

From:
To: [DCAdmin](#)
Cc: [Nick Hirst](#)
Subject: Planning Application 2020/93954 - Inaccurate Plan
Date: 19 January 2023 10:41:52
Attachments: [inaccurate plan.pdf](#)

Good morning,

Please find attached a personal comment concerning the revised housing development off Lingards Road.

I ask that my personal details are not made public.

Kind regards

I've found it increasingly difficult to trust the plans being submitted for this application.

The latest issue relates to the alleged existing width of Lingards Road.

Outside no.82, the width is said to be 5.61m:
see image right.

This image is an extract from the applicant's plans.

As measured by the owner of no.82, the road width was found to be only 5.1m.

This suggests the plan is almost 10% inaccurate.

The width of Lingards Road is a contentious issue, and something existing residents have voiced needs to be widened.

An increase of 0.5m has been proposed as suitable.

Ironically and infuriatingly, that 0.5m appears to be the difference between the plan and reality.

That's because this plan does not include the 0.5m increase proposed, which would take the road width to 6m.

If a wildly inaccurate map is used by planners to make decisions, poor/bad decisions could easily result.

If the applicant cannot produce accurate maps, how is anyone able to trust their measurements in terms of what would be built, where and to what size?

A 10% difference in a proposed 12m walled dwelling means it could end up being 13.2m.

Quite the difference.

A 10% difference in a dwelling proposed to offer 207.64m² means it could end up being 228.4m².

Again, quite the difference.

This is an extremely worrying state of affairs.

It makes me wonder, what else might be inaccurate that could potentially lead to poor/bad decisions?

All of which, if it came to pass, would likely be at the expense of residents: existing and/or new!

