

Northorpe Lane Mirfield Planning Application 2021/62/91914/E

Once again, another attempt to make this application work with a revised plan. No housing is welcome on this site, whilst 43 is a reduction on previous it is still totally unacceptable with all the problems it will bring. Which are already documented in previous objections. This revision has not addressed any of those concerns.

Access requirements, why do Kirklees continue to presume they can take part of the Green space in front of Northorpe Hall to create a layby to gain access?

Kirklees do not own this piece of land, it is owned by Northorpe Hall, so why has it been included in the application? Legal evidence has been provided to Kirklees showing ownership is with Northorpe Hall.

Removing part of this green space in Northorpe will not enhance the area or its character. Northorpe Hall is a listed building and building 43 new homes will be totally out of keeping with the location.

Traffic, Northorpe Lane is a narrow country Lane, with no through access. The number of cars that must park on the road now, below where the proposed access will be already cause problems. Adding another circa 70 to 80 cars, to Northorpe Lane is unacceptable and dangerous. The Crossley Lane and Shillbank Lane junctions are already very busy at various times of the day.

Noise, the civil engineering development of this site will cause unprecedented amounts of noise, dust and inconvenience to Northorpe Lane, creating stress and a burden on the residents. The size and number of HGV's and other site traffic will be unacceptable and destroy the Lane surface.

The application should be refused on the grounds Kirklees don't own the Green in front of Northorpe Hall, and therefore there is no access to the site. The design and layout of the 43 homes is out of character with the location, the additional traffic associated with 43 new homes, with only one way in and out of Northorpe Lane will bring even more disruption and an unnecessary RTA risk.

The proposal takes up valuable green space and doesn't preserve community heritage. It should be rejected.

Mirfield

(Please remove my address & personal details).