

The applicant has explained a foot path is included, within his application, in connection with Dartmouth Estate.

The image below, taken from one of the applicant's submissions, shows the path in question; running north of a proposed row of hedges.



The path borders the Dartmouth Estate land that is currently rented by Manchester Road residents.

The path appears as being elevated, circa 2.4m above the height of the rented land.

As such, this seems inordinately high.

I'm sure existing neighbours would find it quite overbearing and question it needing to be so high.

It would seem, to me, to be far more appropriate to have the path at the same height as the rented land.

Surely, this design cannot be considered good.

If, on the other hand, the path is meant to be lower (for example, at the same elevation as the rented land), it spotlights another of the many issues with this application; namely the contradictions and inaccuracies that have been found and commented on.

Without submitted documents being accurate and matching, the applicant places members of the public in a position where they could struggle to understand what is being proposed, or, perhaps, being misled.

As I understand it, inaccuracies and misleading details have, even quite recently, been grounds to have planning decisions overturned and removed.