveys can be found within Appendix 2 of this report.

3.2.2 Habitats were mapped within QGIS (Version 3.24.3) software to allow area calculations. The proposed scheme was overlaid and measured using the georeferencing tool.

3.6 **Limitations**

3.6.1 It should be noted that whilst every effort has been made to provide a comprehensive description of the site, no investigation could ensure the complete characterisation and prediction of the natural environment. The protected and notable species assessment provides a preliminary view of the likelihood of these species occurring on site, based upon the suitability of the habitats, known distribution of the species in the local area and any direct evidence on site. It should not be taken as providing a full and definitive survey of any protected species group.

3.6.2 Some habitats on site have been cleared at the time of writing. For the purposes of this assessment, the calculations are based off the habitats present at the time of the original PEA (BG17.254, August 2017).

3.7 **Report Lifespan**

Given the transient nature of the subject we would consider the baseline survey results and biodiversity calculations contained within this report to be accurate for 2 years.

4 Assessment Calculator Results

4.1 Existing Biodiversity Value

- 4.1.1 The application site contains habitats of a low and medium distinctiveness. Baseline hedgerows (boundary habitat, outside of client ownership) were considered to be of low distinctiveness, comprising small section of non-native species-poor hedgerow.
- 4.1.2 Low distinctiveness habitats comprise the ruderal herbs covering approximately 0.17ha of the site, equating to approximately 40% of the area within the redline boundary, as well as an area of bracken (0.01ha). The Phase 1 survey identified a low species diversity within this area, dominated by Rosebay willow-herb (*Chamerion angustifolium*) and common nettle (*Urtica dioica*). Therefore, this habitat only provides a low biodiversity value, and subsequently the condition was considered to be poor.
- 4.1.2 Habitats of medium distinctiveness comprise poor quality woodland, covering approximately 0.22ha, equating to 55% of the area within the red-line boundary. This was condition assessed as follows; Two age classes (2), no browsing (3), invasives >10% cover (1), over 5 native species (3), More 40% open space (1), no classes or coppice regrowth (1), tree mortality less than 10% (3), No recognisable NVC (1), one or less story (1), No veteran trees present (1), less than 25% deadwood (2), No damaged ground (3). As such, this woodland scored 22 according to these criteria within the technical supplement, and was characterised as 'poor'.
- 4.1.3 Also of medium distinctiveness was a small area of scrub (0.01ha) dominated by bramble (*Rubus fruticosus*) and was therefore considered to be of a poor condition.
- 4.1.3 Using the Biodiversity Metric 3.0, provided by Natural England, the existing habitats within the application boundary were valued at 1.64 habitat biodiversity units. Baseline hedgerows comprised sections of non-native species-poor hedgerow, with 0.02 hedgerow units.

4.2 **Scheme Design**

- 4.2.1 The application seeks approval for the development of twelve residential dwellings, with associated landscaping incorporating residential gardens. The scheme will result in the loss of the majority of habitats within the site boundaries. Proposed habitats for the site, comprising residential gardens and some introduced scattered trees provide some offset to the current predicted net loss to the site. In order to further offset the loss, it is recommended that an area of woodland be enhanced along the southern boundary of the site. It is also proposed to introduce an area of meadow grassland at the north-western corner.
- 4.2.2 The dominant habitats to be introduced will be sealed surfaces of the proposed dwellings and access roads (0.22ha) and the associated vegetated gardens (0.1ha).
- 4.2.3 The remaining area is proposed to comprise small areas of modified grassland (0.03ha) to the front of the proposed dwellings, managed to a 'moderate' condition. An area of meadow grassland (0.04ha) is proposed at the north-western corner of the site, sown with an EM2 meadow mix and managed to a 'moderate' condition. A number of small size street trees are also proposed (0.01ha).
- 4.2.4 Along the southern boundary, an area of woodland (0.03ha) is proposed to be retained and enhanced to a 'moderate' condition. A number of semi-mature trees are also proposed to be retained (0.04ha).
- 4.2.3 Despite the proposed onsite enhancements, the biodiversity impact calculator recorded an overall loss to biodiversity of -0.52 'biodiversity units' (-31.74%). These scoring results are shown in Table 1 below. The full calculations will be submitted along with this report (BG20.296.2 Biodiversity Metric 3.0 Calculation Tool – Fullwood Drive, Golcar – REV1).
- 4.2.4 However, the introduction of a sections of additional hedgerow within the site will provide a net-gain of 0.13 hedgerow units to the site (537.75%)

Table 1: Biodiversity Impact Assessment Score (Extracted from DEFRA matrix)

On-site baseline	<i>Habitat units</i>	1.64
	<i>Hedgerow units</i>	0.02
	<i>River units</i>	0.00
On-site post-intervention <small>(including habitat retention, creation & enhancement)</small>	<i>Habitat units</i>	1.12
	<i>Hedgerow units</i>	0.13
	<i>River units</i>	0.00
On-site net % change <small>(including habitat retention, creation & enhancement)</small>	<i>Habitat units</i>	-31.74%
	<i>Hedgerow units</i>	537.75%
	<i>River units</i>	0.00%
Off-site baseline	<i>Habitat units</i>	0.00
	<i>Hedgerow units</i>	0.00
	<i>River units</i>	0.00
Off-site post-intervention <small>(including habitat retention, creation & enhancement)</small>	<i>Habitat units</i>	0.00
	<i>Hedgerow units</i>	0.00
	<i>River units</i>	0.00
Total net unit change <small>(including all on-site & off-site habitat retention, creation & enhancement)</small>	<i>Habitat units</i>	-0.52
	<i>Hedgerow units</i>	0.11
	<i>River units</i>	0.00
Total on-site net % change plus off-site surplus <small>(including all on-site & off-site habitat retention, creation & enhancement)</small>	<i>Habitat units</i>	-31.74%
	<i>Hedgerow units</i>	537.75%
	<i>River units</i>	0.00%

5 Evaluation

5.1 Development Proposals

The site is the subject of a planning application for twelve residential dwellings with associated access. The initial development plans suggest that ground clearance will result in the loss of the majority of current habitats, aside scattered trees and those within the retained buffer zone. The current design proposals for the site are presented in Appendix 3 of this report.

5.2 Using the Biodiversity Metric 3.0, provided by Natural England, the existing habitats within the application boundary were valued at 1.64 'biodiversity units'. With mitigation from the proposed enhancements, the overall loss to biodiversity is limited to -0.52 units.

5.3 The retained woodland and proposed hedgerows will retain connectivity along the southern boundary and enhance connectivity across the site to the wider landscape. The proposed species-rich grassland and enhanced woodland provides habitats of higher quality and ecological value than the baseline habitats, so despite the -31.74% loss due to the construction of residential housing, the ecological function of the site will be improved.

5.4 Furthermore, although the removal of tall ruderal herbs including invasive species from the site contributes to the loss to the loss of habitat units, this removal is imperative to prevent further degradation of the site and spread of invasive weeds into the surrounding landscape which would have been inevitable without intervention as a result of the planning application.

5.5 The introduction of additional hedgerow provides a gain of 0.11 hedgerow units to the site.

5.6 Proposed habitats should be managed following a site-specific Landscape Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) as outlined in section 6 and reviewed during establishment (30 year period) to determine success.

6 Ecological Management Prescriptions

6.1 To implement maximising the potential of habitats within areas of open green space a Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) has been compiled (BG20.296.3). The plan will include suitable seed mixes for resown grassland areas and an appropriate management regime. The plan will also include recommendations to enhance a buffer zone of woodland within the site.

6.2 Enhancement of Existing Habitats

6.2.1 *Woodland*

Additional tree and scrub planting will be undertaken within the section of retained woodland along the southern boundary. This will improve the quality of the buffer zone to allow continued connectivity across the site post-development. This will reduce the area of open space and increase the canopy coverage. With the removal of invasive species, this habitat will achieve a score of higher than 26 as per the condition criteria, equating to a 'moderate' condition.

6.3 Creation of habitat within open space


6.3.1 *Other neutral grassland*

Meadow grassland will be created at the north-western corner of the site. This will be sown with an EM2 Meadow Mix and managed to ensure an avoidance of invasive species and scrub, in order to achieve a moderate condition.

6.3.3 *Street Trees*

Additional street trees are proposed across the site. If they comprise native species, and are kept in good health, they will provide micro-climates for birds and insects, and achieve a 'moderate condition'.

Appendix 1. Baseline Habitats



Appendix 2. Proposed Habitats



