

DCAdmin

From: 19 October 2018 08:51
To: DCAdmin
Subject: FW: Objections for 2018/62/93038/W for 66 Longlands Bank.

[Another objection for 2018/93038](#)

[Thanks](#)

From:
Sent: 18 October 2018 19:12
To:
Subject: FW: Objections to proposals in the planning application 2018/62/93038/W for 66 Longlands Bank.

From:
Sent: 18 October 2018 19:07
To:
Subject: Objections to proposals in the planning application 2018/62/93038/W for 66 Longlands Bank.

Dear ,

We live at and we are writing to object to the proposals in the planning application 2018/62/93038/W, .

We object to the balcony included in the proposals because it would enable the occupants of nr. 66 . This loss of privacy would have a very detrimental effect .

The potential for this kind of does not exist anywhere else along the road. There are no other balconies to the rear of the houses; we consider the proposal would constitute unneighbourly development. The houses all have minimal front gardens and rely on the outdoor space to the rear, which is south facing, for their private amenity. Nearly all the houses have rear extensions of one sort or another but not such as would allow this extent of loss of privacy.

The houses and gardens are all quite small but the current arrangements permit neighbourly living in close proximity with each other. The proposed balcony is large and would be a dominant feature which would disrupt this balance; particularly as nr. 66 would be the only property with this type of vantage point at height and projected into the garden spaces. Its presence would feel over-bearing, .

We ask that all these factors be taken into consideration when you are preparing your report and respectfully request that you recommend refusal of the application.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Please can you confirm to me by e-mail that you have received and read this e-mail.

Yours sincerely,

