

## DC Admin

---

**From:**  
**Sent:** 08 October 2025 16:45  
**To:** DC Admin  
**Subject:** 2020/60/92350/E Land south of, Heybeck Lane, Chidswell, Shaw Cross, Dewsbury

Dear Sirs,

I am emailing this objection to you as although I live outside the boundary of Kirklees I am within easy walking distance of the site and go there regularly so it will personally affect me (post code WF59RX)

I previously objected to this site based on the damage to the local habitat as well as the transport assessment that shows that the current road systems aren't suitable for the amount of additional traffic.

The new Section 106 document that has been uploaded has made it clear that that the biodiversity net gain will be almost entirely offset off site in the ancient woodland "buffer" zone and potentially the ancient woodland itself. It refers to footpaths being surfaced and litterbins added. This is what you do in a park not a natural ancient woodland. Since it doesn't appear that the Ancient woodland management plan has been issued yet or any details of the buffer zone there is no way to see how this will affect the beautiful natural woodland.

I have seen this sanitation of the natural environment elsewhere and it is not in the spirit of the biodiversity requirements. The addition of 100's more people, dogs and pet cats to the local area can only be detrimental to the woodland and the meadows where the skylarks breed.

It wasn't clear previously that this is how the "buffer" was going to be used. Since it was outside the site boundary it originally looked like no works would be carried out in this area.

This needs to go back to planning committee and consultation once these details have been provided, it can't just be rubber stamped through.

Regards