

That size being 2.5m (w) x 5.0m (l).

Plot 42 is proposed to have a parking space that fails to meet that requirement.

Plot 42 shows one parking space would only be 4.8m in length.

This, to me, appears to be a clear example of the applicant cramming too many properties onto this site.

Unable to meet the requirements, the applicant would site an inadequate length parking space, into the curtilage of an already tightly squeezed dwelling: just look at the comparable garden space available to understand that point.

Not only that, but the space affected would be closest to the junction forming the proposed site's entrance.

The obvious impact of this shortfall being the possibility of vehicles exiting the housing estate having their visibility hampered, as a result of a vehicle protruding from that parking space.

The blue line, in the image above, shows how the splay could be impacted, by a car represented by the pink rectangle.

The footway would also be impacted, in that a vehicle could impinge on space available for pedestrians.

To choose this as part of the design seems wrong.

I strongly believe the applicant should rethink this application, which, as it stands, can only be rejected/refused.

