Kirklees Council
Planning and Development Service
PO Box 1720
Huddersfield
HD1 9EL

Enquiries to: Teresa Harlow

Kirklees Direct
Tel: 01484 414746
Email: teresa.harlow@kirklees.gov.uk

Tony Rivero,
Network Rail
Floor 4a
George Stephenson House
Toft Green
York
YO1 6JT

Date: 24-May-2022
Our Ref: 2021/93051

Dear Sir

Prior approval for alterations to railway bridge under Part 18(a), Schedule 2 of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015
Fieldhouse Lane, Huddersfield, HD1 1AG
Application Number: 2021/93051

I refer to the following information which has been submitted to satisfy the conditions attached to the above prior approval. I have assessed the information and would respond as follows.

Condition 1 – Historic Building Record

- Historic Building Recording of Fieldhouse Overbridge (MVL3/98)
  Atkins February 2022

The submitted Historic Building Record provides comprehensive descriptive and illustrative analysis of the development of Fieldhouse overbridge (MVL3/98) and is considered to address the requirements of Condition 1, sufficient to confirm discharge of this condition.

The details should be added to added to the Planning Record and a copy provided for the West Yorkshire Historic Environment Record within two months of the date of this decision.

Kirklees Council
Planning and Development Service
PO Box 1720
Huddersfield
HD1 9EL

Condition 2 - construction and surfacing materials and a methodology for construction

Submitted:

- Construction information (CI) for the proposed alterations to MVL3/98Fieldhouse Lane Rail bridge. In accordance with decision notice 2021/93051 (web ref 895069).

The details submitted, for this prior approval discharge of condition submission alone, would provide a suitable array of surfacing materials and construction methodology regarding the same. This is subject to the following clarifications:

i. CI document (page 2, Elevation A-A) cites “Combined non-slip waterproofing and surfacing to bridge deck plates…”
response: acceptable

ii. CI document also cites “note 15” in the same annotation as above, but there is no further detail.
response: Note 15 is deleted from consideration

iii. The surfacing material of the steps is cited as 6mm thick GRP tread with nosing.
response: This shall be in a non-slip finish

iv. CI document (page 3, Section E-E) cites unreinforced concrete with a brushed finish to the “dog-leg” ramp, which contradicts drawing no 151667-TSA-31-MVL3-DRG-T-LP-162115, submitted with the original prior approval which shows a granular construction (“Section C-C” and “Typical section through ramp”).
Response: The dog-leg ramp shall be in a concrete finish as cited in the Construction Information.

Please note that the consideration and approval of any matters within this ‘prior approval’ submission do not override the formal Highway Technical Approval Process or the design details to be agreed within an Agreement in Principle for such works. Furthermore, the general consideration of matters does not override any necessary consents or agreements required for works to the definitive route of PROW HUD42/10.

Yours faithfully,

Mathias Franklin
Head of Planning and Development