Hannah Halstead

From: Joe Robertson
Sent: 04 July 2017 10:26
To: DCAdmin; Sam Jackman
Subject: 2017/91316, 2 Greenroyd Croft

Hi Sam

I can't support this proposal.

- I can not support the level of tree loss needed, that being T6 and T8 on the plans. T6 especially forms part of a linear feature in the landscape, a feature which contributes to amenity in the locality.
- Consent to fell a tree on site via tee work application (2016/92345), required a new tree to be planted via condition. This would be located on the road side between t7 and t8, as shown on the current tree survey plan. This new tree, or the requirement to plant one, needs to be taken in to account as part of any proposal, which the current proposal does not do. Not retaining this new tree would count towards the tree loss on site and the loss of public amenity the trees' provide. Consent to fell the original tree was on the basis that a new replacement tree would be planted to mitigate for loss of visual amenity over the long term. As a note, I will have to look into this as a possible breach of the replacement planting condition, which may potentially result in a tree replacement notice being served.

Given the above I believe the proposal does not meet UDP policy NE9 'mature trees to be retained' and BE2 'existing trees to be incorporated as an integral part of the design'.

Thanks

Joe

Joe Robertson
(Tree Officer)

Planning Services (Tree Section)
Kirklees Council
Investment and Regeneration
PO BoxB93, Civic 3
Huddersfield

HD1 2JR

T: 01484 414909

This email and any attachments are confidential. If you have received it in error – notify the sender immediately, delete it from your system, and do not use, copy or disclose the information in any way. Kirklees Council monitors all emails sent or received.