

Search application details

Application number: 2020/62/91325/W	
What is the application for?:	Erection of detached dwelling
Address of the site or building:	Adj, 7, Seymour Walk, Meltham, Holmfirth, HD9 4BP
Postcode:	HD9 4BP

User comments

Type of comment: An objection
As a resident on Seymour Walk, I object to planning application 2020/62/91325/W. There are several significant concerns that the planning raises. These include but are not limited to: the survival and continuing good health of the TPO trees on 7 Seymour Walk, road and pavement safety, negative impact on the public footpath and greenspace, the detrimental impact it would have to the open and communal aspect of the area and anyone driving through Seymour Walk will notice this Seymour Walk front-facing building are different from all the existing houses; affecting the look and feel of the neighbourhood. Health of TPO'd Trees The large trees present on 7 Seymour Walk as depicted on the planning details are indeed under TPOs. Their roots and canopies unquestionably extend beyond their representation on the plan. Any proposed development would be unable to progress without significant and harmful changes to their beings. This seems to have been ignored or disregarded on the planning application under Section 10; any professional or even casual visual assessment would recognise that progression with the planning application will affect the trees and conversely, the trees would affect the development. Further regarding Section 10 on the application, there is a strong sentiment that the trees are a part of the local landscape character. Any adverse effect imposed on them would significantly alter the healthy neighbourhood continuity that residents enjoy. Safety of Kindergartners and First-Graders With regarding the pavement along Seymour Walk, the walking area is one well traversed by residents of the road and other parties looking to access the neighbourhood and beyond. These parties extend to include children and school-goers that frequently travel independently along the road. The introduction of two parking spaces and vehicles to an already busy road would increase the risk posed to all pedestrians. This concern also extends to Section 9 of the application; the plans and application seem to disagree regarding the development of parking spaces. Public Footpath and Greenspace The public footpath and greenspace appreciated by the community would be severely affected by the prospective house and its building process. The two parking spaces will take up valuable greenspace treasured by the neighbourhood. The location of the green and black bins in close proximity to the public footpath and in open view of

green and elements in close proximity to the public domain and in open view of everyone using the footpath is akin to placing along Seymour Walk open to the view of everyone passing through the street. This is unacceptable to any neighbourhood.

Distinct and Detrimental Features

The two stand-alone parking spaces, the proposed building material, property, and house dimensions would be highly irregular when compared to every other house present. This is critical as anyone traveling through Seymour Walk will notice these distinct and detrimental features compared to all the other houses on the street. These two ideas combined would present an unusual entry into an otherwise organised neighbourhood. Concerns about the proposed house and construction also include the potential impact on the surrounding ecology and small wildlife. There are already numerous construction projects in Meltham; erecting a structure outside of the Local Plan and inside an established community's greenspace seems unnecessary.

Summary

These objections build upon the points already raised by other public comments. These comments cover potential issues that stem from a lack of change from previously rejected applications, ambiguity around property deeds, and the process by which surrounding trees have previously been removed. The public comments and correspondence kindly provided by Meltham Town Council detail the many reasons that this application, like its predecessors, should be summarily rejected.