

2020/90996 Objection. The Developers Design Statement and Drawings contain inaccurate and misleading statements.

The developer is attempting to present the case that Thornfield is not significantly affected by the proposed development. He has referred to 21m clearance between proposed town houses and semis, it is very disappointing he has not afforded the same to [redacted] The developer is also displaying incorrect height measurements of boundary walls in his plans. Note developers' dimensions on heights in feet and inches.

We have [redacted] and [redacted] will be severely affected by over shadowing, loss of privacy, noise, head light and vehicle fumes nuisance. Previously I have submitted [redacted], which can be clearly seen from the memorial gardens. [redacted] which also shows [redacted] retaining boundary wall to the southern edge of the field. The developers plans show removal of trees as shown and we will have an uninterrupted view onto proposed building and parked cars as the proposed homes will have into [redacted]

The above wall is in the order of **3ft 1in high (95cm)** at the top of the drive. The developers plans state the wall as being **5ft high**. This wall tapers to 1.2m clearance from [redacted]

Similarily, [redacted] front wall, which is **1ft 10in high (55cm)**, roadside. The developers plans show it to be **6ft high**. The road is considerable higher than land on [redacted] side of the wall. Traffic shown on plan 801873 will have clear view into [redacted], as well as encroaching on land [redacted] I am worried that anyone undertaking a desktop review will be given the impression that [redacted] is partially screened and not adversely affected by the proposed development. **Can request that planners challenge the developer on these errors.**