

From:
To: [DCAdmin](#)
Subject: Objection to 2020/92350 & 2020/92331
Date: 10 November 2022 16:27:18

I am wanting to object to the two sites aforementioned in the subject heading the reason as stated below.

Permanent loss of agricultural land. Natural England have requested site-specific surveys to assess the quality of the land but the Land Owner (Church Commissioners for Church of England) has refused to do so. The Land Owner states that the need for new housing and employment outweighs the loss of agricultural land. The Planning Department have admitted they do not know if the land is of better agricultural value than the Land Owner claims and it appears there are no plans to conduct the assessments repeatedly requested by Natural England

Also in addition because of the follow report

- Biodiversity Net Gain report (based on October Ecologist walk-over) claims the development will deliver 10% more biodiversity than in its current state. A previous Biodiversity Net Gain report, based on exact same conditions, was assessed at 14% Net Loss so how do the same plans increase by 24%? The net loss in 2020 was advised independently by Yorkshire Wildlife Trust but it appears the Planning Department and Land Owner have ignored the comments made by the YWT Ecologist.

Please do not destroy our local areas not to mention overrun hospitals in the area that cannot already deal with the population of people in this area and care homes etc.