



1. Traffic has 'subjectively' increased in the local area over the last 3 years as a result of several other new developments being built. Inadequate consideration appears to have been given to the impact that a further new estate(s) will have on both Owl Lane and Leeds Road at peak times. Should further new and independent traffic analysis be undertaken? and/or new arterial road construction be proposed ?
2. In addition the incomplete new development of housing on owl lane, where each house mostly has provision for only one car, has already meant side roads such as Windsor road are now lined with cars on both sides. This gets worse as new houses are completed and become inhabited. Given this recent local example, if this development were to go ahead would it not be prudent to mandate each house has parking for two cars to avoid the further lining of adjacent/local side streets?
3. Chidswell action group have identified several rare species in the woods and surrounding area. It is thought the new development will have impact on these. Suggesting that wildlife surveys, environmental impact assessments etc. are materially out of date - Should a new and independent environmental survey not be undertaken given previous study failed to identify these? Also to avoid factors such as prolonged periods without rain, migration, hibernation etc. influencing the results, should this survey also be carried out at specific and agreed intervals throughout a 12 month period? Also has the Fragmentation and loss of habitat been adequately considered? (for reference: Bats regularly fly in the field adjacent to my property at dusk catching insects. A pair of Red Kites also regularly circles overhead Shaw Cross suggesting these are based locally)
4. What guarantees would be in place, legal or otherwise to prevent the developer foregoing any obligation to undertake promised environmental offset schemes via further planning permission amendments, or liquidation of development company?
5. What guarantees would be in place, legal or otherwise to prevent the developer foregoing any obligation to undertake promised infrastructure schemes such as additional roads and schools via further planning permission amendments, or liquidation of development company?
6. A Significant area of agricultural land will be lost in preference to development of brownfield sites available within Kirklees why is the council not considering/prioritising development of brownfield sites ahead of this particular greenfield site given the benefits this would have to the wider local area? I would have some sympathy for this development if the wider area did not have so many derelict or rundown sites requiring development.
7. How will the new noise and light pollution arising from the new development impact the wider wildlife in the area on the periphery of the proposed development?