

From: EASTWOOD, Mark <mark.eastwood.mp@parliament.uk>

Sent: 17 September 2020 16:35

To: Victor Grayson <Victor.Grayson@kirklees.gov.uk>; Local Development
<Local.Development@kirklees.gov.uk>

Subject: Objection

Good Afternoon Victor,

Hope you are well.

An constituent of mine, who does not have access to technology, has asked me to send the attached objection in for both applications at Chidswell (references on the document).

Please could you confirm receipt of the objection and that it will be lodged against the relevant site applications.

I look forward to your reply.

Kind Regards

Mark Eastwood MP

Member of Parliament for Dewsbury

UK Parliament Disclaimer: this e-mail is confidential to the intended recipient. If you have received it in error, please notify the sender and delete it from your system. Any unauthorised use, disclosure, or copying is not permitted. This e-mail has been checked for viruses, but no liability is accepted for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this e-mail. This e-mail address is not secure, is not encrypted and should not be used for sensitive data.

PLANNING REFs:

2020 / 92331

2020 / ~~20~~ 92350

04.09.2020

Dear

We write to you confirming once again, our previous concerns regarding the proposed building at [REDACTED]

Our main priority as previously stated to you, is the traffic through Chidswell Lane. The large number of houses proposed will most certainly result in a large increase of traffic in both directions on Chidswell Lane at all times of the day. Chidswell Lane is not a major highway and is not suited to this volume of traffic. The risk to human life is multiplied with each and every extra vehicle.

We would like to further add to our previous concerns, as it has been brought to our attention, the threat to all wildlife co habitating in the open fields at the rear of property. [REDACTED] have been seen by ourselves and neighbours, sightings of [REDACTED] to mention only a very small number of animals. We are well aware that, [REDACTED] are in particular, a protected species who require specialist handling, a licence to do so is required. We therefore hope that a thorough investigation will be undertaken as soon as possible to inspect the area by a specialist team.

[REDACTED] certain ones, are also protected, we would like to hear how the wildlife will be removed legally and safely in accordance with government guidelines should they be found? We feel very strongly that the wildlife should remain in its own habitat and not be disturbed, far too many animals and rare species become extinct as a result of sheer greed of the human race! It is a disgrace.

We came [REDACTED] to enjoy the open space and view of wildlife and nature. Mental health is, as we are all aware a massive issue today, has anyone member of the development company taken this issue into consideration? We wonder, if the persons responsible even care? The open space to the [REDACTED] is something we embrace everyday, as, we are sure the other residents will also agree? We, for certain will be hugely affected if this project goes ahead, therefore, we see no good reason for the building and development of this space, the only benefit is – and I reiterate - to the pockets of greedy 'man'. There are so many derelict areas locally which would benefit the local area if the cash which is lined up to develop this space be alternatively injected into the redevelopment of such.

We think that the residents of the affected areas have made their feelings loud and clear throughout the process of this application, not once have the residents welcomed this idea with open arms but, sadly we continue to have to fight to be heard? We simply do not agree, would like to see a line drawn under this proposal once and for all! The risks and negatives far, far, out - weigh any positive/ good reasons for the destruction and devastation to be caused to humankind and wildlife.

Kindest regards,

[REDACTED]