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## 1. INTRODUCTION

1.01 This statement sets out the representations of Farnley Estates Limited (FEL) to the Kirklees Draft Local Plan, in relation to the proposed Farnley Country Park. The representations relate specifically to:

- The Council's Vision for Kirklees
- The Strategic Objectives of the Kirklees Local Plan
- Policy DLP 10: Supporting the Rural Economy
- Policy DLP 34: Strategic Green Infrastructure
- Site No. SGI 2115: Farnley Country Park
- Policy DLP 55: Green Belt
- 'Rejected’ Housing Allocations
- Site H188
- Site H189
- Site H256
- Site H257
- Site H254
- Site H252
- Mixed Use Allocations

Separate representations have been made on other sites not directly related to the Park.

The Report is set out in the following way:

Section 2 provides a summary of FEL's interest and its vision for the Farnley Country Park;
Section 3 summarises the need for the Country Park;
Section 4 sets out the benefits of the Country Park;
Section 5 sets out how the Country Park can be delivered;
Section 6 requests a mixed use allocation on land at Penistone Road to act as a gateway to the Country Park;
Section 7 requests a number of housing allocations in order to deliver the Park and summarises the technical information submitted to support the allocation of this housing land;
Section 8 summarises the requested changes to the draft Local Plan and concludes.

Appendix 1 shows a concept plan of the Country Park;
Appendix 2 provides a summary of the 2015 Farnley Country Park consultation exercise;

Appendix 3 contains a masterplan and flood risk assessment for Rejected Housing Site H189 (land to the north and south of Woodsome Road, Fenay Bridge);

Appendix 4 contains a masterplan and flood risk assessment for Rejected Housing Site H256 (land north of Woodsome Road, Fenay Bridge);

Appendix 5 contains a masterplan and flood risk assessment for Rejected Housing Site H257 (land west of Penistone Road, Fenay Bridge);

Appendix 6 contains a masterplan for Rejected Housing Site H252 (land west of Farnley Road, Farnley Tyas) ;

Appendix 7 contains a masterplan for Rejected Housing Site H254 (land east of Thurstonland Road, Farnley Tyas);

Appendix 8 contains a transport assessment of the likely traffic generation and suggested improvements to the local highway network.

Appendix 9 contains a flood risk assessment for Rejected Site SGI2109/H188 (The Gateway, land west of Penistone Road, Fenay Bridge)

## 2. FARNLEY ESTATES LIMITED AND THE FARNLEY COUNTRY PARK VISION

Farnley Estates Limited (FEL) owns and manages around 1,500 acres (607 hectares) of farmland, parkland and woodland in and around the village of Farnley Tyas, to the south of Huddersfield. FEL's land holdings stretch from Brockholes in the Holme Valley in the west, to Lepton in the east and include land on the urban fringe of Huddersfield.

As part of Natural England's Higher Level Stewardship status, FEL has considered how it can provide greater access to the land for outdoor recreation, while still allowing for agriculture and protecting the natural environment. The Estate is located on the edge of one of England's largest towns, only a few miles from Huddersfield town centre and is a valuable but underused resource to the local community for outdoor recreation. FEL has therefore consulted over the last two years with more than 450 organisations, including: local charities, schools, sports clubs and businesses, regarding the future of the Estate and how they would like to be involved.
2.04 The clear message from this consultation exercise was that people want better access to the countryside for outdoor recreation and it is from this that the vision of a new 'Country Park' has evolved.

Natural England defines and accredits Country Parks as 'areas for people to visit and enjoy recreation in a countryside environment', meeting the following ten essential criteria and at least 10 of the following desirable criteria:

## Essential criteria:

- At least 10 ha in size
- Defined by a clear boundary - marked on a map, whether it's open or fenced in
- Accessible - less than 10 miles from a residential area
- Free to enter
- Inclusive and accessible - meeting equality and disability needed and provision for various groups
- Predominantly natural or semi-natural landscape, eg woodland, grassland, wetland, heathland or parkland, with no more than $5 \%$ of the area built upon (excluding car parks)
- Signposted and easy to navigate - visitors should be shown where they can go and what they can do and directed along footpaths, bridleways and cycle routes
- Visibly staffed, eg litter collection and maintenance
- Available for public or educational events
- Near public toilets - either onsite or a 2 minute walk away
- Informed by the local community - the public should have some influence over the management and development of the site

Desirable criteria:

- A visitor centre
- Play facilities
- Catering
- Bike and horse trails
- Art and sculpture
- Permanent staff presence during the day
- Detailed information available to visitors
- Brown and white directional signs and shown on an OS map
- Outdoor activities
- Achieved or working towards Green Flag Award status
- A green transport policy
- Facilities for less able visitors, eg easy trials, seats and information available in accessible formats
- Plans for the management of biodiversity, geodiversity and preservation of historical environment
- Opportunities for practical community involvement, eg volunteering
- Promotion of the health benefits of walking
- An outreach programme to the less represented sectors of the community
- A programme of events and guided walks, promoting healthy living and environmental awareness

It is considered that the Farnley Country Park would be able to meet all of the essential criteria and most if not all of the desired criteria, so a wider public consultation exercise was then carried out by FEL in 2015 to ask whether people would support the establishment of a Country Park on not just FEL land but a wider area of around 6,200 acres (approximately 2,540 ha) bounded by the A629, A635, A616 and Almondbury, as other landowners would be able to participate in the Park, if they wished to do so.

A plan showing the potential extent of the Country Park with locations for access points and activities is attached at Appendix 1. This plan was used in a consultation exercise by FEL (see www.farnleycountrypark.co.uk and www.peopleforthepark.co.uk. 10,375 votes were recorded and $85 \%$ expressed support for the County Park. A summary of the results can be found at Appendix 2 of this statement.
2.08 The 2015 consultation exercise also proposed a funding source for the Country Park, which would come from releasing some land on the edge of the Park and on the edge of Farnley Tyas for housing development, which are currently in the Green Belt. A number of FEL sites were submitted to Kirklees Council in 2014 for potential housing development in its 'Call for Sites' as part of its Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment, published in 2015. The delivery of the Park and the proposed housing allocations are considered in more detail in Sections 6 and 7 of this statement.
2.09 The draft Kirklees Local Plan (November 2015) has identified Farnley Country Park as a 'Strategic Green Infrastructure Proposal', with the following draft policy DLP34:
"The Council recognises the potential benefits of the establishment of the Farnley Country Park including enhancing access to natural green space, increasing opportunities for local recreation, wildlife conservation, tourism and economic benefits.

## The aims of the Farnley Country Park are to:

- Conserve and enhance the countryside, recognising and respecting its biodiversity, historic environment, landscape and other special qualities;
- Promote opportunities for greater enjoyment of an access to the countryside by the public for education, health, leisure, recreation, sport and tourism;
- Encourage rural diversification that will secure the future of the countryside; and
- Improve the image of Kirklees as place to invest, live, work and visit.

Proposals to establish the country park will therefore be supported where these do not conflict with national planning policies or policies in the Local Plan."
2.10 FEL supports this draft policy and this statement seeks to provide further evidence on the need for the Country Park and its benefits.
2.11 However, the draft Local Plan does not currently propose to allocate land off Penistone Road required for a gateway into the park and also does not propose to allocate land for housing development that would fund the delivery of the park. This statement therefore also sets out FEL's planning case for allocating additional land to create a mixed use gateway on Penistone Road and for allocating additional land for housing that will fund the delivery of the park.

## 3. THE NEED FOR THE COUNTRY PARK

## Need for Access and New Facilities for Outdoor Recreation and Better Health

The consultation exercise carried out by FEL over the last 2 years has identified a need for improved access to the countryside for recreation.

While there are some existing public rights of way in the area of the Country Park, they are limited and do not allow easy and safe routes for residents in Almondbury and Lepton. There is an opportunity to provide new and improved routes into and through the Park, with new facilities to encourage use by as wide a section of the community as possible, for cyclists, horse riders and walkers.
3.03 The draft Local Plan, the Kirklees Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy and the National Planning Policy Framework all recognise the importance of promoting healthy communities and the role that the planning system can play in creating healthy communities, by providing for increased opportunities for outdoor recreation.

Local schools have identified a need for improved access to local countryside for field visits, but appropriate facilities are required for them to be able to safely access the countryside. An education centre with on-site parking, classrooms and outdoor/indoor picnic areas would allow local schools to use the countryside.

## Need for Tourism Opportunities

In 2013, the tourism economy supported 3.1 m jobs in the UK ( $9.6 \%$ of all jobs) and accounted for $£ 126.9$ bn ( $9.0 \%$ ) of the UK’s economic activity (Source: Tourism: Jobs and Growth - the economic distribution of the tourism economy in the UK, Deloitte and Oxford Economics, November 2013). The sector is forecast to increase by $3.8 \%$ pa, with increased demand from both international visitors, but also by domestic
demand. It is estimated that there is the potential for 630,000 new jobs in the sector by 2025 .
3.07 Tourism in Yorkshire makes a significant contribution to the regional economy, with an estimated 216 million visits in 2011, valued at $£ 7$ bn (Source: Welcome to Yorkshire, ONS, 2011). However, Kirklees and Calderdale only attract 3.3\% of this lucrative tourist market, despite being strategically located between Leeds and Manchester, on the edge of the Peak District National Park and with many attractions of its own, including the attractive countryside and historic towns and villages. There is clearly potential for Kirklees to capture more tourist spend through better marketing and developing new attractions and facilities.

This has been recognised in the Kirklees Economic Strategy 2014, which recognises that one of the District's economic weaknesses is that there is a 'lack of a major tourism attraction in to draw in footfall and spend' (p.10) but that one of the District's strengths is its 'attractive built and natural environment'. The Economic Strategy identifies 'leisure tourism' as a key priority for the District. However, the draft Local Plan does not explicitly recognise the strategic potential of the tourism economy to create economic growth, new jobs and to help diversify the local economy, which has historically been heavily dependent on manufacturing. It is considered that the Local Plan's strategic objective for the local economy should refer to tourism as a key growth sector.

The Country Park would create a well-managed and well-marketed facility that would not only benefit local people but also encourage new visitors to the area by the provision of appropriate activities and facilities, such as hotels, camp sites, restaurants, cycle routes, walking paths and horse trails

## Need for Rural Diversification and Economic Opportunities

The draft Local Plan recognises the need to support the rural economy in Kirklees and includes Policy DLP10, which states that:
" Proposals to support the rural economy including tourism related development, farm diversification schemes, farm shops, ancillary cafés and tea rooms and other appropriate businesses including live/work units will be supported where:
a) The enterprise is provided through the conversion or re-use of an existing building; and
b) The proposal would not adversely affect the management and viability of any farm holding.

Where new buildings are proposed in the green belt the development propose must be considered to be acceptable having regard to green belt policy. In all cases where development is proposed in the green belt regard must be had to the relevant policies in this plan."
3.11 The supporting text to this policy notes that the Green Belt is a 'living and working environment supporting innumerable businesses including farms, garden centres and nurseries, riding stables and liveries, industry and offices and a host of other enterprises' and that 'changing agricultural practices also mean the farms are seeking new ways to maintain their viability and this will often lead to proposals for diversification schemes and tourist related enterprises that have a genuine need for a green belt location'. FEL supports these comments and as outlined in Section 2 of this statement, FEL needs to continue to diversify its land holdings, in order to maintain a viable and sustainable business. There are now only three working farms on the Estate, compared to 32 back in 1968.
3.12 FEL's consultation exercise has identified a significant level of interest in developing new business opportunities within the Country Park, from outdoor recreation providers (astronomy, camping, cycling, climbing, equestrian, fishing, orienteering, walking and others), from accommodation providers (holiday lodges, hotels) and food/drink facilities (tea rooms, restaurants, pubs). This indicates the level of interest that exists in developing new businesses in the rural Green Belt of Kirklees, which will create jobs, diversify the local economy and strengthen existing settlements by providing new economic activity.

## Need for Strategic Green Infrastructure

3.13 Kirklees produced a Technical Paper on Environmental Designations in November 2015, which has informed the draft Local Plan's policies on green infrastructure and other environmental designations. The Paper reports on an exercise by Natural England in identifying strategic green infrastructure networks in Kirklees. The Fenay Beck Corridor was identified as one of the District's strategic green infrastructure
networks. The Country Park would help to protect and strengthen this environmental network.

## 4. THE CONTENT OF THE PARK AND ITS BENEFITS

4.01 The Country Park can meet all of the above needs by becoming a destination for education, health, leisure, recreation, sport, tourism and rural business, while continuing with farming and conversation work.

The Country Park concept is for an area of land larger than just FEL's interests, as the larger the Country Park, the wider its benefits will spread across the District and the more other landowners will be able to participate, if they so wish.
4.03 The Plan in Appendix 1 shows the concept of the Country Park, which includes the following:

- A number of 'gateways' into the Country Park:

1) The main gateway at Penistone Road (a regular bus route to Huddersfield), with a new access and some built development to provide car parking and visitor/information facilities;
2) A 'heritage' gateway at Castle Hill, with no new built development, to link into the existing car park at Castle Hill and network of public footpaths ; and
3) A series of 'rail based' gateways with no new built development at Honley station, Brockholes station and Shepley station, where signage will guide rail users to the footpath networks within the Country Park

- An all-weather 'circuit' around the perimeter of the Country Park providing access for all, in order to link the 'gateways' and the existing footpath network - this will be delivered in stages and could be as long as 23 km ;
- New permissive access routes and other improvements to existing public rights of way to create increased access for walkers;
- Nature trails and heritage trails in the Country Park;
- Opportunities for camping/glamping;
- Opportunities for horse riding;
- Opportunities for adventure activities, such as aerial adventure, climbing, orienteering; and
- Other opportunities across the Country Park for rural diversification.
4.05 It is important to recognise that with the exception of the Penistone Road Gateway (where some built development is required), the Country Park will remain in the Green Belt and will still be protected from built development by Green Belt policy.

The Country Park would develop over time, but the core infrastructure of the main gateway and the circuit would be the first stages to be funded by the sale of FEL land for housing.
4.07 The Country Park would be governed by a Board, consisting of representatives from FEL, Kirklees Council, other landowners and the existing Park Foundation, which consists of potential users and other interested parties.
4.08 The benefits of the Country Park are:

- Greater access to the countryside for all local people and improved facilities for outdoor recreation (cycling, horse riding and walking) with associated health and well-being benefits;
- Greater understanding and appreciation of the natural environment and the countryside through education;
- A well-managed and marketed destination for outdoor recreation and tourism, which will improve the image of Huddersfield and help to diversify the local economy, bringing in new visitors and capturing more of the growing tourism sector;
- New economic opportunities for rural diversification to maintain the viability of farming in rural Kirklees;
- Greater protection for the management of the countryside and continued stewardship; and
- Significant job creation.


## 5. THE DELIVERY OF THE COUNTRY PARK

5.01 In order to establish the Country Park, FEL require funding to implement and maintain the Penistone Road Gateway and the Circuit, which would be the first stages of the Country Park.
5.02 A number of potential housing sites on the edge of the Country Park along Penistone Road have been identified as suitable for development, as well as two linked sites on the edge of Farnley Tyas. Details of each of these sites and a planning justification as to why they can be developed for housing is set out in Section 7.

FEL would enter into a legal obligation with Kirklees that these sites can only be developed for housing if they enable the establishment of the Country Park. This requirement could be written into the Local Plan, with the detail of the legal agreement to be agreed at planning application stage.

## 6. THE GATEWAY

6.01 As outlined in Section 2, Natural England sets out a number of essential criteria and a number of desired criteria for its Country Park accreditation scheme.
6.02 The key criterion is that people can access the park and to this end, a number of 'gateways' into the park are proposed, some of which will be based on railway stations on the Penistone Line with no new built development, but the largest of the gateways would be west of Penistone Road, in the area of the park closest to the large population centre of Huddersfield and on a busy public transport corridor.

At this stage, it is proposed to access the site from a new roundabout on Penistone Road, which has been designed to accommodate the likely traffic from the gateway, as set out in the transport statement that can found in Appendix 8.

It is recognised that the land is currently Green Belt, but for the reasons advanced more fully in Section 7, it is considered that the creation of a Country Park that will improve access to greater outdoor recreation opportunities in the Green Belt comprise exceptional circumstances that justify amending the Green Bet boundaries to allow for some built development on this site.
6.07 The site is bounded by the Fenay Beck and is partly within an area at risk from flooding, but there is sufficient land within the site to accommodate flood attenuation measures. This is considered in more detail in the Flood Risk Assessment, contained in Appendix 9.

## 7. GREEN BELT POLICY AND HOUSING ALLOCATIONS

Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment

In 2014, FEL submitted to Kirklees Council a number of potential development sites along Penistone Road and on the edge of Farnley Tyas, in response to a 'Call for Sites'. The call for sites was then used to inform the preparation of a 'Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment' (SHLAA), which identities potential housing land.

The SHLAA concluded that with the exception of the gateway site (see Section 6 above), which the Council considered unsuitable for development due to flood risk issues (which we have addressed above in Section 6), all other FEL sites are potentially suitable for housing development. The only constraint to their development is their current Green Belt status, which is not an environmental or physical constraint, but just a planning policy designation.

## Green Belt Policy and Exceptional Circumstances

7.03 The draft Local Plan notes that Kirklees has an extensive area of land designated as Green Belt, representing about 70\% of land in the District. Areas in Kirklees to which Green Belt policies apply were first defined in the 1960s, with the general extent of the Green Belt confirmed in the 1980s through the West Yorkshire Structure Plan. Subsequent local plans identified detailed boundaries, which were largely carried through into the Kirklees Unitary Development Plan, adopted in 1999.
7.04 Para. 79 of national planning practice guidance states that the 'fundamental aim' of Green Belt policy is to prevent urban sprawl by keeping land permanently open. Para. 80 sets out the five purposes of Green Belt land:

- To check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas;
- To prevent neighbouring towns merging into one another;
- To assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment;
- To preserve the setting and special character of historic towns; and
- To assist in urban regeneration, by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land.

Once Green Belt boundaries have been defined, para. 81 advises that:
"... Local planning authorities should plan positively to enhance the beneficial use of the Green Belt, such as looking for opportunities to provide access; to provide access or outdoor sport and recreation; to retain and enhance landscapes, visual amenity and biodiversity; or to improve damaged and derelict land."

The proposed Farnley Country Park is entirely within the Green Belt, so the park will greatly enhance the beneficial use of the Green Belt, by providing new access opportunities and facilities for outdoor sport and recreation. This is a perfect fit with national policy on how Green Belt land should be used, but the Park can only be delivered by releasing some land from the Green Belt on the edge of the Park, in close proximity to the existing settlements of Lepton and Farnley Tyas.
7.07 The draft Local Plan represents a unique opportunity to review the Green Belt boundaries, which is allowed for in national planning guidance, which states at para. 83 that:
"Local planning authorities with Green Belts in their area should establish Green Belt boundaries in their Local Plans which set the framework for Green Belt and settlement policy. Once established, Green Belt boundaries should only be altered in exceptional circumstances, through the preparation or review of the Local Plan. At that time, authorities should consider the Green Belt boundaries, having regard to their intended permanence in the long term, so that they should be capable of enduring beyond the plan period."

Kirklees Council is therefore able to alter Green Belt boundaries through the Local Plan process, if it is able to demonstrate exceptional circumstances. There is no explicit national planning guidance on what may constitute exceptional circumstances, but these could include a need for housing and the creation of a new Country Park with wide benefits, as set out in Section 4 above.

Kirklees has demonstrated in its draft Local Plan that as a District, it needs to plan for 29,340 new homes over the Plan period 2013-2031, i.e. 1,630 new homes per annum. However, Kirklees has only been building an average of 908 homes per annum since 1999/2000. The draft Local Plan proposes to allocate a number of housing allocations, in the following order of priority (as set out in the draft Local Plan's spatial development strategy):
a) Previously developed land and buildings within settlements;
b) Suitable greenfield sites within settlements (unless essential for urban green space/local green space or other over-riding constraints);
c) Sustainable extensions to settlements where exceptional circumstances can be demonstrated;
d) Detached green belt sites (where these are previously developed or where exceptional circumstances can be demonstrated).
7.10 The draft Local Plan allocates a number of sites within settlements, but is unable to meet all of the District's housing need without proposing housing allocations outside settlement boundaries, including some Green Belt release. This demonstrates that some Green Belt release is required for Kirklees to meet its housing need.
7.11 Para. 84 provides further guidance to local authorities on reviewing Green Belt boundaries and states that:
"When drawing up or reviewing Green Belt boundaries local planning authorities should take account of the need to promote sustainable patterns of development. They should consider the consequences for sustainable development of channelling development towards urban areas inside the Green Belt boundary, towards towns and villages inset within the Green Belt or towards locations beyond the outer Green Belt boundary."
7.12 As recognised in the draft Local Plan's spatial development strategy, sustainable patterns of development can include 'urban extensions', i.e. new areas of development on the edge of existing areas that benefit from good access to employment opportunities and services, i.e. education, health, public transport and shops. It is considered that the location of FEL land on the edge of Lepton, with good access from the A629 Penistone Road and in close proximity to services in Lepton and in nearby Huddersfield, represents a sustainable location for new development. Similar considerations apply to sites on the edge of the village of Farnley Tyas, which has a number of services (primary school, pub and regular bus services) and good access to nearby Huddersfield.
7.13 Para. 85 of the national planning guidance states that:
"When defining boundaries, local planning authorities should:

- Ensure consistency with the Local Plan strategy for meeting identified requirements for sustainable development;
- Not include land which it is unnecessary keep permanently open;
- Where necessary, identify in their plans areas of 'safeguarded land' between the urban area and the Green Belt, in order to meet longer-term development needs stretching well beyond the plan period;
- Make clear that safeguarded land is not allocated for development at the present time. Planning permission for the permanent development of safeguarded land should only be granted following a Local Plan review which proposes the development;
- Satisfy themselves that Green Belt boundaries will not need to be altered at the end of the development plan period; and
- Define boundaries clearly, using physical features that are readily recognisable and likely to be permanent."
7.14 It is considered that each of the five sites proposed by FEL to be released from the Green Belt represents a sustainable location for new development, is not necessary to be kept as permanently open and can be clearly defined by existing physical boundaries. Further information on each of the sites is set out below.


## Rejected Housing Allocations

7.15 FEL therefore wishes to promote the following rejected housing allocations for inclusion in the Local Plan, so that they can fund the delivery of the Country Park:

- Site H189 - 0.56 ha of land to the north and south of Woodsome Road, Fenay Bridge
- A reduced Site H256-13.3 ha of land north of Woodsome Road, Fenay Bridge (this site has been reduced in size from the 25 ha shown in the Rejected Options document)
- Site H257-21 ha of land west of Penistone Road, Fenay Bridge
- Site H252-1.2 ha of land west of Farnley Road, Farnley Tyas
- Site H254-3.4 ha of land east of Thurstonland Road, Farnley Tyas

Each site is considered in detail in Appendices 3 to 7, which include:

- A masterplan by DLA Architects showing the constraints and opportunities of each site, in terms of access, flood risk and landscape; and
- A note on the flood risk of each site if relevant, prepared by Sanderson Associates.
7.17 In addition, a report (see Appendix 8) has been prepared by Sanderson Associates on the traffic implications of all of the sites on Penistone Road, resulting in a potential comprehensive traffic solution that includes two new roundabouts on Penistone Road: one to enter the gateway to the park and another at the junction of Woodsome Road and a new link road to Rowley Lane. A drawing showing this potential new arrangement can be found in the traffic assessment.
7.18 This information shows that all of the sites are physically capable of delivering new homes and it is considered that these housing sites should be included in the Local Plan for the following reasons:

1) they are considered to represent sustainable locations for new housing development, thereby helping to meet the District's housing needs which cannot be met on non-Green Belt sites;
2) they are not required to be kept permanently open as part of the Green Belt as their development will not result in the coalescence of settlements;
3) the site boundaries are clearly defined by existing physical features and new Green Belt boundaries are capable of being 'defended' in the future;
4) the development of the sites will fund the delivery of the Farnley Country Park, which will see beneficial use of the Green Belt, as advocated by national policy for Green Belt land.; and
5) it is considered that the above factors constitute exceptional circumstances that justify their release from the Green Belt

## 8. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Farnley Estates Limited is proposing to establish a Country Park to the south of Huddersfield, which will meet an identified need for greater access to the countryside for outdoor recreation.
8.02 The Country Park concept is for an area of land larger than just FEL's interests, as the larger the Country Park, the wider its benefits will spread across the District and the more other landowners will be able to participate, if they so wish.
8.03 The Country Park would include the following:

- A number of 'gateways':

1) The main gateway at Penistone Road (a regular bus route to Huddersfield), with a new access and some built development to provide car parking and visitor/information facilities;
2) A 'heritage' gateway at Castle Hill, with no new built development, to link into the existing car park at Castle Hill and network of public footpaths ; and
3) A series of 'rail based' gateways with no new built development at Honley station, Brockholes station and Shepley station, where signage will guide rail users to the footpath networks within the Country Park

- An all-weather 'circuit' around the perimeter of the Country Park providing access for all, in order to link the 'gateways' and the existing footpath network - this will be delivered in stages and could be as long as 23 km ;
- New permissive access routes and other improvements to existing public rights of way to create increased access for walkers;
- Nature trails and heritage trails in the Country Park;
- Opportunities for camping/glamping;
- Opportunities for horse riding;
- Opportunities for adventure activities, such as aerial adventure, climbing, orienteering; and
- Other opportunities across the Country Park for rural diversification.
8.04 The benefits of the Country Park would include:
- Greater access to the countryside for all local people and improved facilities for outdoor recreation (cycling, horse riding and walking) with associated health and well-being benefits;
- Greater understanding and appreciation of the natural environment and the countryside through education;
- A well-managed and marketed destination for outdoor recreation and tourism, which will improve the image of Huddersfield and help to diversify the local economy, bringing in new visitors and capturing more of the growing tourism sector;
- New economic opportunities for rural diversification to maintain the viability of farming in rural Kirklees;
- Greater protection for the management of the countryside and continued stewardship; and
- Significant job creation.

It is important to recognise that with the exception of the Penistone Road Gateway (where some built development is required), the Country Park will remain in the Green Belt and will still be protected from built development by Green Belt policy.

FEL therefore supports the inclusion of the Country Park in the draft Local Plan, as set out in Policy DLP34.

It is also requested that the Council's Vision for Kirklees and the Strategic Objectives of the Kirklees Local Plan explicitly recognise the potential that tourism and the leisure economy have to diversify and strengthen the local economy, in line with Policy DLP 10: Supporting the Rural Economy.

The Country Park would develop over time, but the core infrastructure of the main gateway and the circuit would be the first stages to be funded by the sale of some FEL land for housing.

In addition, it is requested that the 12.82 ha of land rejected in the draft Local Plan as Site ref. SGI2109/H188 is removed from the Green Belt and allocated for a mix of uses to act as a 'gateway' into the Country Park, compatible with the aims and objectives of the Country Park, as set out in Policy DLP34 of the draft Local Plan.

FEL therefore wishes to promote the following rejected housing allocations for inclusion in the Local Plan, so that they can fund the delivery of the Country Park:

- Site H189 - 0.56 ha of land to the north and south of Woodsome Road, Fenay Bridge
- A reduced Site H256-13.3 ha of land north of Woodsome Road, Fenay Bridge (this site has been reduced in size from the 25 ha shown in the Rejected Options document)
- Site H257-21 ha of land west of Penistone Road, Fenay Bridge
- $\quad$ Site H252-1.2 ha of land west of Farnley Road, Farnley Tyas
- Site H254-3.4 ha of land east of Thurstonland Road, Farnley Tyas
8.11 The information referred to in Section 7 of this statement shows that all of the above sites are physically capable of delivering new homes and it is considered that these housing sites should be included in the Local Plan for the following reasons:
- they are considered to represent sustainable locations for new housing development, thereby helping to meet the District's housing needs which cannot be met on non-Green Belt sites;
- they are not required to be kept permanently open as part of the Green Belt as their development will not result in the coalescence of settlements;
- the site boundaries are clearly defined by existing physical features and new Green Belt boundaries are capable of being 'defended' in the future;
- the development of the sites will fund the delivery of the Farnley Country Park, which will see beneficial use of the Green Belt, as advocated by national policy for Green Belt land.; and
- it is considered that the above factors constitute exceptional circumstances that justify their release from the Green Belt.


## APPENDIX 1

SHOWS A CONCEPT PLAN OF THE COUNTRY PARK

## Proposed map \& facilities

Shopping
Picnic area

ToiletsCastle Hill


ParkingMain facility area

Additional facility area
Proposed park area

Land owned by Farnley Estates

- =- Proposed 17-mile cycle \& walk route (many connecting routes tbc)


## farnley country park

- Camping

4 Glamping
rix Horse riding
Orienteering

* Astronomy
--- Rail Station
—A-road routes
OTOMntain biking
- High wire adventures




## APPENDIX 2

PROVIDES A SUMMARY OF THE 2015 FARNLEY COUNTRY PARK CONSULTATION EXERCISE


Public Consultation Report 31 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ July 2015

## The Process

- 18-month consultation with more than 400 groups
- More than 50 suggested uses for the land
- Formal consultation with Kirklees Council since March 2012

May 2015: People for the Park campaign launched

## Overall Voting Results

## 10,375 Votes

8,839 responses

# 85.2\% 



1,452 responses

## 14\%



84 responses
0.8\%

## Minority Group Consultation

- Kirklees TV commissioned to conduct consultation with minority groups
- Members of Pakistani, Sikh and Afro-Caribbean communities within Kirklees consulted
- Additional research conducted with college students across Kirklees


Sample size: 817 Source:KLTV


Source:KLTV

## 817 Responses




Link to report here

## Results by Postcode

Following the Yes / No / No preference vote respondents were invited to register their details and comment on the reason(s) for their vote.


2,914 voters registered their details

Only people who registered their details

Yes voters also had the opportunity to identify their area(s) of interest from a list or add a specific interest.

The following pages detail these results.

## Votes By Area Registered details



2,914 responses


2,704 households representing
7,241* individuals voted yes
 in favour 91.1\%

* Not included in the overall results


## HD1

Huddersfield Town Centre, Hill House, Lockwood, Marsh, Paddock


Top 5 interests representing HD1 household totals

## 91 Responses

in favour 98.9\%

Birkby, Brackenhall, Bradley, Deighton, Fartown, Fixby, Sheepbridge


Only people who registered their details

Paddock, Salendine Nook, Scammonden, Longwood


## 191 Responses


in favour
96.3\%

## HD4

Berry Brow, Crosland Moor, Farnley Tyas, Netherton, Newsome, Lowerhouses, Stocksmoor, Cowersley


Top 5 interests representing HD4 household totals

## 301 Responses



Almondbury, Dalton, Kirkheaton, Moldgreen, Waterloo, Lockwood


Top 5 interests representing HD5 household totals

## 293 Responses


in favour 91.1\%

## HD6

Bailiff Bridge, Brighouse, Rastrick, Clifton


Top 5 interests representing HD6 household totals

## 45 Responses



## in favour <br> 97.8\%

Golcar, Linthwaite, Marsden, Scapegoat Hill, Slaithwaite


Top 5 interests representing HD7 household totals

## 140 Responses



## HD8

Clayton West, Denby Dale, Emley, Fenay Bridge, Kirkburton, Lepton, Scissett, Shelley, Shepley, Skelmanthorpe


Top 5 interests representing HD8 household totals
in favour 89.1\%

Birdsedge, Brockholes, Hepworth, Holme, Holmfirth, Honley, Meltham, Scholes, Upperthong, Wooldale


Top 5 interests representing HD9 household totals

## 498 Responses



## BD Postcodes

Includes; BD12 - Low Moor, Oakenshaw, Wyke, Lower Wyke, Delph Hill BD19 - Cleckheaton, Gomersal, Scholes


Top 5 interests representing BD household totals

## 54, DOSOQSOSSOS



## WF Postcodes



Top 5 interests representing WF household totals

## 198 Responses



## Surrounding Conurbations

- The surrounding urban conurbations of Greater Manchester, Leeds and Sheffield are home to approximately 4 m residents.

Source:www.ons.gov.uk

- Over 8 m people live within one-hour drive of the proposed park location.

Source:Regeneris EDC

- Nearest comparable facility is Tatton Park. When the recent RHS Flower Show was held at Tatton Park, only 7\% of attendees came from Yorkshire, perhaps indicating the need for facilities closer to home.

201 Responses


## in favour

96.5\%

## Other Areas

## 311 Responses


in favour
96.9\%



## Local Resident Comments



# People for the Park FAQ's 

Campaign comments and questions created our FAQ page

## farnley <br> country <br> park

## Frequently asked questions.

## What is a country park?

Officially, in the UK, a 'country park' is an area of land designated under the Countryside Act 1968, this used to mean that they received support and funding from the Countryside Commission. In the case of Farnley Country Park, we want to provide a green rural area where local people and those from further afield can benefit from easy access to the stunning countryside on our doorstep.
To make it easy and more enjoyable for people to visit, we plan to have designated trails, facilities, car parking and rural activities, that will give people a reason to visit and to stay in the area.

## Where will Farnley Country Park be?

Farnley Estates is willing to give permissive access to its 1,500 acres of land, which stretches approximately from Brockholes and Honley up and around Farnley Tyas village and down to Penistone Road in Lepton. Ideally we'd like adjoining landowners to partner with us. If Kirklees add their land to the mix, the Park will reach as far as Castle Hill.

## How will the Park be financed?

Country parks such as Epping Forest, Dalby Forest and Tatton Park cost millions to develop, grow and maintain. Rather than Farnley Country Park becoming a drain on local resources, we want to make sure the Park not only pays for itself but also benefits the local economy.
We've identified areas of land that can be released for housing, without affecting the proposed Farnley Country Park. This will solve three issues:

- Contribute towards Kirklees' housing provision.
- Provide capital to create Farnley Country Park.
- Allow for a 'sinking fund' to pay for the ongoing maintenance and development of Farnley Country Park.


## What is a sinking fund?

A 'sinking fund' is a pot of money or 'capital' that will be invested into a fund. The interest earned on the investment will be used to pay for the upkeep and ongoing maintenance of the Park. It's anticipated that at today's interest rates between

## Community Interaction

Paul Sykes and Sammy Travis have attended numerous community meetings over the last 18 months, and received large amounts of feedback, both positive and negative. Communications and minutes of key meetings before and during the People for the Park Campaign are displayed below: (click on calendar)

Farnley Tyas Community 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ October 2014 / 3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ November 2014 $7^{\text {th }}$ January 2015


Castle Hill Civic Association $3^{\text {rd }}$ June 2015

Shelley Community 14 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ October 2014

## Community Interaction



$\pi=\pi x a x=x 20$
$x \times x=\times \infty$
Farnley Forum- Estate lead meeting $7^{\text {th }}$ May 2014 / 22 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ September 2014 $11^{\text {th }}$ May 2015


Kirkburton Parish Council $3^{\text {rd }}$ July 2014 / next meeting $3^{\text {rd }}$ September 2015


Shepley Village Association 21 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ October 2014
$\square$ chempon

Thurstonland Community 6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ October 2014

We have also been invited to present at the following meetings:

Brockholes Village Trust 11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ August 2015
Friends of Storthes Hall Woods 14 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ September 2015
Holmfirth Community Forum 14 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ October 2015
Huddersfield and Halifax Geographical Association 24 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ November 2015

## Ongoing Dialogue

Farnley Country Park will belong to the people, and provide a legacy for generations of Huddersfield residents to come.

With this in mind, the Farnley Country Park Foundation was created to act as a bridge between the park users and the park's board. http://peopleforthepark.co.uk/Park-foundation.php

Chaired by John Hirst and Joanne Stanley, the Foundation now has over 50 active members and the capacity to grow as our number of users grow.

The Foundation will enable the park's users to define how the park serves the people of Huddersfield and Yorkshire

More details about the meetings
and members are available via the link to the right

## Join the Farnley Country Park Foundation

## Key Arguments



- Employment opportunities
- Facilities for local youth / disabled residents
- Increased commercial income to area
- Land use needs to be rethought due to changes in modern farming


## Support and Benefits



## Local Organisations

## Educational Benefits

Many local organisations have detailed how children can benefit from access to a dedicated outdoor learning environment.
Some of these benefits are detailed in summary \& in full.

## Health \& Wellbeing

With increased pressure on national and local health services, health and wellbeing is key to any new community facility.
Some of these benefits are detailed in summary \& in full
The following links highlight some of the support offered by local companies and organisations showing support for the park:


Holme Valley
FREE COPY
\& surrounding areas
HEAD - Holmfirth Enterprise and Development Suites 3 \& 5 Victoria Court, 91 Huddersfield Road, Holmfirth, United Kingdom



## Singletrack



People for the Park
Multi-media

## Web Traffic

Sessions
12,848

Pageviews
36,674

Avg. Session Duration 00:02:23
\% New Sessions 82.86\%

Users 10,650

1

Pages / Session 2.85
ninummennorn Bounce Rate 38.86\%
~munnminn
www.peopleforthepark.co.uk

- Session data shows over 36,000 page views since launch
- Over 10,000 unique IP addresses logged
- 67\% of views over 60 seconds demonstrating interest
- Broad spectrum of age ranges represented

Session Duration Page Depth


| Sessions | Pageviews |
| :--- | :--- |
| 12,848 | 36,674 |

12,848 36,674
\% of Total: $100.00 \%$ (12,848) $\mid$ \% of Total: $100.00 \%$ (36,674)

| Session Duration | Sessions | Pageviews |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-10 seconds | 5,660 | 6,290 |
| 11-30 seconds | 1,030 | 2,567 |
| 31-60 seconds | 1,130 | 3,423 |
| 61-180 seconds | 2,678 | 10,833 |
| 181-600 seconds | 1,553 | 7,997 |
| 601-1800 seconds | 687 | 4,480 |
| 1801+ seconds | 110 | 1,084 |

## Local Press

## The Huddersfield Daily Examiner Local press launch 18:00 12 May 2015

Click here for story

Plan revealed for massive outdoor-activity park on the edge of Huddersfield


- The Examiner has provided a balanced view and we have built a good working relationship - evidenced in the following articles:
- Article 1 Article $2 \quad$ Article 3
- During the launch phase, we captured feedback, which can be viewed on the following link: here
- Examiner Facebook responses have been collated here


## BBC Look North

- BBC Look North Correspondent Danni Hewson interviewed Paul and John Sykes for BBC Look North on Wednesday 20th May 2015



## B B C <br> LOOK NORTH

## BBC Radio Leeds

## Friday $\mathbf{2 2 ~}^{\text {nd }}$ May - On Air...

Paul Sykes took part in the Breakfast Show to promote the park along with William Armitage, a local business man, who was also interviewed about how this would benefit local people and businesses.


Social media coverage $19^{\text {th }}$ of May 2015 and $\underline{21^{\text {st }} \text { May } 2015}$

## Farnley Country Park Vision

We commissioned a local business www.visualharmony.co.uk to produce a video about the Estate's vision and our findings from previous consultations, take a peek:

alarnley country park vision

## Poster Campaign

A4 and A3 posters and leaflets distributed by the Foundation members



## People for the Park

## Social Media Campaign

Launched $12^{\text {th }}$ May 2015


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { farnley } \begin{array}{l}
\text { country } \\
\text { park }
\end{array} \begin{array}{l}
\text { Vote YES for a country park for Huddersfield by visiting } \\
\text { peopleforthepark.co.uk }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## VOTE NOW!

## Facebook Insights

- Launched $12^{\text {th }}$ May 2015
- Peak of interest 10k views


## Post Reach




## Facebook Posts

## Posts To Page

## Dave Parker - People for the Park

May 13 - el
This is one of the best ideas / proposals I've seen for a while. Especially like the idea of the multipurpose circuit, creating walking / cycling routes around the park, as my daughter is learning to ride, and this would be an ideal, local amenity that we would definitely use.

I hope as many people as possible support it, so it can get co-operation from KMC and businesses / groups, as well as the community. l've emailed the Almondbury councillors to ask for their support - do likewise if you agree :)


## a <br> Irene Walsh > People for the Park <br> May 13 - Wanaka, New Zealand -

I am visiting Yorkshire in August and can't wait to visit Farnley.... I am living in NZ where there's plenty on offer for scenery and outdoors. Can't wait to get back to Yorkshire where the locals make you feel so welcome $\bigcirc$

Source; People for the Park Facebook

## Screen grabs click here

## () Farnley Estates <br> 16 June - -

The Country Park could open a world of opportunities for all. Can you imagine miles, upon miles, of off road routes for horse riding for instance? www.peopleforthepark.co.uk


Farnley Country Park
A vast, green open space in Huddersfield for people to visit, learn, work and play PEOPLEFORTHEPARK.CO.UK

| 32 Likes 1 Comment 1 Share |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.5 K <br> People Reached | $\Rightarrow$ Share | 112 <br> Post Clicks |

## Twitter Analytics



May 2015
181 Tweets
31.2k Users reached

1,902 Profile visits
326 Mentions
185 New followers

June 2015
187 Tweets
42.6k Users reached

968 Profile visits
112 Mentions
175 New followers

July 2015
182 Tweets
45.9k Users reached

791 Profile visits
30 Mentions
64 New followers

Screen Grab click here

## TWEET HIGHLIGHTS

Top Tweet earned 4,555 impressions
\#peopleforthepark VOTE NOW for a
Country Park for \#Huddersfield peopleforthepark.co.uk @huddersfieldSU @Huddersfield4U

## Sporting Celebrity Endorsement



## Eorl Crabtree

- England Rugby International player
- Huddersfield Giants player

Eorl Crabtree retweeted
@ Farnley Estates @FamleyEstate Jul 31
@BigEorl Thank you for all your support. Today is the last chance to make a difference peopleforthepark.co.uk \#4all


## The Future of Farnley Estates

## Farnley Country Park - a legacy

The overall aim is to create a legacy for the people of Huddersfield.
One that has the potential to create jobs, increase tourism and economic development, and improve the health and wellbeing of local people by providing easy access to green space.

## Next steps

We are keen to keep the message of the Park alive and will now be promoting our vision using the Farnley Country Park identity. This will still give people the opportunity to vote and comment about the Park.

The Foundation will also play a key role over the next 6
 months, assisting Farnley Estates by reaching out to more people and gaining more support and ideas for the future of the Estate.
www.farnleycountrypark.co.uk

## Conclusions



A significant number of people support the proposal for Farnley Country Park:

- 10,375 Votes
- $85.2 \%$ in favour


This result is replicated across Kirklees, including those postcodes that border the proposed Park:

- HD4 - 301 registered comments, $88.4 \%$ in favour
- HD5 - 293 registered comments, 91.1\% in favour
- HD8 - 486 registered comments, $89.1 \%$ in favour
- HD9 - 498 registered comments, $89.4 \%$ in favour


## Conclusions

There is a real desire from those in more urban areas to access green open space:

- HD1 - 98.9\% in favour

Top five activities that voters want from the park, in order of preference:

1. Walking
2. Spend time with family
3. Adventure activities
4. Cycling

 010 | 4 |
| :---: |
| 4 |

5. Events

## Farnley Estates - Team



## Paul Sykes - Director

For me, this is a legacy project for the family, for the Estate and for the Town which will take at least 25 years to fulfil. It really is a once-in-alifetime opportunity to provide an area for Outdoor Educational Excellence, for health and for leisure and one that can be enjoyed for generations to come.


## John Sykes - Director

The Farnley Country Park's creation will be a singular turning point in the history of our area; it will complement our well-deserved historical reputation as one of the UK's leading centres of manufacturing excellence. Companies, national and international , will see that, not only is Huddersfield 'the place to make it', but it is also 'the place to live it.'


## Jeanette Dyson \& Sammy Travis

## Jeanette

Communications Manager

## Sammy

Project Development Manager

## APPENDIX 3

CONTAINS A MASTERPLAN AND FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT FOR REJECTED HOUSING SITE H189 (LAND TO THE NORTH AND SOUTH OF WOODSOME ROAD, FENAY BRIDGE)

## FARNLEY MASTERPLAN POTENTIAL DEVELOPMENT SITES

## Site 05 - Land to the north and south of Woodsome Road, Lepton

Site Area: 0.56 Ha

## Existing Site Description

The site consists of two parcels of land to either side of Woodsome Road, at its junction with Penistone Road The northern part of the site is bounded to the east by Penistone Road and to the south by Woodsome Road. A residential property sits to the west screened by mafure vegetation, with open countryside to the north along the Fenay valley. The site has an existing access from Woodsome Road and is mainly covered by gravel hard-standing and scrub vegetation. The southern part ff the site is larger in size, bounded to the east by Pe tistone Road, to the noth by Woodsome Road The nistone Road, to the nor by Woodsone Road. Th southern boundary consists of an established hedge row with a mature trees and a commercial unit beyond The southern boundary sits at the edge of the open countryside and land surrounding Woodsome Beck.

## Planning Context:

The site is located within Green Belt, however, it is being actively promoted by Farnley Estates as part of the ongoing green belt review.

There is a 1 no. individual TPO within the northern part of the site.

The site is located within the Fenay Beck Green In rastructure Corridor, and borders park of the Kirklees Wildlife Habitat Network to the south-west, as identified within the Draft Local Plan

Part of the southern section of the site sits within EA Flood Zone 2, as identified in the Draft Local Plan.

## andscape Character Area

The site is located within National Character Area (NCA) 37: Yorkshire Southern Pennine Fringe and the Kirklees District Landscape Character Assessvisit was also undertaken to carry out a localised character assessment.

The landscape character of the site and surrounding area can be summarised as:

- made up of the main valley of the Fenay Beck River
- broadleaved woodland cover
- regular fields of medium scale, with smaller fields found around the edges of settlements. Land is predominantly pastoral with occasional arable use.
- Field boundaries are commonly hedgerows or stone walls


Site photograph looking to the south-west from Penistone Road at the northern edge of Site 05

- visually enclosed along the bottoms of the valleys - Rolling topography generally sloping to towards Fenay Beck.

Existing landscape features and assets: Topography: Flat: very gradual slope to the west. Vegetation Cover: Generally open with boundary vegetation to the north-western and southern boundaries. Single mature TPO tree with northern part of site Public Rights of Way: None within the site Ecological Features: Boundary vegetation and hedgerow, Fenay Beck Green Infrastructure Corridor Kirklees Wildlife Habitat Network
Water features and Flood Zones: Woodsome Beck lies to the south-west of the site. Part of the southern area of the site lies within flood risk EA Flood Risk Zones 2, as identified in the Draft Local Plan.

## Visual Analysis:

A site visit was undertaken to carry out a visual appraisal of key views into and out of the site. Due to the site being in the valley bottom views consist of glimpsed views along the Fenay Valley and surrounding hills, but are often screened by surrounding vegetation.

Aims for Landscape and Masterplan Strategy:

- Respond to the site and its context; and
- Develop a robust landscape structure.

Landscape and Masterplan Opportunities:

- Provide links to existing wildlife habitats;
- Provide space for recreational and amenity
- use;
- Integrate the development into the local

Consider views across the landscape

- Integrating the development proposals into the
local landscape; and where necessary
- $\quad$ Providing screening


Landscape Opportunities and Structure Plan. NTS@A3

## FARNLEY MASTERPLAN POTENTIAL DEVELOPMENT SITES

Site 05 - Land to the north and south of Woodsome Road, Lepton

sanderson
$\frac{a s S B C}{\text { (consulting engineers) Itd }}$
Highways | Traffic | Transportation | Water

## Prepared on behalf of

## Farnley Estates Ltd

# FLOOD RISK 

## Proposed Development Farnley Tyas, Huddersfield Allocation 05

Flood Risk Overview

## Acknowledgements:

## Environment Agency

## Disclaimer

The methodology adopted and the sources of information used by Sanderson Associates (Consulting Engineers) Ltd in providing its services are outlined within this Report.

Any information provided by third parties and referred to herein has not been checked or verified by Sanderson Associates (Consulting Engineers) Ltd, unless otherwise expressly stated within this report.

This report was checked and approved on the 19 January 2016 and the Report is therefore valid on this date, circumstances, regulations and professional standards do change which could subsequently affect the validity of this Report.

## Copyright

All intellectual property rights in or arising out of or in connection with this report are owned by Sanderson Associates (Consulting Engineers) Ltd. The report has been prepared for Farnley Estates Ltd (the 'Client') who has a licence to copy and use this report only for the purposes for which it was provided. The licence to use and copy this report is subject to other terms and conditions agreed between Sanderson Associates (Consulting Engineers) Ltd and the Client.

This document cannot be assigned or transferred to any third party and no third party may rely upon this document without the express written agreement of both Sanderson Associates (Consulting Engineers) Ltd and the Client.
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| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## 1

1.1 Sanderson Associates (Consulting Engineers) Ltd have been appointed to undertake a Flood Risk Overview for possible development sites Farnley Tyas, Huddersfield. The aim of this assessment is to discuss the present and future flood risk to the site and to assess possible uses and mitigation measures required. The location of the site is shown on drawing 9069/001 contained in Appendix A.
1.2 This Flood Risk Assessment has been undertaken in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) March 2012 and the associated Planning Practice Guidance, 2014.
1.3 Consultation with Environment Agency (EA) has taken place. The consultation response is discussed in Section 3 and contained within Appendix B.
1.4 Each site allocation will be separated into individual reports and assessed on their own merits. A site Location plan showing each of the site allocations is located in Appendix A.

## 2 Existing Situation

### 2.1 Existing Site Description

2.1.1 The site is currently open fields and located to the north and south of Woodsome Road, Huddersfield. Drawing 9069/001 included in Appendix A shows the site limits and location.
2.1.2 Access is currently gained from Woodsome Road via gated accesses.
2.1.3 The site is split into two with one half located to the north of Woodsome Road and the other half to the south. The site is bound to the north by adjoining fields with Penistone Road bounding the site to the east. A private development bounds the site to the south with adjoining fields and a residential property to the west.
2.1.4 The closest main river is the Fenay Beck which is located 90 m to the west of the site.

### 2.2 Existing Site Analysis

2.2.1 The site area is $7,672 \mathrm{~m}^{2}(0.76 \mathrm{Ha})$ taken from information provided by the client is considered to be permeable (not positively drained). Therefore the site is considered to be $0 \%$ impermeable and $100 \%$ permeable.
2.2.2 The estimated Greenfield runoff rate from the site has been assessed using WinDES Source Control software. The run off rate has been calculated at 1.671/s or $2.191 / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{Ha}$ for a 1 in 1 year return period ( IH 124 Method requires calculations based on 50Ha reduced to the site area). The WinDES output files are contained within Appendix C.
2.2.3 The topography of the site generally grades from south to north. Levels range from approximately 85.0 m AOD at the eastern boundary of the site to 83.00 m AOD upon the north western corner of the site.

## 3 <br> Consultations

3.1 As part of this assessment, the Environment Agency (EA) information has been reviewed in relation to flood zones and groundwater. All responses are contained in Appendix B.
3.2 The response from the Environment Agency confirms that the site falls within Flood Zones 1 and 2 with the worst case scenario of a between a 1 in 100 and 1 in 1,000 annual probability of river flooding ( $1 \%-0.1 \%$ ).
3.3 The Environment Agency provided modelled flood levels for the Fenay Beck in the vicinity of the site. These include levels for the 1 in $100+$ climate change and 1 in 1000 year events. There are no flood defences in close proximity to the site.
3.4 The Environment Agency have provided historic flooding maps and shows that the site was not subject to historic flooding.
3.5 The Environment Agency website show that the site is not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.

## 4 Flood Risk

4.1 The main risk of flooding to the site comes from the Fenay Beck which is located 90 m to the site. No flood defences are located within close proximity to the site.
4.2 The Environment Agency confirms that the site falls within Zones 1 and 2 with the worst case scenario of a between a 1 in 100 and 1 in 1,000 annual probability of river flooding ( $1 \%-0.1 \%$ ).
4.3 Drawing 9069-401 contained within Appendix A shows the flood extents of a 1 in 100 year + climate change and 1 in 1000 year flood event this site local levels are based on a Lidar spot levels and modelled flood levels supplied by the Environment Agency. The flood extent plan shows the site to lie within Flood Zone 1 and assessed as having a less than 1 in 1,000 annual probability of river flooding. This will have to be confirmed as part of the full flood risk assessment with the flood extent plan based on a site specific topographical survey.
4.4 There are no constraints to the type of proposal on this allocation assuming that building structures are located wholly within Flood Zone 1.
4.5 The Environment Agency online surface water mapping shows areas of modelled surface water flooding within the boundary of the site, the probability of this occurring is given less than 1 in 1000 ( $0.1 \%$ ) annual probability of occurring in any given year and is deemed to have a very low risk of occurring.
4.6 Mitigation measures can be implemented within the Full Flood Risk Assessment to ensure surface water localised to, and conveyed within the sites road network would not affect any of the proposed development.

## 5 <br> Drainage Constraints

5.1 The current building regulations, Part H3, detail the favoured hierarchy of surface water disposal being in order of preference, to ground by infiltration, to watercourse and then to sewer.

1. Infiltration

## 2. Watercourse

3. Sewer

## 1. Infiltration Drainage

5.2 Infiltration methods of drainage such as soakaways and filter drains percolate surface water runoff allowing it to permeate into the subsoil at its natural rate mimicking the natural process of drainage and as such are subject to the local ground conditions.
5.3 The Local Authority will request that a site investigation is carried out to deem whether infiltration methods are viable within the site.

## 2. Discharge to Watercourse

5.4 If the above is not deemed viable the Local Authority will accept discharge to watercourse. The closest main watercourse to the site is the Fenay Beck which is located 90 m to the south of the site.
5.5 The Envrionment Agency and internal drainage board would have be consulted in regards to agreeing an acceptable discharge rate into the Fenay Beck. A rate no greater than $1.41 / \mathrm{s} /$ ha for discharge into local watercourse is normally requested.

## 3. Discharge to Sewer

5.6

If neither of the above are deemed viable Yorkshire Water should be consulted in order to agree possible surface water outfall. In addition Yorkshire Water will have to be consulted to agree a point of foul connection.

## 6

6.1 This flood risk overview serves to review and assess the sources of potential flooding to the site
6.2 As concluded in section 3 the site is considered to lie within Flood Zone 1 and assessed as having a less than a 1 in 1,000 annual probability of river flooding.
6.3 As this site is located wholly within Flood Zone 1 there are no restrictions on development types or location. Yorkshire Water should be consulted at the time of a full flood risk assessment being written to determine the exact location of any existing sewers.
6.4 A full flood risk assessment and surface water management strategy would have to be written and submitted to the Local Authority in order to gain planning permission. This document serves as an overview to inform the client of possible risk and constraints that could arise at the site.

## Appendix A - Drawings

Site Location: 9069/001
Flood Extent Plan: 9069/401



## Appendix B-Consultations
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## APPENDIX C - Calculations

Existing Greenfield Run Off Estimate


## APPENDIX 4

CONTAINS A MASTERPLAN AND FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT FOR REJECTED HOUSING SITE H256 (LAND NORTH OF WOODSOME ROAD, FENAY BRIDGE)

## FARNLEY MASTERPLAN POTENTIAL DEVELOPMENT SITES

## Site 16a - Land north of Woodsome Road, Fenay Bridge

Site Area: 13.3Ha
Existing Site Description:
The site is made up of open agricultural fields divided by dry stone walls and containing and surrounded by groups of mature vegetation. The site slopes from the southern and western boundaries towards Fenay Beck to the east, and Rushfield Dike to the noth. Woodsome Road forms the Southern boundary, with Woodsome Hall Golf Club located to the south. Distant views are afforded to the hills and residential areas of Rowley Hill and Fenay Bridge to the north-east. The site is generally surrounded by open countryside, with surrounding residential developments visible to the north-east.

## Planning Context

The site is located within Green Belt, however, it is being actively promoted by Farnley Estates to be allocated as an "Accepted-Site Option" in the Kirklees Council Draft Local Plan - November 2015.

There are area TPO's along much of the northern and eastern site boundaries

The site sits within the Fenay Beck Corridor Strategic Green Infrastructure Network and, abuts areas of the Kirklees Wildlife Habitat Network, as identified within the Draft Local Plan.

Part of the northern and eastern areas of the site sit within EA Flood Zones 2 and 3, and SFRA Flood Zone 3a, as identified in the Draft Local Plan.

## Landscape Character Area

The site is located within National Character Area (NCA) 37: Yorkshire Southern Pennine Fringe and the Kirklees District Landscape Character Assessment: G9: Fenay Beck Valley \& Tributaries. A site visit was also undertaken to carry out a localised character assessment.

The landscape character of the site and its surrounding area can be summarised as:

- made up of the main valley of the Fenay Beck River
- broadleaved woodland cover
- regular fields of medium scale, with smaller fields found around the edges of settlements. Land is predominantly pastoral with occasional arable use.
- Field boundaries are commonly hedgerows or stone walls
- visually enclosed along the bottoms of the valleys


Site photograph looking to the north-east from Woodsome Road at southern edge of Site 16a Existing landscape features and assets:
Topography: Rolling: sloping from south west to north east.
Vegetation Cover: Generally open. Scattered vegetation along field boundaries within the site, and mature belts of vegetation to the west, south and east. Public Rights of Way: Non through the site
Ecological Features: Boundary vegetation, Fenay Beck and Rushfield Dike
Water features and Flood Zones: Fenay Beck and Rushfield Dike (Areas within EA Flood Zones 2 and 3 and SFRA Flood Zone 3a

## Visual Analysis:

A site visit was undertaken to carry out a visual appraisal of key views into and out of the site. Due to the sloping nature of the site, clear views are afforded to the north east across Fenay Beck from Woodsome Road towards residential properties at Fenay Bridge and beyond.

Aims for Landscape and Masterplan Strategy The key feature of the proposals will to: respond to the site and its context; and
develop a robust landscape structure and framework that delivers a multi-functional green infrastructure within which development can take place.

The aim of the green infrastructure will be to:

- Provide wildlife habitats to enhance the loca
- Provide space for recreational and amenity
- Creating a network of engaging green spaces
- Creating a network of engaging green spaces;
the development with the
surrounding landscape;
- Integrating the development proposals into the local landscape; and where necessary


Landscape Analysis Plan. NTS


Landscape Opportunities and Structure Plan. NTS

## FARNLEY MASTERPLAN POTENTIAL DEVELOPMENT SITES

## Site 16a - Land north of Woodsome Road, Fenay Bridge



Potential Development Site 16a Indicative Masterplan 1:2,500@A3
Dismantled Railway

- POS utilisng SuDs features and habitat creation. Houses fronting onto space to be aligned with secure by design principles.

Fenay Beck and floodplain area - developed as amenity and habitat space with trails connecting into wider footpath network. SuD features created along this area subject to flood risk assessments. Circuit. existing landscape features. Potential to incorporate pedestrian links (as part of w and SuDs features to create connected multifunctional Green Infrastructure
rail along Fenay Beck connected into development and wider Farnley Country Park Circuit.

## Vehicular Access via bellmouth on

 Woodsome RoadNote: Plan is illustrative only Final design and layout subject to detai design, site surveys and coordination with other consultants and LPA.
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## 1

1.1 Sanderson Associates (Consulting Engineers) Ltd have been appointed to undertake a Flood Risk Overview for possible development sites Farnley Tyas, Huddersfield, this report will focus on the area designated 16A. The aim of this assessment is to discuss the present and future flood risk to the site and to assess possible uses and mitigation measures required. The location of the site is shown on drawing 9069/001 contained in Appendix A.
1.2 This Flood Risk Assessment has been undertaken in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) March 2012 and the associated Planning Practice Guidance, 2014.
1.3 Consultation with Environment Agency (EA) has taken place. The consultation response is discussed in Section 3 and contained within Appendix B.

Each site allocation has been separated into individual reports and assessed on their own merits. A site Location plan showing each of the site allocations is located in Appendix A.

## 2 Existing Situation

### 2.1 Existing Site Description

2.1.1 Site 16A is currently open agricultural, grazing land located to the north of Woodsome Road in Farnley Tyas, Huddersfield. Drawing 9069/001 included in Appendix A shows the site limits and location.
2.1.2 The land is currently accessed off Woodsome Road via a number of agricultural gates.
2.1.3 The site is bound to the north by Rushfield Dike and agricultural land. To the east by Fenay Beck. To the south by Woodsome Road and agricultural land. To the west by woodland and agricultural land.
2.1.4 The closest main river is the Fenay Beck which is located upon the eastern boundary of the site. The Fenay Beck generally flows from south to north. Rushfield Dike is located on the northern boundary of the site and joins the Fenay Beck at the northeastern boundary of the site.

### 2.2 Existing Site Analysis

2.2.1 The site area is $130,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}(13.00 \mathrm{Ha})$ taken from information provided by the client is considered to be permeable (not positively drained). Therefore the site is considered to be 0\% impermeable and 100\% permeable.
2.2.2 The estimated Greenfield runoff rate from the site has been assessed using WinDES Source Control software. The run off rate has been calculated at 29.501/s or $2.191 / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{Ha}$ for a 1 in 1 year return period ( IH 124 Method requires calculations based on 50 Ha reduced to the site area). The WinDES output files are contained within Appendix C.
sanderson
2.2.3 The topography of the site generally grades from west to east. On the eastern boundary of the site an escarpment grades down to Fenay Beck in a number of locations raising the to a relatively higher elevation than the adjacent watercourse.

## 3 <br> Consultations

3.1 As part of this assessment, the Environment Agency (EA) information has been reviewed in relation to flood zones and groundwater. All responses are contained in Appendix B.
3.2 The response from the Environment Agency confirms that the site falls within Flood Zones 1,2 and 3 with the worst case scenario of a 1 in 100 or greater annual probability of river flooding ( $>1 \%$ ). It should be noted that areas of higher probability Flood Zones 2 and 3 are restricted to the eastern boundary of the site due to the relative level difference from this area to the main body of the site as outlined in paragraph 2.2.3.
3.3 The Environment Agency provided modelled flood levels for the Fenay Beck in the vicinity of the site. These include levels for the 1 in $100+$ climate change and 1 in 1000 year events. There are no flood defences in close proximity to the site.
3.4 The Environment Agency have provided historic flooding maps and shows that the site was subject to historic flooding in 1970 due to channel capacity being exceeded.
3.5 The Environment Agency website show that the site is not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.

## 4 Flood Risk

4.1 The main risk of flooding to the site comes from the Fenay Beck and Rushfield Dike which are located on the eastern and northern boundary of the site, respectively. No flood defences are located within close proximity to the site for either watercourse.
4.2 The Environment Agency confirms that the site falls within Flood Zone 1,2 and 3 with the worst case scenario of a 1 in 100 or greater annual probability of river flooding (>1\%).
4.3 Drawing 9069-601 contained within Appendix A shows the flood extents of a 1 in 100 year + climate change and 1 in 1000 year flood event (For the site local levels are based on 1.0 m grid LIDAR data and modelled flood levels supplied by the Environment Agency).
4.4 There are no constraints to the type of proposal on this allocation assuming that building structures are located wholly within Flood Zone 1. It is likely that there would be few issues for this site as the steep gradients on the eastern boundary of the site which represents the limits of Flood Zones 2 and 3 would likely remain undeveloped.
4.5 The Environment Agency online surface water mapping shows areas of modelled surface water flooding within the boundary of the site. A number of areas shown to be at a low risk of surface water flooding (between 1 in 100 and 1 in 1000 annual probability) are located on the eastern boundary of the site and mimic the extents of modelled fluvial flooding at these locations. A number of low risk areas are shown on the banks of Rushfield Dike to the north of the site, these areas are relatively small, isolated and constrained to the immediate vicinity of the watercourse. The main body of the site is shown to be at a very low risk (greater than 1 in 1000 annual probability) which is the lowest risk classification in line with EA delineation.
4.6 Mitigation measures can be implemented within the Full Flood Risk Assessment to ensure surface water localised to, and conveyed within the sites road network would not affect any of the proposed development.

## 5 <br> Drainage Constraints

5.1 The current building regulations, Part H3, detail the favoured hierarchy of surface water disposal being in order of preference, to ground by infiltration, to watercourse and then to sewer.

1. Infiltration

## 2. Watercourse

3. Sewer

## 1. Infiltration Drainage

5.2 Infiltration methods of drainage such as soakaways and filter drains percolate surface water runoff allowing it to permeate into the subsoil at its natural rate mimicking the natural process of drainage and as such are subject to the local ground conditions.
5.3 The Local Authority will request that a site investigation is carried out to deem whether infiltration methods are viable within the site.

## 2. Discharge to Watercourse

5.4 If the above is not deemed viable the Local Authority will accept discharge to watercourse. The closest main watercourse to the site is the Fenay Beck which is located on the western boundary of the site.
5.5 The Envrionment Agency and internal drainage board would have be consulted in regards to agreeing an acceptable discharge rate into the Fenay Beck. A rate no greater than $1.41 / \mathrm{s} /$ ha for discharge into local watercourse is normally requested.

## 3. Discharge to Sewer

5.6

If neither of the above are deemed viable Yorkshire Water should be consulted in order to agree possible surface water outfall. In addition Yorkshire Water will have to be consulted to agree a point of foul connection.

## 6

6.1 This flood risk overview serves to review and assess the sources of potential flooding to the site
6.2 As concluded in section 3 the site is considered to lie within Flood Zone 1,2 and 3 with the worst case scenario of a 1 in 100 or greater annual probability of river flooding ( $>1 \%$ ). Although it should be noted that the majority of the site lies within Flood Zone 1.
6.3 All buildings should be located wholly within Flood Zone 1.
6.4 In line with current guidance the Environment Agency would require an $8-10 \mathrm{~m}$ undeveloped easement, measured from the top of river bank, in order to safeguard future channel maintenance and emergency access to the watercourse.
6.5 A full flood risk assessment and surface water management strategy would have to be written and submitted to the Local Authority in order to gain planning permission. This document serves as an overview to inform the client of possible risk and constraints that could arise at the site.

## Appendix A - Drawings

Site Location: 9069/001
Flood Extent Plan: 9069/601
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Flood Map Woodsome Road/ Penistone Road, Kirklees - Date Created: 21/06/2013 Ref: 26205

© Environment Agency copyright and / or database rights 2012. All rights reserved. © Crown Copyright and database right. All rights reserved. Environment Agency, $100026380,2012$.
Contact Us: National Customer Contact Centre, PO Box 544, Rotherham, S60 1BY. Tel: 08708506506 (Mon-Fri 8-6). Email: enquiries@environment-agency.gov.uk

















Flood History Map for Woodsome Road/ Penistone Road, Kirklees - dated: 21/06/2013 [Ref: 26205]


[^1]Contact Us: National Customer Contact Centre, PO Box 544, Rotherham, S60 1BY. Tel: 08708506506 (Mon-Fri 8-6). Email: enquiries@environment-agency.gov.uk

## APPENDIX C - Calculations

Existing Greenfield Run Off Estimate


## APPENDIX 5

CONTAINS A MASTERPLAN AND FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT FOR REJECTED HOUSING SITE H257 (LAND WEST OF PENISTONE ROAD, FENAY BRIDGE)

## FARNLEY MASTERPLAN POTENTIAL DEVELOPMENT SITES

## Site 17 - Land to the west of Penistone Road, Lepton

| Site Area: 21.0Ha W | Woodsome Hall Golf Club | Woodsome Beck and surrounding vegetation <br> Site 17 | Commercial and residential buildings along Penistone Road | Penistone Road (to east of retaining wall) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Existing Site Description: |  |  |  |  |
| The site is made up of open agricultural fields divided |  |  |  |  |
| by dry stone walls and containing groups of mature |  |  |  |  |
| vegetation. Areas of vegetation also create enclosureto the edge of the site. Woodsome Beck runs north |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| to south along the eastern boundary, cutting through |  |  |  |  |
| the site to the north of an area of mature woodland. |  |  |  |  |
| Woodsome Hall Lane forms the western boundary, |  |  |  |  |
| with Penistone Road to the east. The site slopes from |  |  |  |  |
| west to east towards Woodsome Beck, with a level |  |  |  |  |
| ea surrounding the Beck, before sloping up again |  |  |  |  | towards Penistone Road. Woodsome Hall Golf Club is ocated to the west, with views across Woodsome Hall Lane. The site wraps around an existing commercial development and properties to the west of Penistone Road.

Planning Context:
The site is located within Green Belt, however, it is being actively promoted by Farnley Estates to be allocated as an "Accepted-Site Option" in the Kirklees Council Draft Local Plan - November 2015.

The site sits within the Fenay Beck Corridor Strategic Green Infrastructure Network and, abuts areas of the Kirklees Wildlife Habitat Network, as identified within the Draft Local Plan.

Part of the northern and eastern areas of the site sit within EA Flood Zones 2 and 3, and SFRA Flood Zone 3a, as identified in the Draft Local Plan.

Part of the site abuts the Highburton Conservation Area boundary, as identified within the Draft Local Plan.

## Landscape Character Area

The site is located within National Character Area (NCA) 37: Yorkshire Southern Pennine Fringe and the Kirklees District Landscape Character Assessment: G9: Fenay Beck Valley \& Tributaries. A site visit was also undertaken to carry out a localised character assessment.

The landscape character of the site and its
surrounding area can be summarised as:

- made up of the main valley of the Fenay Beck River
- broadleaved woodland cover
- regular fields of medium scale, with smaller fields found around the edges of settlements. Land is predominantly pastoral with occasional arable use.
- field boundaries are commonly hedgerows or stone walls


Landscape Opportunities and Structure Plan. NTS

FARNLEY MASTERPLAN POTENTIAL DEVELOPMENT SITES
Site 17 - Land to the west of Penistone Road, Lepton
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## 1

1.1 Sanderson Associates (Consulting Engineers) Ltd have been appointed to undertake a Flood Risk Overview for possible development sites Farnley Tyas, Huddersfield. The aim of this assessment is to discuss the present and future flood risk to the site and to assess possible uses and mitigation measures required. The location of the site is shown on drawing 9069/001 contained in Appendix A.
1.2 This Flood Risk Assessment has been undertaken in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) March 2012 and the associated Planning Practice Guidance, 2014.
1.3 Consultation with Environment Agency (EA) has taken place. The consultation response is discussed in Section 3 and contained within Appendix B.
1.4 Each site allocation will be separated into individual reports and assessed on their own merits. A site Location plan showing each of the site allocations is located in Appendix A.

## 2 Existing Situation

### 2.1 Existing Site Description

2.1.1 The site is currently open fields and located to the west of Penistone Road, Huddersfield. Drawing 9069/001 included in Appendix A shows the site limits and location.
2.1.2 Access is currently gained from Woodsome Mill Farm via Woodsome Road.
2.1.3 The site is bound to the north by Woodsome Mill Farm with Penistone Road and Dogley Lane bounding the site to the east. Woodsome Hall Lane bounds the site to the west with adjoining fields to the south.
2.1.4 The closest main river is the Fenay Beck which runs through the site.

### 2.2 Existing Site Analysis

2.2.1 The site area is $215,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}(21.5 \mathrm{Ha})$ taken from information provided by the client is considered to be permeable (not positively drained). Therefore the site is considered to be $0 \%$ impermeable and $100 \%$ permeable.
2.2.2 The estimated Greenfield Surface Water runoff rate from the site has been assessed using WinDES Source Control software. The run off rate has been calculated at $47.00 \mathrm{I} / \mathrm{s}$ or $2.19 \mathrm{I} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{Ha}$ for a 1 in 1 year return period ( IH 124 Method requires calculations based on 50 Ha reduced to the site area). The WinDES output files are contained within Appendix C.
2.2.3 The topography of the site generally grades from south to north. Levels range from approximately 119.0 m AOD at the western boundary of the site to 84.00 m AOD adjacent to the Fenay Beck running through the site.

## 3 <br> Consultations

3.1 As part of this assessment, the Environment Agency (EA) information has been reviewed in relation to flood zones and groundwater. All responses are contained in Appendix B.
3.2 At the time of writing the report the Environment Agency has not provided the relevant flood model data for the site.
3.3 The Environment Agency online map shows that the site falls within Flood Zones 1 and 2 with the worst case scenario of a between a 1 in 100 and 1 in 1,000 annual probability of river flooding ( $1 \%-0.1 \%$ ).
3.4 The Environment Agency website show that the site is not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.

## 4 Flood Risk

4.1 The main risk of flooding to the site comes from the Fenay Beck which runs through the site. No flood defences are located within close proximity to the site.
4.2 The Environment Agency confirms that the site falls within Zones 1 and 2 with the worst case scenario of a between a 1 in 100 and 1 in 1,000 annual probability of river flooding ( $1 \%-0.1 \%$ ).
4.3 Drawing 9069-701 contained within Appendix A shows the flood extents of Flood Zone 1,2 and 3 based upon the Environment Agency online maps as at the time of writing the report the Environment Agency has not provided the relevant flood model data for the site.
4.4 There are no constraints to the type of proposal on this allocation assuming that building structures are located wholly within Flood Zone 1. Areas of the site that are located within Flood Zone 2 and 3 should be allocated for car parking and access roads.
4.5 Upon receiving the Environment Agency flood model data drawing 9069-701 will be updated to show a more detailed flood extents plan.
4.6 There are no constraints to the type of proposal on this allocation assuming that building structures are located wholly within Flood Zone 1.
4.7 The Environment Agency online surface water mapping shows areas of modelled surface water flooding in the northern area of the site, in the location of the extensive area fluvial Flood Zone 3. The EA classify this flooding to have an annual probability of occurring at between a 1 in 100 and 1 in 1000 and is deemed to have a low risk.
4.8 Mitigation measures can be implemented within the Full Flood Risk Assessment to ensure surface water localised to, and conveyed within the sites road network would not affect any of the proposed development.

## 5 Drainage Constraints

5.1 The current building regulations, Part H3, detail the favoured hierarchy of surface water disposal being in order of preference, to ground by infiltration, to watercourse and then to sewer.

1. Infiltration

## 2. Watercourse

3. Sewer

## 1. Infiltration Drainage

5.2 Infiltration methods of drainage such as soakaways and filter drains percolate surface water runoff allowing it to permeate into the subsoil at its natural rate mimicking the natural process of drainage and as such are subject to the local ground conditions.
5.3 The Local Authority will request that a site investigation is carried out to deem whether infiltration methods are viable within the site.

## 2. Discharge to Watercourse

5.4 If the above is not deemed viable the Local Authority will accept discharge to watercourse. The closest main watercourse to the site is the Fenay Beck which is runs through the site.
5.5 The Envrionment Agency and internal drainage board would have be consulted in regards to agreeing an acceptable discharge rate into the Fenay Beck. A rate no greater than $1.41 / \mathrm{s} /$ ha for discharge into local watercourse is normally requested.

## 3. Discharge to Sewer

5.6

If neither of the above are deemed viable Yorkshire Water should be consulted in order to agree possible surface water outfall. In addition Yorkshire Water will have to be consulted to agree a point of foul connection.

## 6

6.1 This flood risk overview serves to review and assess the sources of potential flooding to the site
6.2 As concluded in section 3 the site is considered to lie within Flood Zone 1,2 and 3 with the worst case scenario of a 1 in 100 or greater annual probability of river flooding ( $>1 \%$ ).
6.3 All buildings should be located wholly within Flood Zone 1.
6.4 In line with current guidance the Environment Agency would require an $8-10 \mathrm{~m}$ undeveloped easement, measured from the top of river bank, in order to safeguard future channel maintenance and emergency access to the watercourse.
6.5 A full flood risk assessment and surface water management strategy would have to be written and submitted to the Local Authority in order to gain planning permission. This document serves as an overview to inform the client of possible risk and constraints that could arise at the site.

## Appendix A - Drawings

Site Location: 9069/001
Flood Extent Plan: 9069/701
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## APPENDIX C - Calculations

Existing Greenfield Run Off Estimate


## APPENDIX 6

CONTAINS A MASTERPLAN FOR REJECTED HOUSING SITE H252 (LAND WEST OF FARNLEY ROAD, FARNLEY TYAS)

## FARNLEY MASTERPLAN POTENTIAL DEVELOPMENT SITES

## Site 24 - Hunters Nab, Farnley Tyas

Site Area: 1.1 Ha

## Existing Site Description

The site consists of a number of open agricultural fields bounded by drystone walls gently sloping from the western boundary at the public right of way (PROW) of the Holme Valley Circular Walk, towards the south eastern boundary at Farnley Road. Farm buildings and residential properties lie to the northern boundary at New Lane Farm. St Lucius Church and surrounding mature vegetation sits to the north west of the site boundary. The site lies on the edge of the open countryside to the west, south and east.

## Planning Context:

The site is located within Green Belt, however, it is being actively promoted by Farnley Estates to be allocated as an "Accepted-Site Option" in the Kirklees Council Draft Local Plan - November 2015.

There is a group TPO within the grounds of St Lucius' Church outside the north western boundary of the site. St Lucius' Church grounds are proposed to be allocated as "Urban Green Space" in the Kirklees Council Draft Local Plan - November 2015.

Part of the site abuts the Farnley Tyas Conservation Area boundary, as identified within the Draft Local Plan.

## Landscape Character Area

The site is located within National Character Area (NCA) 37: Yorkshire Southern Pennine Fringe and the Kirklees District Landscape Character Assessment: E6: Fenay Beck Valley Rural Fringes. A site visit was also undertaken to carry out a
localised character assessment.
The landscape character of the site and its surrounding area can be summarised as:

- gently undulating plateau
- broadleaved woodlands with occasiona
mixed woodlands
- land cover patterns are small scale with varied
vegetation cover
- small grassland pastures are enclosed by gritstone walls as well as some hedgerow boundaries
- dense network of minor roads and narrow
winding lanes
- mostly a settled and rural landscape


Site photograph looking to the north-west from Farnley Road at south-west corner of Site 24

Existing landscape features and assets: Topography: Gentle slope west to south east Vegetation Cover: None within the site boundary Public Rights of Way: Public right of way runs along western site boundary
Ecological Features: None evident
Water features and Flood Zones: None

## Visual Analysis:

A site visit was undertaken to carry out a visual appraisal of key views into and out of the site. Key views include expansive views to the south and east across open countryside due to elevated position. Emley Moor mast is a prominent feature within the distant views.

Aims for Landscape and Masterplan Strategy: The key feature of the proposals will to

- respond to the site and its context; and
- develop a robust landscape structure and framework that delivers a multi-functional green infrastructure within which development can take place.

The aim of the green infrastructure will be to:

- Enhance the setting of the Holme Valley

Circular Walk PROW;

- Provide space for recreational and amenity
- Provide wildlife habitats to enhance the loca
ecological value;
- Creating a network of engaging green spaces
- Connecting the development with the
surrounding landscape:
- Integrating the development proposals into the local landscape and incorporate existing landscape features


Landscape Analysis Plan. NTS


Landscape Opportunities and Structure Plan. NTS

FARNLEY MASTERPLAN POTENTIAL DEVELOPMENT SITES
Site 24 - Hunters Nab, Farnley Tyas


## APPENDIX 7

CONTAINS A MASTERPLAN FOR REJECTED HOUSING SITE H254 (LAND EAST OF THURSTON LAND ROAD, FARNLEY TYAS)

## FARNLEY MASTERPLAN POTENTIAL DEVELOPMENT SITES

## Site 19 - Land to the south west of Farnley Tyas, Farnley Tyas

Site Area: 3.3Ha
Existing Site Description
The site consists of two open agricultural field bounded by dry stone walls, gently sloping from the western boundary at Thurstonland Road, to a track and public right of way (PROW) of the Holme Valley Circular Walk on the eastern site boundary. Residential properties lie to the northern boundary at Butts Close, Butts Way and St Lucius's Close, along with St Lucius Church and surrounding mature vegetation. The site sits on the edge of the open countryside to the east and south, with a recreation ground and children's play area surrounded by mature woodland to the west

## Planning Context

The site is located within Green Belt, however, it is being actively promoted by Farnley Estates to be allocated as an "Accepted-Site Option" in the Kirklees Council Draft Local Plan - November 2015

There is a group TPO within the grounds of St Lucius Church outside the northern boundary of the site. St Lucius' Church grounds are proposed to be allocated as "Urban Green Space" in the Kirklees Council Draf Local Plan - November 2015.

The site is located to the west of the Holme Valley Corridor Green Infrastructure Network, as identified within the Draft Local Plan. Part of the sit abuts the Farnley Tyas Conservation Area boundary, as dentified within the Draft Local Plan.

Landscape Character Area:
The site is located within National Character Area NCA) 37: Yorkshire Southern Pennine Fringe and the Kirklees District Landscape Character Assessment: E6: Fenay Beck Valley Rural Fringes. A site visit was also undertaken to carry out a localised character assessment.

The landscape character of the site and its surrounding area can be summarised as:

- gently undulating plateau
- broadleaved woodlands with occasional
mixed woodlands
- land cover patterns are small scale with varied
vegetation cover
- $\quad$ small grassland pastures are enclosed by gritstone walls as well as some hedgerow boundaries
- dense network of minor roads and narrow
winding lanes
- mostly a settled and rural landscape


Site photograph looking to the north-east from Thurstonland Road at southern corner of Site 19

Existing landscape features and assets: Topography: Gentle slope west to east Vegetation Cover: Generally open, with mature vegetation along both western and northern field boundary and within grounds of St Lucius' Church Public Rights of Way: Local right of way runs along eastern site boundary
Ecological Features: Boundary Vegetation Water features and Flood Zones: None

## Visual Analysis:

A site visit was undertaken to carry out a visual appraisal of key views into and out of the site. Key views include expansive views to the south and east across open countryside due to elevated position. Emley Moor mast is a prominent feature within the distant views.

Aims for Landscape and Masterplan Strategy: The key feature of the proposals will to:

- respond to the site and its context; and
- develop a robust landscape structure and framework that delivers a multi-functional green infrastructure within which development can take place

The aim of the green infrastructure will be to:

- Enhance the setting of the Holme Valley Crcular Walk PROW and St Lucius Uhurch - Provide space for recreational and amenity
- Provide wild life habitats to enhance the loca ecological value;
- Creating a network of engaging green spaces;
- Connecting the development with the
surrounding landscape;
- Integrating the development proposals into the local landscape and incorporate existing landscape features.


Landscape Opportunities and Structure Plan. NTS

FARNLEY MASTERPLAN POTENTIAL DEVELOPMENT SITES Site 19 - Land to the south west of Farnley Tyas, Farnley Tyas

|  | Areas: |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Site Boundary Area: 3.30Ha |
|  | Approximate Developable (Residential) Area: 2.2 Ha |
|  | Approximate number of Units (based on Developable area x 20 to 30 DPH): 44 to 66 units Note: Due to site topography, DPH will depend upon site levels resolution. |
|  | Number of units illustrated on plan: 50 units |
|  | Public Open Space (POS ) Provision: 1.1Ha <br> Note: POS provision is illustrative. Final area will be based upon Policy DLP 65 of the Draft Kirklees Local Plan, emerging planning policy and discussion with LPA. Ilustrative POS provision is based upon Table 9 District wide open space provision standards which identifies 3.1 Ha POS and 0.5 Ha allotments per 1000 pooulation. POS includes existing vecetation and steen slopes. |



POS along PROW to create an appropriate green setting for Holme Valley Circular Walk and allowing views to church from the wider countryside. Potential to incorporate pedestrian links, play area, allotments and SuDs features to create multifunctional Green Infrastructure.

Housing Development: with housing fronting onto greenspace (secure by design principles).

Existing field boundary stone wall retained where possible providing unifying element running through development.

POS along frontage to create strong defined urban edge to urban area with potential to incorporate pedestrian link and SuDs features to create multifunctional Green Infrastructure.

Vehicular Access via bellmouth on Thurstonland Road.

Note: Plan is illustrative only.
Final design and layout subject to detail design, site surveys and coordination with other consultants and LPA.


Potential Development Site 19 Indicative Masterplan 1:1,250@A3
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## 1 <br> Introduction

1.1 This report has been prepared to support the Farnley Estates Masterplan proposals, which include the promotion of a number of sites for development within Farnley Tyas and along the A629 Penistone Road corridor.
1.2 Sanderson Associates has provided advice to the professional team regarding suitable means of access to serve each site, which has been incorporated into the Illustrative layouts that have been produced by DLA Design.
1.3 The reports comments on the access options in relation to sites 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 16A, 17, 19 and 24, which are shown on Figure 1 included in Appendix A.
1.4 A preliminary assessment has also been undertaken of the peak hour traffic that could be generated by the sites on the Penistone Road corridor, to enable Kirklees Council to consider the wider implications of all Local Plan sites. This information has also be utilised to assess the potential access arrangements suggested on Penistone Road, to confirm that the arrangements are feasible access options.

## 2 Access Appraisal

2.1 Site 2 - Land northwest of Woodsome Drive, Fenay Bridge
2.1.1 The illustrative plans indicate that this site could accommodate approximately 81 no. dwellings. Based on this scale of development, the site could be served by a simple priority junction as shown on drawing 9058/001A included in Appendix B.
2.1.2 For a site of this scale, a priority junction with a single lane exit would be appropriate, with right turn lane provision on Penistone Road. The junction would also require radii of 10 m due to the current 40 mph speed limit; and have visibility splays of $2.4 \times 90-120 \mathrm{~m}$, although these splays may be reduced should the speed limit be reduced on Penistone Road (or if actual speeds are lower), which may be considered appropriate by the Local Highway Authority (LHA). Due to the long site frontage on Penistone Road, there is considerable scope to locate the site access, which achieves adequate junction spacing and visibility.
2.1.3 As identified below, should site 4 be developed, it would be possible to access both site 4 and site 2 via a new 4 arm roundabout on Penistone Road, with a single lane entry being adequate to serve site 2, as shown on drawing 9058/001A included in Appendix B.

### 2.2 Site 3 - Land south of Woodsome Drive, Lepton

2.2.1 The illustrative plans indicate that this site could accommodate approximately 63 no. dwellings. Based on this scale of development, the site could be served by a simple priority junction on to Rowley Lane, as shown on drawing 9058/003 included in Appendix B. As can be seen from the plan, the junction could be provided as a crossroads with Woodsome Drive.
2.2.2 For a site of this scale, a priority junction with a single lane exit would be appropriate. No right turn lane provision on Rowley Lane is considered to be required. The junction would also require radii of 6 m due to the current 30 mph speed limit; and have visibility splays of $2.4 \times 43 \mathrm{~m}$ that can be achieved in the suggested location.
2.2.3 As discussed with the LHA, capacity problems are experienced at peak times at the Rowley Lane/Penistone Road priority junction. Therefore, to accommodate additional development traffic on Rowley Lane, improvements to this junction may be required. As such, consideration has been given to the feasibility of utilising site 3 to provide a new roundabout on Penistone Road, which would replace the existing Rowley Lane and Woodsome Road junctions, as shown on drawing 9058/001A included in Appendix B.
2.2.4 The provision of a new roundabout would address existing problems at the Rowley Lane junction and accommodate development traffic from Site 3 and 6 (and also other potential sites within the Lepton area).
2.2.5 Preliminary capacity analysis has been undertaken of the roundabout (outlined in Section 3), which indicates that single lane approaches on both the Woodsome Road and Rowley Lane/Site 3 arms are adequate, with two lane flared approaches on both of the Penistone Road arms.

### 2.3 Site 4 - Land to the west of Penistone Road, Fenay Bridge

2.3.1 It is proposed that site 4 could accommodate 'The Hub', which would be the main gateway/commercial hub associated with the proposed Farnley Country Park. The site is likely to include a range of facilities that would complement the County Park, which could include a Visitor/Education centre, café, farm shop, garden centre, craft and design workshops, event spaces, visitor parking and welfare facilities.
2.3.2 Due to the trips that are likely to be generated by the site, it is considered that a roundabout would provide an appropriate means of access; and could also serve site 2, with an indicative roundabout design shown on drawing 9058/001A included in Appendix B.
2.3.3 Preliminary capacity analysis has been undertaken of the roundabout (as outlined in Section 3), which indicates that single lane approaches on the site 2 and 4 arms are adequate, with two lane flared approaches on both of the Penistone Road arms. It is noted that accurate traffic generations cannot at this stage be determined for site 4, due to the range of potential uses for the site. Therefore, the assessment includes an assumed traffic generation for site 4 of 100 two-way vehicle movements for weekday AM and PM peak hour periods and 200 two-way vehicle movements for weekend peak hour periods (split evenly for inbound/outbound traffic). However, the test ARCADY modelling indicates that the Site 4 arm could accommodate significantly higher traffic flows (approx. 500-600 pcu's per hour outbound) before additional exit lanes would be required. Due to the long site frontage on Penistone Road, there is considerable scope to locate the junction, which will allow flexibility in the site layout options for sites 2 and 4 .

## 2.4

2.4.1 The illustrative plans indicate that these small sites could accommodate approximately 11 no. dwellings. Based on this scale of development, the sites could be served by simple priority junctions or private drives, as shown on drawing 9058/004 included in Appendix B and would replace the existing accesses. Drawing 9058/001A included in Appendix B also shows how access to these sites could be accommodated should the roundabout identified at paragraph 2.2.3 be implemented.

### 2.5 Site 6 - Land southeast of Hermitage Park, Lepton

2.5.1 There are currently no main public highways within the immediate vicinity of site 6 . Therefore, to provide access to this site, some land acquisition is likely to be required. To provide access via Hermitage Park, it would be necessary to purchase land from some of the existing properties to allow a suitable means of access to be created.
2.5.2 Based on access via the existing Hermitage Park junction on to Rowley Lane, the illustrative masterplans indicate that the site could accommodate approximately 45 no. dwellings, which could be adequately served by the existing junction, which benefits from adequate visibilities splays.
2.5.3 As with site 3, to accommodate additional development traffic on Rowley Lane, improvements to the Rowley Lane/Penistone Road junction may be required. Therefore, it may be possible to provide an alternative means of access to site 6 via site 3 , subject to a connection being made across the dismantled railway. This access road could then connect into the proposed highway network in site 3 and could include the new roundabout on Penistone Road identified in paragraph 2.2.3.

### 2.6 Site 16A - Land to northeast of Woodsome Road, Woodsome

2.6.1 The illustrative plans indicate that this site could accommodate approximately 285 no. dwellings. Based on this scale of development and as Woodsome Road is relatively lightly trafficked, the site could be served by a simple priority junction, as shown on drawing 9058/001A included in Appendix B.
2.6.2 For a site of this scale, a priority junction with a single lane exit would be appropriate, with right turn lane provision on Woodsome Road. The junction would also require radii of 10 m due to the current National speed limit; and have visibility splays of $2.4 \times 160-215 \mathrm{~m}$, although these splays may be reduced should the speed limit be reduced on Woodsome Road (or if actual speeds are lower), which may be considered appropriate by the Local Highway Authority (LHA). Due to the long site frontage on Woodsome Road, there is scope to locate the site access in a number of locations, which achieves adequate junction spacing and visibility.
2.6.3 To accommodate develop of this site, improvements to the Woodsome Road/Penistone Road junction are likely to be required, which may be a roundabout as identified on drawing 9058/001A included in Appendix B, or potentially by a three arm roundabout if not serving site 3 , or instead by conversion to a signalisation junction. In additional to improvements at this junction, improvements are also likely to be required at the narrow bridge on Woodsome Road located between the site and Penistone Road.

### 2.7 Site 17 - Land to the west of Penistone Road, Kirkburton

2.7.1 The illustrative plans indicate that this site could accommodate approximately 270405 no. dwellings. For a development of approximately 200-300 dwellings, the site could be served by a single simple priority junction, as shown on drawing 9058/001A included in Appendix B, which would link to the main area of the site via a bridge over the beck. However, for a larger development, a second point of access (and additional bridge) is likely to be required, which could be provided via a second priority junction located further south on Penistone Road.
2.7.2 Preliminary capacity analysis has been undertaken of the single priority junction (outlined in Section 3), which indicates that a priority junction with a single lane exit would be appropriate, with right turn lane provision on Penistone Road. The junction would also require radii of 10 m due to the current 40 mph speed limit; and have visibility splays of $2.4 \times 90-120 \mathrm{~m}$, although these splays may be reduced should the speed limit be reduced on Penistone Road (or if actual speeds are lower), which may be considered appropriate by the Local Highway Authority (LHA).

### 2.8 Site 19 - Land to south of Butts Way, Farnley Tyas

2.8.1 The illustrative plans indicate that this site could accommodate approximately 66 no. dwellings. Based on this scale of development, the site could be served by a simple priority junction on to Thurstonland Road, with no right turn facility consider necessary. As Thurstonland Road along the site frontage is subject to the national speed limit, it would be desirable to relocate the 30 mph speed limit change on entry to Farnley Tyas Village to the south of the access. Subject to the relocation of the speed limit change, visibility splays of $2.4 \times 43 \mathrm{~m}$ would be required, with 6 m junction radii. Due to the relatively long site frontage on Thurstonland Road, there is scope to locate the site access in a number of locations, which achieves adequate junction spacing and visibility.

### 2.9 Site 24 - Land south of Yew Tree Farm, Farnley Tyas

2.9.1 The illustrative plans indicate that this site could accommodate approximately 24 no. dwellings. Based on this scale of development, the site could be served by a simple priority junction on to Farnley Road, with no right turn facility consider necessary. As Farnley Road along the site frontage is subject to the national speed limit, it would be desirable to relocate the 30 mph speed limit change on entry to Farnley Tyas Village to the south of the access. Subject to the relocation of the speed limit change, visibility splays of $2.4 \times 43 \mathrm{~m}$ would be required, with 6 m junction radii. Due to the relatively long site frontage on Farnley Road, there is scope to locate the site access in a number of locations, which achieves adequate junction spacing and visibility.

## 3 Traffic generations and assessment

### 3.1 Scope of assessment

3.1.1 A preliminary assessment has been undertaken of the peak hour traffic that could be generated by the sites that could generate significant levels of traffic on to the Penistone Road Corridor (sites 2, 3, 4, 6, 16A \& 17), to enable Kirklees Council to consider the wider implications of the Local Plan sites.
3.1.2 The traffic generation information has also be utilised to assess the potential access arrangements suggested on Penistone Road, to confirm that the arrangements are feasible. This includes the assessment of the two roundabouts that have been suggested, together with the Site 17 priority junction site access.

### 3.2 Based traffic data and growth

3.2.1 Traffic count data has been obtained at the Rowley Lane/Penistone Road and Woodsome Road/Penistone Road junctions on Thursday $3^{\text {rd }}$ December and Saturday $5^{\text {th }}$ December, with the surveys recording cross movements between the Rowley Lane and Penistone Road arms. This data has been analysed, with the network peak hour flows (in PCU's) shown on Figure 2 in Appendix A.
3.2.2 For feasibility assessment purposes, 10 years traffic growth has been applied to the above survey data to ensure a robust assessment is undertaken, with the following traffic growth factors obtained from the TEMPRO database (urban principle roads in 00C212 Kirkburton dataset):

|  | TEMPRO Growth Factors <br> 2015-2025 <br> (00C212 Kirkburton) |
| :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak | 1.1945 |
| PM Peak | 1.1995 |
| Saturday Peak | 1.2088 |

3.2.3 The 2015 base traffic data has been growthed to 2025, with the flows shown on Figure 3 in Appendix A.

### 3.3 Traffic generations and distribution

3.3.1 The TRICS database has been utilised to calculate potential traffic generations for the sites. As the type of housing that may be proposed on each site is not currently known, detailed interrogation of the TRICS database has not been undertaken. Instead, average rates has been derived for sites in England (excluding London) from the 'Houses Privately Owned' dataset, which are considered to give a reasonable indication of the likely vehicles trips that would be generated by the sites, with further detailed assessment required in due course.
3.3.2 The TRICS output data is included in Appendix C, with the network peak hour trip rates shown in the following table:

|  | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour | Weekend Peak <br> Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IN | 0.151 | 0.353 | 0.226 |
| OUT | 0.399 | 0.203 | 0.206 |

3.3.3 To determine the potential traffic distribution from the sites, a simple gravity model has been produced using method of travel to work data from the 2011 census for the Kirklees 51 Middle Output Layer, with a summary of the trip distribution and route allocation included in Appendix D. Based on this assessment, site traffic has been distributed as follows:

| Penistone Road <br> North | Penistone Road <br> South | Rowley Lane <br> East | Woodsome Road <br> West |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $58.6 \%$ | $29.5 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ |

3.3.4 Based on the information shown on the illustrative masterplan drawings, the residential sites could accommodate the following units:

| Site No. | Max. <br> Units |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 81 |
| 3 | 63 |
| 5 | 11 |
| 6 | 45 |
| 16 A | 285 |
| 17 | 405 |

3.3.5 Based on the aforementioned dwelling numbers, the trip rates identified in paragraph 3.3.2 and the traffic distribution identified in paragraph 3.3.3, the peak hour trip distribution for each site (excluding site 5 due to its scale) have been calculated and are shown on Figures 4-9 in Appendix A. As mentioned in paragraph 2.3.3, accurate traffic generations cannot at this stage be determined for site 4, due to the range of potential uses for this site. Therefore, the assessment includes an assumed traffic generation for site 4 of 100 two-way vehicle movements for weekday AM and PM peak hour periods and 200 two-way vehicle movements for weekend peak hour period (split evenly inbound/outbound).

### 3.4 Traffic modelling

3.4.1 The proposed roundabout that could serve sites 2 and 4 has been assessed using ARCADY modelling software, with output data included in Appendix E. As can be seen from the modelling results, the roundabout would be able to operate within capacity (RFC of below 1.000) utilising the ODTab flow profile in 2025. Therefore, it is considered that a roundabout junction of this scale would be appropriate to serve these sites.
3.4.2 The proposed roundabout that could serve sites 3, 6 and 16A and replace the existing Rowley Lane and Woodsome Road junctions has been assessed using ARCADY modelling software, with output data included in Appendix F. As can be seen from the modelling results, the roundabout would be able to operate within capacity (RFC of below 1.000) utilising the ODTab flow profile in 2025. Therefore, it is considered that a roundabout junction of this scale would be appropriate to serve these sites and would address the existing capacity issues at the Rowley Lane and Woodsome Road junctions.
3.4.3 The proposed priority junction that could serve sites 17 has been assessed using PICADY modelling software, with output data included in Appendix G. The junction has been assessed based on traffic generation for a 300 dwelling development, as this is the maximum that would be served from a single access point. As can be seen from the modelling results, the junction would be able to operate within capacity (RFC of below 1.000) utilising the ODTab flow profile in 2025. Therefore, it is considered that a priority junction would be appropriate to serve this site.
3.4.4 It is concluded that the access arrangements proposed are appropriate to serve the various development sites, would be able to adequately accommodate development traffic and background traffic growth; and would help address existing capacity issues at the Rowley Lane and Woodsome Road junctions on to Penistone Road.
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## APPENDIX C <br> TRICS Output Data

| TRICS 7.2.4 171215 B17.29 | (C) 2015 TRICS Consortium Ltd | Tuesday 05/01/ 16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## TRIP RATE CALCULATI ON SELECTI ON PARAMETERS:

```
Land Use : 03-RESIDENTIAL
Category : A - HOUSES PRIVATELY OWNED
VEHI CLES
```

Selected regions and areas:
02 SOUTH EAST
ES EAST SUSSEX 1 days
EX ESSEX 1 days
HC HAMPSHIRE 1 days
SC SURREY 1 days
WS WEST SUSSEX 1 days
03 SOUTH WEST
CW CORNWALL 1 days
DC DORSET 1 days
04 EAST ANGLIA
CA CAMBRIDGESHIRE 1 days
NF NORFOLK 2 days
SF SUFFOLK 3 days
05 EAST MI DLANDS
LN LINCOLNSHIRE 3 days
06 WEST MI DLANDS
SH SHROPSHIRE
4 days
ST STAFFORDSHIRE 1 days
WK WARWICKSHIRE 2 days
WM WEST MIDLANDS 1 days
07 YORKSHI RE \& NORTH LI NCOLNSHI RE
NE NORTH EAST LINCOLNSHIRE 1 days
NY NORTH YORKSHIRE 6 days
SY SOUTH YORKSHIRE 1 days
08 NORTH WEST
CH CHESHIRE 4 days
GM GREATER MANCHESTER 1 days
MS MERSEYSIDE 1 days
09 NORTH
CB CUMBRIA 2 days
TW TYNE \& WEAR 1 days

## Filtering Stage 2 selection:

| Parameter: | Number of dwellings |
| :--- | :--- |
| Actual Range: | 6 to 432 (units: ) |
| Range Selected by User: | 6 to 4334 (units: ) |
| Public Transport Provision: |  |
| Selection by: |  |

> Include all surveys

Date Range: $\quad 01 / 01 / 07$ to $12 / 11 / 15$
Selected survey days:

| Monday | 7 days |
| :--- | ---: |
| Tuesday | 13 days |
| Wednesday | 6 days |
| Thursday | 9 days |
| Friday | 6 days |

Selected survey types:
Manual count 41 days

Directional ATC Count 0 days
Selected Locations:
Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre) 21
Edge of Town 20
Selected Location Sub Categories:
Residential Zone 34
No Sub Category 7

## Filtering Stage $\mathbf{3}$ selection:

| Use Class: |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| C3 | 40 days |
| Population within 1 mile: |  |
| 1,001 to 5,000 | 6 days |
| 5,001 to 10,000 | 12 days |
| 10,001 to 15,000 | 6 days |
| 15,001 to 20,000 | 9 days |
| 20,001 to 25,000 | 5 days |
| 25,001 to 50,000 | 3 days |
|  |  |
| Population within 5 miles: |  |
| 5,001 to 25,000 | 3 days |
| 25,001 to 50,000 | 2 days |
| 50,001 to 75,000 | 10 days |
| 75,001 to 100,000 | 7 days |
| 100,001 to 125,000 | 7 days |
| 125,001 to 250,000 | 6 days |
| 250,001 to 500,000 | 1 days |
| 500,001 or More |  |
| Car ownership within 5 miles: | 13 days |
| 0.6 to 1.0 | 28 days |
| 1.1 to 1.5 |  |
|  |  |
| Travel Plan: | 2 days |
| Yes | 39 days |

TRIP RATE for Land Use 03 - RESIDENTIAL/A - HOUSES PRIVATELY OWNED

## VEHI CLES

Calculation factor: 1 DWELLS
BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period

|  | ARRIVALS |  |  | DEPARTURES |  |  | TOTALS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Range | No. Days | Ave. DWELLS | Trip Rate | No. Days | Ave. DWELLS | Trip Rate | No. Days | Ave. DWELLS | Trip Rate |
| 00:00-01:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00-02:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:00-03:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00-04:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00-05:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00-06:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00-07:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00-08:00 | 41 | 75 | 0.078 | 41 | 75 | 0.277 | 41 | 75 | 0.355 |
| 08:00-09:00 | 41 | 75 | 0.151 | 41 | 75 | 0.399 | 41 | 75 | 0.550 |
| 09:00-10:00 | 41 | 75 | 0.150 | 41 | 75 | 0.187 | 41 | 75 | 0.337 |
| 10:00-11:00 | 41 | 75 | 0.141 | 41 | 75 | 0.172 | 41 | 75 | 0.313 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 41 | 75 | 0.169 | 41 | 75 | 0.160 | 41 | 75 | 0.329 |
| 12:00-13:00 | 41 | 75 | 0.181 | 41 | 75 | 0.164 | 41 | 75 | 0.345 |
| 13:00-14:00 | 41 | 75 | 0.163 | 41 | 75 | 0.156 | 41 | 75 | 0.319 |
| 14:00-15:00 | 41 | 75 | 0.175 | 41 | 75 | 0.188 | 41 | 75 | 0.363 |
| 15:00-16:00 | 41 | 75 | 0.284 | 41 | 75 | 0.207 | 41 | 75 | 0.491 |
| 16:00-17:00 | 41 | 75 | 0.297 | 41 | 75 | 0.180 | 41 | 75 | 0.477 |
| 17:00-18:00 | 41 | 75 | 0.353 | 41 | 75 | 0.203 | 41 | 75 | 0.556 |
| 18:00-19:00 | 41 | 75 | 0.257 | 41 | 75 | 0.188 | 41 | 75 | 0.445 |
| 19:00-20:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:00-21:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:00-22:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:00-23:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23:00-24:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Rates: |  |  | 2.399 |  |  | 2.481 |  |  | 4.880 |

## Parameter summary

| Trip rate parameter range selected: | $6-432$ (units: ) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Survey date date range: | $01 / 01 / 07-12 / 11 / 15$ |
| Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday): | 41 |
| Number of Saturdays: | 0 |
| Number of Sundays: | 0 |
| Surveys manually removed from selection: | 2 |

## TRI P RATE CALCULATI ON SELECTI ON PARAMETERS:

```
Land Use : 03-RESIDENTIAL
Category : A -HOUSES PRIVATELY OWNED
VEHI CLES
```

Selected regions and areas:
02 SOUTH EAST
HF HERTFORDSHIRE 1 days
04 EAST ANGLIA
CA CAMBRIDGESHIRE 1 days
05 EAST MI DLANDS
NR NORTHAMPTONSHIRE 1 days
06 WEST MI DLANDS
SH SHROPSHIRE 1 days
07 YORKSHI RE \& NORTH LI NCOLNSHI RE
NY NORTH YORKSHIRE
08 NORTH WEST
CH CHESHIRE
MS MERSEYSIDE 1 days

## Filtering Stage 2 selection:

| Parameter: | Number of dwellings |
| :--- | :--- |
| Actual Range: | 22 to 195 (units: ) |
| Range Selected by User: | 6 to 4334 (units: ) |

Public Transport Provision:
Selection by: Include all surveys
Date Range: $\quad 01 / 01 / 07$ to $12 / 11 / 15$

| Selected survey days: | 1 days |
| :--- | :--- |
| Saturday | 8 days |


| Selected survey types: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Manual count | 9 days |
| Directional ATC Count | 0 days |

Selected Locations:
Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)
5
Edge of Town 4
Selected Location Sub Categories:
Residential Zone
9

## Filtering Stage $\mathbf{3}$ selection:

Use Class:

Population within 1 mile:

| 1,001 to 5,000 | 1 days |
| :--- | :--- |
| 5,001 to 10,000 | 1 days |
| 10,001 to 15,000 | 3 days |
| 15,001 to 2,000 | 1 days |
| 20,001 to 25,000 | 2 days |
| 25,001 to 50,000 | 1 days |


| TRICS 7.2.4 171215 B17.29 | (C) 2015 TRICS Consortium Ltd | Tuesday 05/ 01/ 16 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Page $\mathbf{2}$ |  |  |

Sanderson Associates (CE) Ltd Jubilee Way, Grange Moor Huddersfield Licence No: 311901

## Filtering Stage 3 selection (Cont.):

$\frac{\text { Population within } 5 \text { miles: }}{5,001 \text { to } 25,000} \quad 2$ days

| 5,001 to 25,000 | 2 days |
| :--- | :--- |
| 100,001 to 125,000 | 3 days |

125,001 to 250,000 3 days

500,001 or More
1 days
Car ownership within 5 miles:

| 0.6 to 1.0 | 2 days |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1.1 to 1.5 | 5 days |
| 1.6 to 2.0 | 2 days |

Travel Plan:
No
9 days

TRIP RATE for Land Use 03 - RESIDENTIAL/A - HOUSES PRIVATELY OWNED

## VEHI CLES

Calculation factor: 1 DWELLS
BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period

|  | ARRIVALS |  |  | DEPARTURES |  |  | TOTALS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Range | No. Days | Ave. DWELLS | Trip Rate | No. Days | Ave. DWELLS | Trip Rate | No. Days | Ave. DWELLS | Trip Rate |
| 00:00-01:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00-02:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:00-03:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00-04:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00-05:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00-06:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00-07:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00-08:00 | 9 | 63 | 0.032 | 9 | 63 | 0.043 | 9 | 63 | 0.075 |
| 08:00-09:00 | 9 | 63 | 0.050 | 9 | 63 | 0.080 | 9 | 63 | 0.130 |
| 09:00-10:00 | 9 | 63 | 0.075 | 9 | 63 | 0.179 | 9 | 63 | 0.254 |
| 10:00-11:00 | 9 | 63 | 0.155 | 9 | 63 | 0.211 | 9 | 63 | 0.366 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 9 | 63 | 0.165 | 9 | 63 | 0.263 | 9 | 63 | 0.428 |
| 12:00-13:00 | 9 | 63 | 0.226 | 9 | 63 | 0.206 | 9 | 63 | 0.432 |
| 13:00-14:00 | 9 | 63 | 0.201 | 9 | 63 | 0.155 | 9 | 63 | 0.356 |
| 14:00-15:00 | 9 | 63 | 0.202 | 9 | 63 | 0.188 | 9 | 63 | 0.390 |
| 15:00-16:00 | 9 | 63 | 0.204 | 9 | 63 | 0.160 | 9 | 63 | 0.364 |
| 16:00-17:00 | 9 | 63 | 0.190 | 9 | 63 | 0.124 | 9 | 63 | 0.314 |
| 17:00-18:00 | 9 | 63 | 0.181 | 9 | 63 | 0.147 | 9 | 63 | 0.328 |
| 18:00-19:00 | 9 | 63 | 0.153 | 9 | 63 | 0.117 | 9 | 63 | 0.270 |
| 19:00-20:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:00-21:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:00-22:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:00-23:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23:00-24:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Rates: |  |  | 1.834 |  |  | 1.873 |  |  | 3.707 |

## Parameter summary

| Trip rate parameter range selected: | $22-195$ (units: ) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Survey date date range: | $01 / 01 / 07-12 / 11 / 15$ |
| Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday): | 0 |
| Number of Saturdays: | 1 |
| Number of Sundays: | 8 |
| Surveys manually removed from selection: | 0 |
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APPENDIX D

| Employment Distribution Gravity Model -Sheet 1 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | Penistone Road North | Penistone Road South | Rowley Lane East | Woodsome Road West |
| Barnsley |  | 100\% |  |  |
| Bradford | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Calderdale | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Doncaster |  | 100\% |  |  |
| Kirklees 001 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 002 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 003 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 004 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 005 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 006 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 007 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 008 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 009 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 010 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 011 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 012 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 013 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 014 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 015 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 016 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 017 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 018 |  |  | 100\% |  |
| Kirklees 019 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 020 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 021 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 022 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 023 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 024 |  |  | 100\% |  |
| Kirklees 025 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 026 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 027 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 028 |  |  | 100\% |  |
| Kirklees 029 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 030 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 031 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 032 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 033 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 034 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 035 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 036 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 037 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 038 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 039 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 040 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 041 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 042 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 043 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 044 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 045 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 046 |  |  | 100\% |  |
| Kirklees 047 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 048 | 50\% |  |  | 50\% |
| Kirklees 049 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 050 | 100\% |  |  | 100\% |
| Kirklees 051 |  | 100\% |  |  |
| Kirklees 052 | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Kirklees 053 |  |  |  | 100\% |
| Kirklees 054 |  | 100\% |  |  |
| Kirklees 055 |  |  |  | 100\% |
| Kirklees 056 |  | 100\% |  |  |
| Kirklees 057 |  | 100\% |  |  |
| Kirklees 058 |  |  |  | 100\% |
| Kirklees 059 |  |  |  | 100\% |
| Leeds | 50\% | 50\% |  |  |
| Manchester | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Oldham | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Rochdale | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Rotherham |  | 100\% |  |  |
| Selby | 50\% | 50\% |  |  |
| Sheffield |  | 100\% |  |  |
| Tameside | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Trafford | 100\% |  |  |  |
| Wakefield |  | 50\% | 50\% |  |
| Other | 50\% | 50\% |  |  |


| Employment Distribution Gravity Model -Sheet 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | No. | Penistone Road North | Penistone Road South | Rowley Lane East | Woodsome Road West |
| Barnsley | 64 | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Bradford | 73 | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Calderdale | 128 | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Doncaster | 13 | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 001 | 1 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 002 | 3 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 003 | 5 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 004 | 1 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 005 | 5 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 006 | 2 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 007 | 1 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 008 | 2 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 009 | 2 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 010 | 8 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 011 | 5 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 012 | 1 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 013 | 7 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 014 | 2 | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 015 | 11 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 016 | 11 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 017 | 15 | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 018 | 1 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 019 | 5 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 020 | 1 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 021 | 7 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 022 | 18 | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 023 | 8 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 024 | 10 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 025 | 36 | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 026 | 25 | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 027 | 5 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 028 | 4 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 029 | 205 | 9.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 030 | 9 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 031 | 19 | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 032 | 25 | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 033 | 54 | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 034 | 34 | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 035 | 10 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 036 | 4 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 037 | 20 | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 038 | 9 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 039 | 22 | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 040 | 19 | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 041 | 7 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 042 | 110 | 5.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 043 | 21 | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 044 | 24 | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 045 | 22 | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 046 | 24 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 047 | 7 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 048 | 22 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% |
| Kirklees 049 | 17 | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 050 | 14 | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% |
| Kirklees 051 | 116 | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 052 | 6 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 053 | 37 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% |
| Kirklees 054 | 51 | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 055 | 13 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% |
| Kirklees 056 | 56 | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 057 | 55 | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Kirklees 058 | 17 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% |
| Kirklees 059 | 55 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% |
| Leeds | 187 | 4.4\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Manchester | 8 | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Oldham | 11 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Rochdale | 7 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Rotherham | 14 | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Selby | 15 | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Sheffield | 30 | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Tameside | 6 | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Trafford | 5 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Wakefield | 157 | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 3.7\% | 0.0\% |
| Other | 94 | 2.2\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Total | 2,118 | 58.6\% | 29.5\% | 5.5\% | 6.9\% |


|  |  | Site 2 (81 units) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Penistone Road <br> North | Penistone Road <br> South | Rowley Lane <br> East | Woodsome Road <br> West | Total |  |
| AM PEAK | IN | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 12 |  |
|  | OUT | 18 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 32 |  |
| PM PEAK | IN | 17 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 29 |  |
|  | OUT | 9 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 16 |  |
| WEEKEND <br> PEAK | IN | 11 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 18 |  |
|  | OUT | 10 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 17 |  |


|  |  | Site 3 (63 units) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Penistone Road <br> North | Penistone Road <br> South | Rowley Lane <br> East | Woodsome Road <br> West | Total |
| AM PEAK | IN | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 10 |
|  | OUT | 15 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 25 |
| PM PEAK | IN | 13 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 22 |
|  | OUT | 7 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 13 |
| WEEKEND <br> PEAK | IN | 8 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 14 |
|  | OUT | 7 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 13 |


|  |  | Site 4 (Hub Uses) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Penistone Road <br> North | Penistone Road <br> South | Rowley Lane <br> East | Woodsome Road <br> West | Total |  |
| AM PEAK | IN | 29 | 15 | 3 | 3 | 50 |  |
|  | OUT | 29 | 15 | 3 | 3 | 50 |  |
| PM PEAK | IN | 29 | 15 | 3 | 3 | 50 |  |
|  | OUT | 29 | 15 | 3 | 3 | 50 |  |
| WEEKEND <br> PEAK | IN | 59 | 29 | 5 | 7 | 100 |  |
|  | OUT | 59 | 29 | 5 | 7 | 100 |  |


|  |  | Site 6 (45 units) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Penistone Road <br> North | Penistone Road <br> South | Rowley Lane <br> East | Woodsome Road <br> West | Total |
| AM PEAK | IN | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
|  | OUT | 11 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 18 |
| PM PEAK | IN | 9 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 16 |
|  | OUT | 5 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| WEEKEND <br> PEAK | IN | 6 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 10 |
|  | OUT | 5 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 9 |


|  |  | Site 16A (285 units) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Penistone Road <br> North | Penistone Road <br> South | Rowley Lane <br> East | Woodsome Road <br> West | Total |  |
| AM PEAK | IN | 25 | 13 | 2 | 3 | 43 |  |
|  | OUT | 67 | 33 | 6 | 8 | 114 |  |
| PM PEAK | IN | 59 | 30 | 5 | 7 | 101 |  |
|  | OUT | 34 | 17 | 3 | 4 | 58 |  |
| WEEKEND | IN | 38 | 19 | 3 | 4 | 64 |  |
|  | OUT | 35 | 17 | 3 | 4 | 59 |  |


|  |  | Site 17 (405 units) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Penistone Road <br> North | Penistone Road <br> South | Rowley Lane <br> East | Woodsome Road <br> West | Total |
| AM PEAK | IN | 36 | 18 | 3 | 4 | 61 |
|  | OUT | 95 | 47 | 9 | 11 | 162 |
| PM PEAK | IN | 83 | 42 | 8 | 10 | 143 |
|  | OUT | 48 | 24 | 4 | 6 | 82 |
| WEEKEND <br> PEAK | IN | 54 | 27 | 5 | 6 | 92 |
|  | OUT | 49 | 24 | 4 | 6 | 83 |

sanderson

## APPENDIX E

## A R C A D Y 6

$\qquad$
ASSESSMENT OF ROUNDABOUT CAPACITY AND DELAY

Analysis Program: Release 7.0 (FEBRUARY 2010)
(c) Copyright TRL Limited, 2010

Adapted from ARCADY/3 which is Crown Copyright by permission of the controller of HMSO

For sales and distribution information,
program advice and maintenance, contact

| TRL Limited | Tel: | $+44(0) 1344770758$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Crowthorne House | Fax: | $+44(0) 1344770356$ |
| Nine Mile Ride | Email: software@trl.co.uk |  |
| Wokingham, Berks. | Web: | www.trlsoftware.co.uk |

Web: www.trlsoftware.co.uk
RG40 3GA, UK (drive-on-the-left ) at 10:55:47 on Monday, 11 January 2016

## FITE PROPERTIES

## ***********

```
    RUN TITLE: Farnley Estates Masterplan Proposals
    LOCATION: Penistone Road
        DATE: 05/01/16
        CLIENT: Farnley Estates
ENUMERATOR: adam.darwin [PC115]
JOB NUMBER: 9058
    STATUS: Preliminary
DESCRIPTION
```

INPUT DATA
*********
ARM A - Penistone Road (s)
ARM B - Site 4 Access
ARM C - Penistone Road (n)
ARM D - Site 2 Access
GEOMETRIC DATA


```
V = approach half-width
L = effective flare length
D = inscribed circle diameter
E = entry width llo L = effective flare length rentry radius 
```

TRAFFIC DEMAND DATA
----------------------- T13
IARM I FLOW SCALE (\%) I
I A I 100

| I | B | I | 100 | I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | C | I | 100 | I |


| I D I 100 | $I$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| I | ARM |  | I | NUM | MBER OF | MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  |  | I | RATE | OF | FLOW |  | VEH/MIN) I |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  | I | FLOW | StARTS | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLOW | W STOPS | I | BEFORE | I |  | TOP | I | AFter | I |
| I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
| I |  |  | I |  | RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FALI | LING | I | PEAK | I | OF | PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I | ARM | A |  |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 10.34 | 1 |  | 5.51 | I | 10.34 | I |
| I | ARM | B |  |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.00 | I |  | 0.00 | I | 0.00 | I |
| I | ARM | C |  |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 10.44 | I |  | 5.66 | I | 10.44 | I |
| I | ARM | D | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.00 | I |  | 0.00 | I | 0.00 | I |

DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 2

| I | ARM |  | I | NUMBER OF |  | MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  | I | RATE |  | OF FLOW |  | (VEH/MIN) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  | I | FLOW | STARTS | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLOW STOPS | I | BEFORE | I | AT | TOP | I | AFTER | I |
| I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
| I |  |  | I | TO | RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FALLING | I | PEAK | I | OF | PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I | ARM | A | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.06 | I |  | 0.09 | I | 0.06 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.00 | I |  | 0.00 | 1 | 0.00 |  |
| I | ARM | C | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.09 | 1 |  | 0.13 | I | 0.09 |  |
| I | ARM | D | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.40 | I |  | 0.60 | I | 0.40 |  |

DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 3

| I | ARM |  | NUMBER OF MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I | RATE | OF | (VEH/MIN) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  | I | FLOW | StAR | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLOW STOPS | I | BEFORE | I | AT | TOP | I | AFTER | I |
| I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
| I |  |  | I | TO | RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FALLING | I | PEAK | I |  | PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I | ARM | A | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.19 | I |  | 0.28 | I | 0.19 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.00 | I |  | 0.00 | I | 0.00 | I |
| I | ARM | C | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.06 | I |  | 0.09 | I | 0.06 | I |
| I | ARM | D | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.00 | I |  | 0.00 | I | 0.00 |  |


| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { I } & \\ \text { I } & \text { ARM } \\ \text { I } & \\ \text { I } & \end{array}$ |  |  | I | NUMBER O |  | MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  |  |  | I | RATE |  |  | OF FLOW AT TOP |  | VEH/MIN) I |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | FLOW | STAR | I |  | OP | OF PEAK | I | FLOW | W STOPS | I |  | BEFORE | I |  |  | I | AFTER | I |
|  |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
|  |  |  | I |  | RISE | I |  | IS | REACHED | I | FALL | LING | I |  | PEAK | I | OF | PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I | ARM | A | I |  | 15.00 | I |  |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 0.26 | I |  | 0.39 | I | 0.26 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I |  | 15.00 | I |  |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 0.63 | I |  | 0.94 | I | 0.63 | I |
| I | ARM | C | I |  | 15.00 | I |  |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 0.36 | I |  | 0.54 | I | 0.36 | I |
| I | ARM | D | I |  | 15.00 | I |  |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 0.00 | I |  | 0.00 | I | 0.00 | I |


| I |  |  | I | NUMBER OF | MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  | I | RATE | OF | FI | LOW (V | (VEH/MIN) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | ARM |  | I | FLOW STARTS | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLOW STOPS | I | BEFORE | I |  | TOP | I | AFter | I |
| I |  |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
| I |  |  | I | TO RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FALLING | I | PEAK | I | OF | PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I | ARM | A |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.14 | I |  | 0.21 | I | 0.14 | I |
| I | ARM |  |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.00 | I |  | 0.00 | I | 0.00 | I |
| I | ARM | C |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.05 | I |  | 0.08 | I | 0.05 | I |
|  | ARM | D | I | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.00 | I |  | 0.00 | I | 0.00 |  |

DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 16A


DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 17


DEMAND SET TITLE: 2025 Base


DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 2

| I |  | I |  | TURNING PROPORTIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  | I |  | TURNING COUNTS |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | (PE | RCENTAGE | E | OF H.V.S) |  |  | I |
| I | TIME | I FROM/T |  |  | I | ARM A I |  | ARM B I | ARM C I | ARM D | I |
| I | 07.15-08.45 | I |  |  | I |  | I | 1 | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | A | I | 0.000 I | I | 0.000 I | 0.000 I | 1.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I | 0.0 I | 0.0 I | 5.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | B | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 I | 0.000 I | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I | 0.0 I | 0.0 I | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | C | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 I | 0.000 I | 1.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I | 0.0 I | 0.0 I | 7.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | I | I | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | D | I | 0.438 I | I | 0.000 I | 0.563 I | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 14.0 I | I | 0.0 I | 18.0 I | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |  | I |

DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 3

| I |  | I |  | TURNING PROPORTIONS TURNING COUNTS <br> (PERCENTAGE OF H.V.S) |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | TIME | I | FROM |  | I | ARM A I | I | ARM B I | ARM C I | ARM D | I |
| I | 07.15-08.45 | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | A | I | 0.000 I | I | 0.000 I | 1.000 I | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I | 0.0 I | 15.0 I | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | B | I | 0.000 I | I | 0.000 I | 0.000 I | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I | 0.0 I | 0.0 I | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | C | I | 1.000 I | I | 0.000 I | 0.000 I | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 5.0 I | I | 0.0 I | 0.0 I | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | I | I | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | D | I | 0.000 I | I | 0.000 I | 0.000 I | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I |  | 0.0 I | 0.0 I | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |  | I |

DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 4

| I |  | I |  | TURNING P |  |  | ROP | PORTION |  |  |  |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  | I |  | TURNING COUNTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | (PE | RCENTAGE | E | OF H.V. | . S |  |  |  | I |
| I | TIME | I FROM/T |  |  | I | ARM A I |  | ARM B I |  | ARM C I | ARM D I |  |  |
| I | 07.15-08.45 | I |  |  | I |  | I |  | I | I |  |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | A | I | 0.000 I | I | 1.000 | I | 0.000 |  | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I | 21.0 | I | 0.0 I |  | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0) | I | ( 0.0)I |  | 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | I | I |  | I | I |  |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | B | I | 0.420 I | I | 0.000 | I | 0.580 I |  | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 21.0 I | I | 0.0 | I | 29.0 I |  | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0) | I | ( 0.0) I |  | 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | I | I |  | I | I |  |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | C | I | 0.000 I | I | 1.000 | I | 0.000 I |  | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I | 29.0 | I | 0.0 I |  | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0) | I | ( 0.0)I |  | 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | I | I |  | I | I |  |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | D | I | 0.000 I | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 |  | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I |  | 0.0 | I | 0.0 I |  | 0.0 |  |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0) | I | ( 0.0)I |  | 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  | I | I |  |  | I |



DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 16A


DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 17





| TIME SEGMENT NO. OF |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENDING | VEHICLES |  |
|  | IN QUEUE |  |
| 07.30 | 1.3 | * |
| 07.45 | 2.1 | ** |
| 08.00 | 4.8 | $\star * * * *$ |
| 08.15 | 5.1 | $\star * * * *$ |
| 08.30 | 2.2 | ** |
| 08.45 | 1.4 | * |

QUEUE AT ARM B

| TIME SEGMENT | NO. OF |
| :---: | :--- |
| ENDING | VEHICLES |
|  | IN QUEUE |
| 07.30 |  |
| 07.45 | 0.1 |
| 08.00 | 0.1 |
| 08.15 | 0.1 |
| 08.30 | 0.1 |
| 08.45 |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

QUEUE AT ARM C

| TIME SEGMENT ENDING | NO. OF |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | VEHICLES |
|  | IN QUEUE |
| 07.30 | 0.8 |
| 07.45 | 1.1 |
| 08.00 | 1.7 |
| 08.15 | 1.7 |
| 08.30 | 1.1 |
| 08.45 | 0.8 |

## QUEUE AT ARM

| TIME SEGMENT | NO. OF |
| :---: | :--- |
| ENDING | VEHICLES |
|  | IN QUEUE |
| 07.30 |  |
| 07.45 | 0.0 |
| 08.00 | 0.0 |
| 08.15 | 0.1 |
| 08.30 | 0.1 |
| 08.45 | 0.0 |

QUEUEING DELAY INFORMATION OVER WHOLE PERIOD

| I | ARM | I | TOTAL DEMAND |  | I | * QUEUEING * |  |  | I | INCLUSIVE QUEUEING * <br> * DELAY * |  |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | * D | LA | A * | I |  |  |  | I |
| I |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  | I | (VEH) | (VEH/H) | I | (MIN) |  | (MIN/VEH) | I | (MIN) |  | (MIN/VEH) | I |
| I | A | I | 1432.9 | I 955.2 | I | 244.6 |  | 0.17 | I | 244.7 | I | 0.17 | I |
| I | B | I | 68.8 | I 45.9 | I | 6.7 |  | 0.10 | I | 6.7 | I | 0.10 | I |
| I | C | I | 1295.2 | I 863.5 | I | 105.1 |  | 0.08 | I | 105.2 | I | 0.08 | I |
| I | D | I | 44.0 | I 29.4 | I | 3.9 |  | 0.09 | I | 3.9 | I | 0.09 | I |
| I | ALL | I | 2840.9 I | I 1894.0 | I | 360.4 |  | 0.13 | I | 360.4 | I | 0.13 | I |

* DELAY IS THAT OCCURRING ONLY WITHIN THE TIME PERIOD.
* INCLUSIVE DELAY INCLUDES DELAY SUFFERED BY VEHICLES WHICH ARE STILL QUEUEING AFTER THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD * THESE WILL ONLY BE SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT IF THERE IS A LARGE QUEUE REMAINING AT THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD.

END OF JOE
$\qquad$
ASSESSMENT OF ROUNDABOUT CAPACITY AND DELAY

Analysis Program: Release 7.0 (FEBRUARY 2010)
(c) Copyright TRL Limited, 2010

Adapted from ARCADY/3 which is Crown Copyright by permission of the controller of HMSO

For sales and distribution information,
program advice and maintenance, contact

| TRL Limited | Tel: | $+44(0) 1344770758$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Crowthorne House | Fax: | +44 (0) 1344770356 |
| Nine Mile Ride | Email: software@trl.co.uk |  |
| Wokingham, Berks. | Web: | www.trlsoftware.co.uk |

Web: www.trlsoftware.co.uk
RG40 3GA, UK (drive-on-the-left ) at 10:56:43 on Monday, 11 January 2016

## FITE PROPERTIFS

## *************

```
    RUN TITLE: Farnley Estates Masterplan Proposals
    LOCATION: Penistone Road
        DATE: 05/01/16
        CLIENT: Farnley Estates
ENUMERATOR: adam.darwin [PC115]
JOB NUMBER: }905
    STATUS: Preliminary
DESCRIPTION
```

INPUT DATA
$\star * * * * * * * *$
ARM A - Penistone Road (s)
ARM B - Site 4 Access
ARM C - Penistone Road ( $n$ )
ARM D - Site 2 Access
GEOMETRIC DATA


```
V = approach half-width
L = effective flare length
D = inscribed circle diameter
E = entry width 
```

TRAFFIC DEMAND DATA
----------------------- T13
IARM I FLOW SCALE (\%) I
I A I 100

| I | B | I | 100 | I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | C | I | 100 | I |

I D I 100 I

TIME PERIOD BEGINS (17.00)AND ENDS (18.30)
LENGTH OF TIME PERIOD - ( 90) MINUTES
LENGTH OF TIME SEGMENT - (15) MINUTES

DEMAND FLOW PROFILES ARE SYNTHESISED FROM THE TURNING COUNT DATA

| I | ARM | I |  | NUMBER OF | MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  |  | I | RATE | OF FLOW |  | (VEH/MIN) |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  |  | FLOW STARTS | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLOW | W STOPS | I | BEFORE | I | AT TOP | I | AFTER | I |
| I |  |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  | I |  | I |
| I |  |  | I | TO RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FALI | LING | I | PEAK | I | OF PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I | ARM | A | I | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 10.38 | I | 15.56 | I | 10.38 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.00 | I | 0.00 | I | 0.00 | I |
| I | ARM | C | I | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 14.95 | I | 22.42 | I | 14.95 | I |
| I | ARM | D | I | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.00 | I | 0.00 | I | 0.00 | I |

## DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 2

| I | ARM |  | NUMBER OF MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I | RATE |  | FLOW (V | (VEH/MIN) |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  | I | FLOW | StARTS | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLOW STOPS | I | BEFORE | I | AT TOP | I | AFTER | I |
| I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  | I |  | I |  | I |
| I |  |  | I | TO | RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FALLING | I | PEAK | I | OF PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I | ARM | A | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.15 | I | 0.23 | I | 0.15 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.00 | I | 0.00 | I | 0.00 | I |
| I | ARM | C | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.21 | I | 0.32 | I | 0.21 | I |
| I | ARM | D | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.20 | I | 0.30 | I | 0.20 |  |

DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 3

| I | ARM |  | I |  | MMBER | MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  |  | I | RATE BEFORE | OF FLOW |  |  | VEH/MIN) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  | I | FLOW | STAR | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLO | W STOPS | I |  | I | AT | TOP | I | AFTER | I |
| I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
| I |  |  | I | TO | RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FAL | LING | I | PEAK | I | OF | PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I | ARM | A | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.09 | 1 |  | 0.13 | I | 0.09 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.00 | I |  | 0.00 | I | 0.00 | I |
| I | ARM | C | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.16 | I |  | 0.24 | I | 0.16 | I |
| I | ARM | D | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.00 | I |  | 0.00 | I | 0.00 | I |


| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { I } & \\ \text { I } & \text { ARM } \\ \text { I } & \\ \text { I } & \end{array}$ |  |  | I | NUMBER O |  | MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  |  |  | I | RATE |  |  | OF FLOW AT TOP |  | VEH/MIN) I |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | FLOW | STAR | I |  | OP | OF PEAK | I | FLOW | W STOPS | I |  | BEFORE | I |  |  | I | AFTER | I |
|  |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
|  |  |  | I |  | RISE | I |  | IS | REACHED | I | FALL | LING | I |  | PEAK | I | OF | PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I | ARM | A | I |  | 15.00 | I |  |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 0.26 | I |  | 0.39 | I | 0.26 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I |  | 15.00 | I |  |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 0.63 | I |  | 0.94 | I | 0.63 | I |
| I | ARM | C | I |  | 15.00 | I |  |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 0.36 | I |  | 0.54 | I | 0.36 | I |
| I | ARM | D | I |  | 15.00 | I |  |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 0.00 | I |  | 0.00 | I | 0.00 | I |

DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 6

| I | ARM |  | $\begin{aligned} & I \\ & I \end{aligned}$ | NUMBER O FLOW START |  | MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  |  | I | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RATE } \\ \text { BEFORE } \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OF FLOW } \\ & \text { I AT } \end{aligned}$ |  | VEH/MIN) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  |  |  |  | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLOW | W STOPS | I |  | I |  |  | I | AFTER | I |
| I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
| I |  |  | I |  | RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FALI | LING | I | PEAK | I | OF | PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I | ARM | A | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.06 | I |  | 0.09 | I | 0.06 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.00 | 1 |  | 0.00 | I | 0.00 | I |
| I | ARM | C | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.11 | I |  | 0.17 | I | 0.11 | I |
| I | ARM | D | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.00 | I |  | 0.00 | I | 0.00 |  |

DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 16A

| I | ARM |  | I | NUMBER OF MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  |  | I | RATE | OF | FI | LOW (V | (VEH/MIN) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  | I | FLOW STARTS | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLOW STOPS | I | BEFORE | I | AT | TOP | I | AFTER | I |
| I |  |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
| I |  |  | I | TO RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FALLING | I | PEAK | I | OF | PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I | ARM | A | I | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.43 | I |  | 0.64 | I | 0.43 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.00 | I |  | 0.00 | I | 0.00 | I |
| I | ARM | C | I | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.74 | I |  | 1.11 | I | 0.74 | I |
| I | ARM | D | I | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.00 | I |  | 0.00 | I | 0.00 |  |

DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 17


```
DEMAND SET TITLE: 2025 Base
```



DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 2

| I |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  |  |  | TURNING COUNTS |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  | I |  |  |  | ercentage | GE | OF H.V.S) |  |  | I |
| I | TIME | I FROM/T |  |  | I | ARM A I | I | ARM B I | ARM C I | ARM D | I |
| I | 17.00-18.30 | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | A | I | 0.000 I | I | 0.000 I | 0.000 I | 1.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I | 0.0 I | 0.0 I | 12.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | I | I | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | B | I | 0.000 I | I | 0.000 I | 0.000 I | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I | 0.0 I | 0.0 I | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | I | I | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | C | I | 0.000 I | I | 0.000 I | 0.000 I | 1.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I | 0.0 I | 0.0 I | 17.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | D | I | 0.438 I | I | 0.000 I | 0.563 I | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 7.0 I | I | 0.0 I | 9.0 I | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |  | I |

DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 3


DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 4



DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 16A

| I |  | I |  | TURNING PROPORTIONS TURNING COUNTS <br> (PERCENTAGE OF H.V.S) |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | TIME | I | FROM/ |  | I | ARM A I | I | ARM B I | ARM C I | ARM D | I |
| I | 17.00-18.30 | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | A | I | 0.000 I | I | 0.000 I | 1.000 I | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I | 0.0 I | 34.0 I | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | B | I | 0.000 I | I | 0.000 I | 0.000 I | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I | 0.0 I | 0.0 I | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | C | I | 1.000 I | I | 0.000 I | 0.000 I | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 59.0 I | I | 0.0 I | 0.0 I | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | I | I | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | D | I | 0.000 I | I | 0.000 I | 0.000 I | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I |  | 0.0 I | 0.0 I | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |  | I |

DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 17


| I I I | TIME | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEMAND } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DEMAND/ } \\ & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (RFC) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PEDESTRIAN } \\ & \text { FLOW } \\ & \text { (PEDS/MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { START } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) | I I I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 17.00 | . 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | ARM A | 12.01 | 22.91 | 0.524 | - | - - | 0.0 | 1.1 | 15.7 | - | 0.091 | I |
| I | ARM B | 0.63 | 13.63 | 0.046 | - - | - - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | - | 0.077 | I |
| I | ARM C | 17.64 | 27.27 | 0.647 | - | - - | 0.0 | 1.8 | 25.5 | - | 0.101 | I |
| I | ARM D | 0.20 | 10.38 | 0.019 | - - | - - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | - | 0.098 | I |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |





| TIME SEGMENT | NO. OF |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| ENDING | VEHICLES |  |
|  | IN QUEUE |  |
|  |  |  |
| 17.15 | 1.1 | $\star$ |
| 17.30 | 1.7 | $\star \star$ |
| 17.45 | 3.2 | $\star \star \star$ |
| 18.00 | 3.3 | $\star \star \star$ |
| 18.15 |  | 1.7 |
| 18.30 | $\star *$ |  |
|  |  | 1.1 |

QUEUE AT ARM B

| TIME SEGMENT | NO. OF |
| :---: | :--- |
| ENDING | VEHICLES |
|  | IN QUEUE |
| 17.15 |  |
| 17.30 | 0.0 |
| 17.45 | 0.1 |
| 18.00 | 0.1 |
| 18.15 | 0.1 |
| 18.30 |  |
|  |  |

QUEUE AT ARM C

| TIME SEGMENT | NO. OF |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| ENDING | VEHICLES |  |
|  | IN QUEUE |  |
|  |  |  |
| 17.15 | 1.8 | $* *$ |
| 17.30 | 3.3 | $* * *$ |
| 17.45 | 11.9 | $* * * * * * * * * * * *$ |
| 18.00 | 14.0 | $* * * * * * * * * * * *$ |
| 18.15 | 3.6 | $\star * * *$ |
| 18.30 | 1.9 | $* *$ |

## QUEUE AT ARM D

| TIME SEGMENT | NO. OF |
| :---: | :--- |
| ENDING | VEHICLES |
|  | IN QUEUE |
| 17.15 |  |
| 17.30 | 0.0 |
| 17.45 | 0.0 |
| 18.00 | 0.0 |
| 18.15 | 0.1 |
| 18.30 | 0.0 |
|  | 0.0 |

QUEUEING DELAY INFORMATION OVER WHOLE PERIOD

| I | ARM | I | TOTAL | DEMAND | $\begin{aligned} & I \\ & I \end{aligned}$ | * QUEUEING * |  |  | I | INCLUSIVE QUEUEING * <br> * DELAY * |  |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  | I |  |  |  | * D | ELA | AY * |  |  |  |  |  |
| I |  | I- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  | I | (VEH) | (VEH/H) | I | (MIN) |  | (MIN/VEH) | I | (MIN) |  | (MIN/VEH) | I |
| I | A | I | 1317.2 I | I 878.2 | I | 176.7 |  | 0.13 | I | 176.7 | I | 0.13 | I |
| I | B | I | 68.8 I | I 45.9 | I | 6.2 |  | 0.09 | I | 6.2 | I | 0.09 | I |
| I | C | I | 1935.3 I | I 1290.2 | I | 503.1 |  | 0.26 | I | 503.2 | I | 0.26 | I |
| I | D | I | 22.0 I | I 14.7 | I | 3.0 |  | 0.14 | I | 3.0 | I | 0.14 | I |
| I | ALL | I | 3343.3 I | I 2228.9 | I | 689.0 |  | 0.21 | I | 689.1 | I | 0.21 | I |

* DELAY IS THAT OCCURRING ONLY WITHIN THE TIME PERIOD.
* INCLUSIVE DELAY INCLUDES DELAY SUFFERED BY VEHICLES WHICH ARE STILL QUEUEING AFTER THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD * THESE WILL ONLY BE SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT IF THERE IS A LARGE QUEUE REMAINING AT THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD.

END OF JOE
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## FITE PROPERTIES

## ***********

```
    RUN TITLE: Farnley Estates Masterplan Proposals
    LOCATION: Penistone Road
        DATE: 05/01/16
        CLIENT: Farnley Estates
ENUMERATOR: adam.darwin [PC115]
JOB NUMBER: 9058
    STATUS: Preliminary
DESCRIPTION
```

INPUT DATA
*********
ARM A - Penistone Road (s)
ARM B - Site 4 Access
ARM C - Penistone Road (n)
ARM D - Site 2 Access
GEOMETRIC DATA


```
V = approach half-width
L = effective flare length
D = inscribed circle diameter
E = entry width llo L = effective flare length rentry radius 
```

TRAFFIC DEMAND DATA
----------------------- T13
IARM I FLOW SCALE (\%) I
I A I 100

| I | B | I | 100 | I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | C | I | 100 | I |


| I D I 100 | $I$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| I | ARM |  | I | NUM | MBER OF | MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  |  | I | RATE | OF | FLOW |  | VEH/MIN) I |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  | I | FLOW | StARTS | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLOW | W STOPS | I | BEFORE | I |  | TOP | I | AFter | I |
| I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
| I |  |  | I |  | RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FALI | LING | I | PEAK | I | OF | PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I | ARM | A |  |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 10.34 | 1 |  | 5.51 | I | 10.34 | I |
| I | ARM | B |  |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.00 | I |  | 0.00 | I | 0.00 | I |
| I | ARM | C |  |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 10.44 | I |  | 5.66 | I | 10.44 | I |
| I | ARM | D | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.00 | I |  | 0.00 | I | 0.00 | I |

DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 2

| I | ARM |  | I | NUMBER OF |  | MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  | I | RATE |  | OF FLOW |  | (VEH/MIN) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  | I | FLOW | STARTS | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLOW STOPS | I | BEFORE | I | AT | TOP | I | AFTER | I |
| I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
| I |  |  | I | TO | RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FALLING | I | PEAK | I | OF | PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I | ARM | A | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.06 | I |  | 0.09 | I | 0.06 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.00 | I |  | 0.00 | 1 | 0.00 |  |
| I | ARM | C | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.09 | 1 |  | 0.13 | I | 0.09 |  |
| I | ARM | D | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.40 | I |  | 0.60 | I | 0.40 |  |

DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 3

| I | ARM |  | NUMBER OF MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I | RATE | OF | (VEH/MIN) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  | I | FLOW | StAR | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLOW STOPS | I | BEFORE | I | AT | TOP | I | AFTER | I |
| I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
| I |  |  | I | TO | RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FALLING | I | PEAK | I |  | PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I | ARM | A | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.19 | I |  | 0.28 | I | 0.19 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.00 | I |  | 0.00 | I | 0.00 | I |
| I | ARM | C | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.06 | I |  | 0.09 | I | 0.06 | I |
| I | ARM | D | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.00 | I |  | 0.00 | I | 0.00 |  |


| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { I } & \\ \text { I } & \text { ARM } \\ \text { I } & \\ \text { I } & \end{array}$ |  |  | I | NUMBER O |  | MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  |  |  | I | RATE |  |  | OF FLOW AT TOP |  | VEH/MIN) I |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | FLOW | STAR | I |  | OP | OF PEAK | I | FLOW | W STOPS | I |  | BEFORE | I |  |  | I | AFTER | I |
|  |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
|  |  |  | I |  | RISE | I |  | IS | REACHED | I | FALL | LING | I |  | PEAK | I | OF | PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I | ARM | A | I |  | 15.00 | I |  |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 0.26 | I |  | 0.39 | I | 0.26 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I |  | 15.00 | I |  |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 0.63 | I |  | 0.94 | I | 0.63 | I |
| I | ARM | C | I |  | 15.00 | I |  |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 0.36 | I |  | 0.54 | I | 0.36 | I |
| I | ARM | D | I |  | 15.00 | I |  |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 0.00 | I |  | 0.00 | I | 0.00 | I |


| I |  |  | I | NUMBER OF | MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  | I | RATE | OF | FI | LOW (V | (VEH/MIN) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | ARM |  | I | FLOW STARTS | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLOW STOPS | I | BEFORE | I |  | TOP | I | AFter | I |
| I |  |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
| I |  |  | I | TO RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FALLING | I | PEAK | I | OF | PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I | ARM | A |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.14 | I |  | 0.21 | I | 0.14 | I |
| I | ARM |  |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.00 | I |  | 0.00 | I | 0.00 | I |
| I | ARM | C |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.05 | I |  | 0.08 | I | 0.05 | I |
|  | ARM | D | I | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.00 | I |  | 0.00 | I | 0.00 |  |

DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 16A


DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 17


DEMAND SET TITLE: 2025 Base


DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 2

| I |  | I |  | TURNING PROPORTIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  | I |  | TURNING COUNTS |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | (PE | RCENTAGE | E | OF H.V.S) |  |  | I |
| I | TIME | I FROM/T |  |  | I | ARM A I |  | ARM B I | ARM C I | ARM D | I |
| I | 07.15-08.45 | I |  |  | I |  | I | 1 | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | A | I | 0.000 I | I | 0.000 I | 0.000 I | 1.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I | 0.0 I | 0.0 I | 5.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | B | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 I | 0.000 I | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I | 0.0 I | 0.0 I | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | C | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 I | 0.000 I | 1.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I | 0.0 I | 0.0 I | 7.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | I | I | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | D | I | 0.438 I | I | 0.000 I | 0.563 I | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 14.0 I | I | 0.0 I | 18.0 I | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |  | I |

DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 3

| I |  | I |  | TURNING PROPORTIONS TURNING COUNTS <br> (PERCENTAGE OF H.V.S) |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | TIME | I | FROM |  | I | ARM A I | I | ARM B I | ARM C I | ARM D | I |
| I | 07.15-08.45 | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | A | I | 0.000 I | I | 0.000 I | 1.000 I | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I | 0.0 I | 15.0 I | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | B | I | 0.000 I | I | 0.000 I | 0.000 I | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I | 0.0 I | 0.0 I | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | C | I | 1.000 I | I | 0.000 I | 0.000 I | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 5.0 I | I | 0.0 I | 0.0 I | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | I | I | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | D | I | 0.000 I | I | 0.000 I | 0.000 I | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I |  | 0.0 I | 0.0 I | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |  | I |

DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 4

| I |  | I |  | TURNING P |  |  | ROP | PORTION |  |  |  |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  | I |  | TURNING COUNTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | (PE | RCENTAGE | E | OF H.V. | . S |  |  |  | I |
| I | TIME | I FROM/T |  |  | I | ARM A I |  | ARM B I |  | ARM C I | ARM D I |  |  |
| I | 07.15-08.45 | I |  |  | I |  | I |  | I | I |  |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | A | I | 0.000 I | I | 1.000 | I | 0.000 |  | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I | 21.0 | I | 0.0 I |  | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0) | I | ( 0.0)I |  | 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | I | I |  | I | I |  |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | B | I | 0.420 I | I | 0.000 | I | 0.580 I |  | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 21.0 I | I | 0.0 | I | 29.0 I |  | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0) | I | ( 0.0) I |  | 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | I | I |  | I | I |  |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | C | I | 0.000 I | I | 1.000 | I | 0.000 I |  | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I | 29.0 | I | 0.0 I |  | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0) | I | ( 0.0)I |  | 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | I | I |  | I | I |  |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | D | I | 0.000 I | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 |  | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I |  | 0.0 | I | 0.0 I |  | 0.0 |  |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0) | I | ( 0.0)I |  | 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  | I | I |  |  | I |



DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 16A


DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 17





| TIME SEGMENT NO. OF |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENDING | VEHICLES |  |
|  | IN QUEUE |  |
| 07.30 | 1.3 | * |
| 07.45 | 2.1 | ** |
| 08.00 | 4.8 | $\star * * * *$ |
| 08.15 | 5.1 | $\star * * * *$ |
| 08.30 | 2.2 | ** |
| 08.45 | 1.4 | * |

QUEUE AT ARM B

| TIME SEGMENT | NO. OF |
| :---: | :--- |
| ENDING | VEHICLES |
|  | IN QUEUE |
| 07.30 |  |
| 07.45 | 0.1 |
| 08.00 | 0.1 |
| 08.15 | 0.1 |
| 08.30 | 0.1 |
| 08.45 |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

QUEUE AT ARM C

| TIME SEGMENT ENDING | NO. OF |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | VEHICLES |
|  | IN QUEUE |
| 07.30 | 0.8 |
| 07.45 | 1.1 |
| 08.00 | 1.7 |
| 08.15 | 1.7 |
| 08.30 | 1.1 |
| 08.45 | 0.8 |

## QUEUE AT ARM D

| TIME SEGMENT | NO. OF |
| :---: | :--- |
| ENDING | VEHICLES |
|  | IN QUEUE |
| 07.30 |  |
| 07.45 | 0.0 |
| 08.00 | 0.0 |
| 08.15 | 0.1 |
| 08.30 | 0.1 |
| 08.45 | 0.0 |

QUEUEING DELAY INFORMATION OVER WHOLE PERIOD

| I | ARM | I | TOTAL DEMAND |  | I | * QUEUEING * |  |  | I | INCLUSIVE QUEUEING * <br> * DELAY * |  |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | * D | ELA | AY * | I |  |  |  | I |
| I |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  | I | (VEH) | (VEH/H) | I | (MIN) |  | (MIN/VEH) | I | (MIN) |  | (MIN/VEH) | I |
| I | A | I | 1432.9 | I 955.2 | I | 244.6 | I | 0.17 | I | 244.7 | I | 0.17 | I |
| I | B | I | 68.8 | I 45.9 | I | 6.7 | I | 0.10 | I | 6.7 | I | 0.10 | I |
| I | C | I | 1295.2 | I 863.5 | I | 105.1 | I | 0.08 | I | 105.2 | I | 0.08 | I |
| I | D | I | 44.0 | I 29.4 | I | 3.9 |  | 0.09 | I | 3.9 | I | 0.09 | I |
| I | ALL | I | 2840.9 | I 1894.0 | I | 360.4 | I | 0.13 | I | 360.4 | I | 0.13 | I |

* DELAY IS THAT OCCURRING ONLY WITHIN THE TIME PERIOD.
* INCLUSIVE DELAY INCLUDES DELAY SUFFERED BY VEHICLES WHICH ARE STILL QUEUEING AFTER THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD * THESE WILL ONLY BE SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT IF THERE IS A LARGE QUEUE REMAINING AT THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD.

END OF JOE
sanderson

## APPENDIX F

## A R C A D Y 6

ASSESSMENT OF ROUNDABOUT CAPACITY AND DELAY

Analysis Program: Release 7.0 (FEBRUARY 2010)
(c) Copyright TRL Limited, 2010

Adapted from ARCADY/3 which is Crown Copyright by permission of the controller of HMSO

For sales and distribution information,
program advice and maintenance, contact:

| TRL Limited | Tel: | $+44(0) 1344770758$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Crowthorne House | Fax: | $+44(0) 1344770356$ |
| Nine Mile Ride | Email: software@trl.co.uk |  |
| Wokingham, Berks. | Web: | www.trlsoftware.co.uk |

Web: www.trlsoftware.co.uk
RG40 3GA, UK

THE USER OF THIS COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR THE SOLUTION OF AN ENGINEERING PROBLEM IS IN NO WAY RELIEVED OF THEIR RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE CORRECTNESS OF THE SOLUTION

Run with file:-
$" j: \backslash 9000 \backslash 9000 \backslash 9058 \_$FarnleyTyas (drive-on-the-left ) at 20:01:33 on Tuesday, 5 January 2016

## FITE PROPERTTES

```
    RUN TITLE: Farnley Estates Masterplan Proposals
    LOCATION: Penistone Road
        DATE: 05/01/16
        CLIENT: Farnley Estates
ENUMERATOR: adam.darwin [PC115]
JOB NUMBER: 9058
    STATUS: Preliminary
DESCRIPTION
```

INPUT DATA
$\star * * * * * * *$
ARM A - Penistone Road (s)
ARM B - Woodsome Road
ARM C - Penistone Road (n)
ARM D - Rowley Lane
GEOMETRIC DATA


```
V = approach half-width
L = effective flare length
D = inscribed circle diameter
E = entry width R = entry radius PHI = entry angle
```


## TRAFFIC DEMAND DATA

## IARM I FLOW SCALE (\%) I

| I A I | 100 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| I | A | I | 100 | I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | B | I | 100 | I |
| I | C | I | 100 | I |


| I D | I | 100 | I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

TIME PERIOD BEGINS (07.15) AND ENDS (08.45)
LENGTH OF TIME PERIOD - ( 90) MINUTES
LENGTH OF TIME SEGMENT - (15) MINUTES

DEMAND FLOW PROFILES ARE SYNTHESISED FROM THE TURNING COUNT DATA

| I | ARM | I |  | NUMBER OF |  | MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  |  | I | RATE | OF | FLOW |  | (VEH/MIN) I |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  |  | FLOW | StARTS | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLOW | W STOPS | I | BEFORE | I | AT | T TOP | I | AFTER | I |
| I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
| I |  |  | I | TO | RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FALI | LING | I | PEAK | I |  | F PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I | ARM | A | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 10.46 | I |  | 15.69 | I | 10.46 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 2.31 | I |  | 3.47 | I | 2.31 | I |
| I | ARM | C | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 10.44 | I |  | 15.66 | I | 10.44 | I |
| I | ARM | D | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 4.28 | I |  | 6.41 | I | 4.28 | I |

DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 2


DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 3

| I | ARM |  | I | NUMBER OF |  | MINUTES FROM |  |  | START WHEN |  |  | I | RATE |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OF FLOW } \\ & \text { I AT TOP } \end{aligned}$ |  | VEH/MIN) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  | I | FLOW | STAR | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLOW | W STOPS | I | BEFORE | I |  |  | I | AFTER | I |
| I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
| I |  |  | I | TO | RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FALI | LING | I | PEAK | I | OF | PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I | ARM | A | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.04 | I |  | 0.06 | I | 0.04 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.01 | I |  | 0.02 | I | 0.01 | I |
| I | ARM | C | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.06 | I |  | 0.09 | I | 0.06 | I |
| I | ARM | D | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.30 | I |  | 0.45 | I | 0.30 |  |


| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { I } & \\ \text { I } & \text { ARM } \\ \text { I } & \\ \text { I } & \end{array}$ |  |  | I | NUMBER OF |  |  | MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  |  | I | RATE | O | F FLOW |  | VEH/MIN) I |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | FLOW | STAR |  | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLOW | W STOPS | I | BEFORE | I |  |  | I | AFTER | I |
|  |  |  | I |  |  | I | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
|  |  |  | I |  | RISE | I |  | IS | REACHED | I | FALI | LING | I | PEAK | I | OF | PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I | ARM | A | I |  | 15.00 | I |  |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.19 | I |  | 0.28 | I | 0.19 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I |  | 15.00 | I |  |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.04 | I |  | 0.06 | I | 0.04 | I |
| I | ARM | C | I |  | 15.00 | I |  |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.26 | I |  | 0.39 | I | 0.26 | I |
| I | ARM | D | I |  | 15.00 | I | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.04 | I |  | 0.06 | I | 0.04 | I |

DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 6
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| I | ARM |  | I | NUMBER OF |  | MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  | I | RATE | OF | O FLOW |  | VEH/MIN) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  | I | FLOW | START | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLOW STOPS | I | BEFORE | I | AT | TOP | I | AFTER | I |
| I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
| I |  |  | I | TO | RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FALLING | I | PEAK | I | OF | PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I | ARM | A | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.16 | I |  | 0.24 | I | 0.16 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 1.33 | I |  | 1.99 | I | 1.33 | I |
| I | ARM | C | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.31 | 1 |  | 0.47 | I | 0.31 | I |
| I | ARM | D | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.03 | I |  | 0.04 | I | 0.03 | I |

DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 17

| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { I } & \\ \text { I } & \text { ARM } \\ \text { I } & \\ \text { I } & \end{array}$ |  |  | I | NUMBER OF |  | MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  |  | I | RATE |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IF FLOW } \\ & \text { I AT TOP } \end{aligned}$ |  | VEH/MIN) I |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | FLOW | StARTS | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLOW | W Stops | I |  | BEFORE | I |  |  | I | AFTER | I |
|  |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
|  |  |  | I | TO | RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FALI | LING | I |  | PEAK | I | OF | PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I | ARM | A | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 1.44 | I |  | 2.16 | I | 1.44 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 0.05 | I |  | 0.08 | I | 0.05 | I |
| I | ARM | C | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 0.45 | I |  | 0.67 | 1 | 0.45 | I |
| I | ARM | D | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 0.04 | I |  | 0.06 | I | 0.04 | I |

```
DEMAND SET TITLE: 2025 Base
```

| I |  | I |  | TURNING PROPORTIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  |  | TURNING COUNTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  | I |  |  |  | ERCENTAGE | E | OF H.V. |  |  |  | I |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | TIME | I | FROM/T |  | I | ARM A I | I | ARM B | I | ARM C I | ARM D | I |
| I | $07.15-08.45$ | I |  |  | I |  | I |  | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | A | I | 0.000 I | I | 0.097 | I | 0.777 I | 0.127 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I | 81.0 | I | 650.0 I | 106.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0) | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | B | I | 0.076 I | I | 0.000 | I | 0.632 I | 0.292 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 14.0 I | I | 0.0 | I | 117.0 I | 54.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0) | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | I | I |  | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | C | I | 0.819 I | I | 0.072 | I | 0.000 I | 0.109 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 684.0 I | I | 60.0 | I | 0.0 I | 91.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0) | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | ) |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | D | I | 0.401 I | I | 0.424 | I | 0.175 I | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 137.0 I | I | 145.0 | I | 60.0 I | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  |  | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0) | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | ) |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  | I | I |  | I |

DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 2


DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 3


DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 4



DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 16A


DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 17

| I |  | I |  | TURNING P |  |  | ROP | PORTION |  |  |  |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  | I |  | TURNING COUNTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | (PE | RCENTAGE | E | OF H.V. | . S |  |  |  | I |
| I | TIME | I FROM/T |  |  | I | ARM A I |  | ARM B I |  | ARM C I | ARM D I |  |  |
| I | 07.15-08.45 | I |  |  | I |  | I |  | I | I |  |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | A | I | 0.000 I | I | 0.096 | I | 0.826 |  | 0.078 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I | 11.0 | I | 95.0 I |  | 9.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0) | I | ( 0.0) I |  | 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | I | I |  | I | I |  |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | B | I | 1.000 I | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 I |  | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 4.0 I | I | 0.0 | I | 0.0 I |  | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0) | I | ( 0.0) I |  | 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | I | I |  | I | I |  |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | C | I | 1.000 I | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 I |  | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 36.0 I | I | 0.0 | I | 0.0 I |  | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0) | I | ( 0.0)I |  | 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | I | I |  | I | I |  |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | D | I | 1.000 I | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 |  | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 3.0 I |  | 0.0 | I | 0.0 I |  | 0.0 |  |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0) | I | ( 0.0)I |  | 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | I | I |  | I |  |  |  | I |


| I I I | TIME | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEMAND } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{array}$ | CAPACITY <br> (VEH/MIN) | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ (\mathrm{RFC}) \end{gathered}$ |  | PEDESTRIAN FLOW (PEDS/MIN) | START <br> (VEHS) | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) | I I I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I 07.15-07.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | ARM A | 12.40 | 22.51 | 0.551 | - - | - - | 0.0 | 1.2 | 17.3 | - | 0.097 | I |
| I | ARM B | 3.78 | 10.50 | 0.360 | - - | - - | 0.0 | 0.6 | 7.9 | - | 0.147 | I |
| I | ARM C | 11.79 | 26.89 | 0.439 | - - | - - | 0.0 | 0.8 | 11.3 | - | 0.066 | I |
| I | ARM D | 4.92 | 14.64 | 0.336 | - - | - - | 0.0 | 0.5 | 7.2 | - | 0.102 | I |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | TIME | DEMAND | CAPACITY | DEMAND/ |  | PEDESTRIAN | START |  | DELAY | GEOMETRIC DELAY | AVERAGE DELAY |  |
| I |  | (VEH/MIN) | (VEH/MIN) | CAPACITY |  | FLOW | QUEUE | QUEUE | (VEH.MIN/ | (VEH.MIN/ | PER ARRIVING | I |
| I |  |  |  | (RFC) |  | (PEDS/MIN) | (VEHS) | (VEHS) | TIME SEGMENT) | TIME SEGMENT) | VEHICLE (MIN) | I |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| I 07.30-07.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | ARM A | 14.80 | 22.03 | 0.672 | - - | - - | 1.2 | 2.0 | 28.4 | - | 0.136 | I |
| I | ARM B | 4.51 | 9.37 | 0.481 | - | - - | 0.6 | 0.9 | 12.9 | - | 0.204 | I |
| I | ARM C | 14.08 | 26.52 | 0.531 | - - | - - | 0.8 | 1.1 | 16.3 | - | 0.080 | I |
| I | ARM D | 5.87 | 13.45 | 0.437 | - | - | 0.5 | 0.8 | 11.1 | - | 0.131 | I |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |



| I I I | TIME | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEMAND } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ (\mathrm{RFC}) \end{gathered}$ |  | PEDESTRIAN FLOW (PEDS/MIN) | START QUEUE <br> (VEHS) | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 08.00-08.15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | ARM A | 18.13 | 21.36 | 0.849 | - | - - | 5.0 | 5.3 | 77.3 | - | 0.304 |
| I | ARM B | 5.52 | 7.82 | 0.707 | - | - - | 2.1 | 2.3 | 33.5 | - | 0.430 |
| I | ARM C | 17.25 | 26.01 | 0.663 | - | - - | 1.9 | 1.9 | 29.0 | - | 0.114 |
| I | ARM D | 7.19 | 11.81 | 0.609 | - | - - | 1.5 | 1.5 | 22.7 | - | 0.216 |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | TIME | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEMAND } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ (\mathrm{RFC}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { PEDESTRIAN } \\ \text { FLOW } \\ \text { (PEDS/MIN) } \end{gathered}$ | START | END | DELAY | GEOMETRIC DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  | QUEUE | QUEUE | (VEH.MIN/ |  |  |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  | (VEHS) | (VEHS) | TIME SEGMENT) |  |  |
| I | 08.15-08.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | ARM A | 14.80 | 22.00 | 0.673 | - | - - | 5.3 | 2.1 | 34.5 | - | 0.147 |
| I | ARM B | 4.51 | 9.25 | 0.487 | - | - - | 2.3 | 1.0 | 15.8 | - | 0.219 |
| I | ARM C | 14.08 | 26.47 | 0.532 | - | - - | 1.9 | 1.1 | 17.8 | - | 0.081 |
| I | ARM D | 5.87 | 13.40 | 0.438 | - | - - | 1.5 | 0.8 | 12.4 | - | 0.134 |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | TIME | DEMAND <br> (VEH/MIN) | CAPACITY <br> (VEH/MIN) | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ \text { (RFC) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { PEDESTRIAN } \\ \text { FLOW } \\ \text { (PEDS/MIN) } \end{gathered}$ | START | END | DELAY | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  | QUEUE | QUEUE | (VEH.MIN/ |  |  |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  | (VEHS) | (VEHS) | TIME SEGMENT) |  |  |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 08.30-08.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | ARM A | 12.40 | 22.49 | 0.551 | - | - - | 2.1 | 1.2 | 19.5 | - | 0.100 |
| I | ARM B | 3.78 | 10.43 | 0.362 | - | - - | 1.0 | 0.6 | 9.0 | - | 0.152 |
| I | ARM C | 11.79 | 26.86 | 0.439 | - | - - | 1.1 | 0.8 | 12.1 | - | 0.067 |
| I | ARM D | 4.92 | 14.60 | 0.337 | - | - - | 0.8 | 0.5 | 7.9 | - | 0.104 |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| TIME SEGMENT NO. OF |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENDING | VEHICLES |  |
|  | IN QUEUE |  |
| 07.30 | 1.2 | * |
| 07.45 | 2.0 | ** |
| 08.00 | 5.0 | $\star * * * *$ |
| 08.15 | 5.3 | $\star * * * *$ |
| 08.30 | 2.1 | ** |
| 08.45 | 1.2 | * |

QUEUE AT ARM B

| TIME SEGMENT | NO. OF |
| :---: | :--- |
| ENDING | VEHICLES <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> IN QUEUE |
| 07.30 |  |
| 07.45 | 0.6 | *

QUEUE AT ARM C


## QUEUE AT ARM D

| TIME SEGMENT NO. OF |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ENDING | VEHICLES |
|  | IN QUEUE |
| 07.30 | 0.5 |
| 07.45 | 0.8 |
| 08.00 | 1.5 |
| 08.15 | 1.5 |
| 08.30 | 0.8 |
| 08.45 | 0.5 |

QUEUEING DELAY INFORMATION OVER WHOLE PERIOD

| I | ARM | I | TOTAL DEMAND |  | I | * QUEUEING * |  |  | I | INCLUSIVE QUEUEING * <br> * DELAY * |  |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | * D | ELA | AY * | I |  |  |  | I |
| I |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  | I | (VEH) | (VEH/H) | I | (MIN) |  | (MIN/VEH) | I | (MIN) |  | (MIN/VEH) | I |
| I | A | I | 1359.9 | I 906.6 | I | 242.3 |  | 0.18 | I | 242.3 | I | 0.18 | I |
| I | B | I | 414.3 | I 276.2 | I | 107.7 |  | 0.26 | I | 107.7 | I | 0.26 | I |
| I | C | I | 1293.8 | I 862.6 | I | 114.1 |  | 0.09 | I | 114.1 | I | 0.09 | I |
| I | D | I | 539.6 | I 359.7 | I | 82.4 |  | 0.15 | I | 82.4 | I | 0.15 | I |
| I | ALL | I | 3607.6 | I 2405.1 | I | 546.5 |  | 0.15 | I | 546.5 | I | 0.15 | I |

* DELAY IS THAT OCCURRING ONLY WITHIN THE TIME PERIOD.
* INCLUSIVE DELAY INCLUDES DELAY SUFFERED BY VEHICLES WHICH ARE STILL QUEUEING AFTER THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD * THESE WILL ONLY BE SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT IF THERE IS A LARGE QUEUE REMAINING AT THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD.

END OF JOE

## A R C A D Y 6

ASSESSMENT OF ROUNDABOUT CAPACITY AND DELAY

Analysis Program: Release 7.0 (FEBRUARY 2010)
(c) Copyright TRL Limited, 2010

Adapted from ARCADY/3 which is Crown Copyright by permission of the controller of HMSO

For sales and distribution information,
program advice and maintenance, contact:

| TRL Limited | Tel: | $+44(0) 1344770758$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Crowthorne House | Fax: | $+44(0) 1344770356$ |
| Nine Mile Ride | Email: software@trl.co.uk |  |
| Wokingham, Berks. | Web: | www.trlsoftware.co.uk |

Web: www.trlsoftware.co.uk
RG40 3GA, UK

THE USER OF THIS COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR THE SOLUTION OF AN ENGINEERING PROBLEM IS IN NO WAY RELIEVED OF THEIR RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE CORRECTNESS OF THE SOLUTION

Run with file:-
"j: \9000\9000\9058_FarnleyTyas \engineering\Traffic_Programs \Arcady\Rowley Lane Roundabout PM.vai" (drive-on-the-left ) at 19:59:00 on Tuesday, 5 January 2016

## FITE PROPERTTES

```
    RUN TITLE: Farnley Estates Masterplan Proposals
    LOCATION: Penistone Road
        DATE: 05/01/16
        CLIENT: Farnley Estates
ENUMERATOR: adam.darwin [PC115]
JOB NUMBER: 9058
    STATUS: Preliminary
DESCRIPTION
```

INPUT DATA
$\star * * * * * * *$
ARM A - Penistone Road (s)
ARM B - Woodsome Road
ARM C - Penistone Road (n)
ARM D - Rowley Lane
GEOMETRIC DATA


```
V = approach half-width
L = effective flare length
D = inscribed circle diameter
E = entry width R = entry radius PHI = entry angle
```


## TRAFFIC DEMAND DATA

## IARM I FLOW SCALE (\%) I

| I A I | 100 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| I | A | I | 100 | I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | B | I | 100 | I |
| I | C | I | 100 | I |


| I D I | 100 | I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

TIME PERIOD BEGINS (17.00) AND ENDS (18.30)
LENGTH OF TIME PERIOD - ( 90) MINUTES
LENGTH OF TIME SEGMENT - (15) MINUTES

DEMAND FLOW PROFILES ARE SYNTHESISED FROM THE TURNING COUNT DATA


DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 2


DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 3

| I | ARM |  | I | NUMBER OF |  | MINUTES FROM |  |  | START WHEN |  |  | I | RATE |  | OF FLOW |  | (VEH/MIN) I |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  | I | FLOW | STAR | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLOW | W STOPS | I | BEFORE | I | AT | TOP | I | AFTER | I |
| I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
| I |  |  | I | TO | RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FALI | LING | I | PEAK | I | OF | PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I | ARM | A | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.09 | I |  | 0.13 | I | 0.09 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.01 | I |  | 0.02 | I | 0.01 |  |
| I | ARM | C | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.16 | 1 |  | 0.24 | I | 0.16 | I |
| I | ARM | D | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.15 | I |  | 0.23 | I | 0.15 |  |


| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { I } & \text { ARM } \\ \text { I } & \\ \text { I } & \end{array}$ |  |  | I | NUMBER OF |  |  | MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  |  | I | RATE | O | F FLOW |  | VEH/MIN) I |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | FLOW | STAR |  | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLOW | W STOPS | I | BEFORE | I |  |  | I | AFTER | I |
|  |  |  | I |  |  | I | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
|  |  |  | I |  | RISE | I |  | IS | REACHED | I | FALI | LING | I | PEAK | I | OF | PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I | ARM | A | I |  | 15.00 | I |  |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.19 | I |  | 0.28 | I | 0.19 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I |  | 15.00 |  |  |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.04 | I |  | 0.06 | I | 0.04 | I |
| I | ARM | C | I |  | 15.00 |  |  |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.26 | I |  | 0.39 | I | 0.26 | I |
| I | ARM | D | I |  | 15.00 | I | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.04 | I |  | 0.06 | I | 0.04 | I |

DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 6


DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 16A

| I |  |  | I | NUMBER OF | MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  | I | RATE | OF | FLOW (V | (VEH/MIN) I |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | ARM |  | I | FLOW STARTS | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLOW STOPS | I | BEFORE | I | AT TOP | I | AFter | I |
| I |  |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  | I |  | I |  | I |
| I |  |  | I | TO RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FALLING | I | PEAK | I | OF PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I | ARM | A | I | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.38 | I | 0.56 | I | 0.38 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.68 | I | 1.01 | I | 0.68 | I |
| I | ARM | C | I | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.74 | I | 1.11 | I | 0.74 | I |
| I | ARM | D | I | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.06 | I | 0.09 | I | 0.06 |  |

DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 17

| I | ARM |  | I NUMBER OF MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I | RATE |  | OF | FLOW ( |  | VEH/MIN) |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  | I | FLOW | StAR | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLOW | W STOPS | I |  | BEFORE | I | AT | TOP | I | AFTER | I |
| I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
| I |  |  | I | TO | RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FALI | LING | I |  | PEAK | I | OF | PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I | ARM | A | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 0.73 | I |  | 1.09 | I | 0.73 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 0.13 | I |  | 0.19 | I | 0.13 | I |
| I | ARM | C | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 1.04 | I |  | 1.56 |  | 1.04 | I |
| I | ARM | D | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 0.10 | I |  | 0.15 | I | 0.10 | I |

```
DEMAND SET TITLE: 2025 Base
```



DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 2


DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 3

| I |  | I |  | TURNING PROPORTIONSTURNING COUNTS |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  | I |  | (PERCENTAGE OF H.V.S) |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | TIME | I | FROM/ |  | I | ARM A I | I | ARM B I | ARM C I | ARM D | I |
| I | 17.00-18.30 | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | A | I | 0.000 I | I | 0.000 I | 0.000 I | 1.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I | 0.0 I | 0.0 I | 7.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | B | I | 0.000 I | I | 0.000 I | 0.000 I | 1.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I | 0.0 I | 0.0 I | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | C | I | 0.000 I | I | 0.000 I | 0.000 I | 1.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I |  | 0.0 I | 0.0 I | 13.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | I | I | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | D | I | 0.333 I | I | 0.083 I | 0.583 I | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 4.0 I | I | 1.0 I | 7.0 I | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |  | I |

DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 4

| I |  | I |  | TURNING P |  |  | ROP | PORTION |  |  |  |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  | I |  | TURNING COUNTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | (PE | RCENTAGE | E | OF H.V. | . S |  |  |  | I |
| I | TIME | I FROM/T |  |  | I | ARM A I | I | ARM B | I | ARM C I |  | ARM D | I |
| I | 17.00-18.30 | I |  |  | I |  | I |  | I | I |  |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM |  | I | 0.000 I | I | 0.000 | I | 1.000 I |  | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I | 0.0 | I | 15.0 I |  | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  | A | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0) | I | ( 0.0) I |  | 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | I | I |  | I | I |  |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM |  | I | 0.000 I | I | 0.000 | I | 1.000 I |  | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I | 0.0 | I | 3.0 I |  | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  | B | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0) | I | ( 0.0) I |  | 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | I | I |  | I | I |  |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM |  | I | 0.714 I | I | 0.143 | I | 0.000 I |  | 0.143 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 15.0 I | I | 3.0 | I | 0.0 I |  | 3.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  | C | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0) | I | ( 0.0)I |  | 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | I | I |  | I | I |  |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM |  | I | 0.000 I | I | 0.000 | I | 1.000 I |  | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I |  | 0.0 | I | 3.0 I |  | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  | D | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0) | I | ( 0.0)I |  | 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  | I | I |  |  | I |



DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 16A

| I |  | I |  | TURNING PROPORTIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  | I |  | TURNING COUNTS |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  | I |  |  |  | ERCENTAGE | E | OF H.V.S) |  |  | I |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | TIME | I FROM/T |  |  | I | ARM A I | I | ARM B I | ARM C I | ARM D | I |
| I | 17.00-18.30 | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | A | I | 0.000 I | I | 1.000 I | 0.000 I | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I | 30.0 I | 0.0 I | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | I | I | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | B | I | 0.315 I | I | 0.000 I | 0.630 I | 0.056 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 17.0 I | I | 0.0 I | 34.0 I | 3.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | I | I | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | C | I | 0.000 I | I | 1.000 I | 0.000 I | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I | 59.0 I | 0.0 I | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | I | I | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | D | I | 0.000 I | I | 1.000 I | 0.000 I | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I | 5.0 I | 0.0 I | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |  | I |

DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 17


| I I I | TIME | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEMAND } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{array}$ | CAPACITY <br> (VEH/MIN) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DEMAND/ } \\ & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (RFC) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PEDESTRIAN } \\ & \text { FLOW } \\ & \text { (PEDS/MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { START } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) | I I I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 17.00 | . 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | ARM A | 12.46 | 22.62 | 0.551 | - | - - | 0.0 | 1.2 | 17.3 | - | 0.097 | I |
| I | ARM B | 2.38 | 10.59 | 0.225 | - - | - - | 0.0 | 0.3 | 4.2 | - | 0.121 | I |
| I | ARM C | 17.42 | 27.22 | 0.640 | - | - - | 0.0 | 1.7 | 24.8 | - | 0.100 | I |
| I | ARM D | 4.74 | 11.85 | 0.400 | - - | - | 0.0 | 0.7 | 9.4 | - | 0.139 | I |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |





| TIME SEGMENT | NO. OF |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ENDING | VEHICLES |  |
|  | IN QUEUE |  |
|  |  |  |
| 17.15 | 1.2 | $\star$ |
| 17.30 | 2.0 | $\star \star$ |
| 17.45 | 4.8 | $\star \star * * *$ |
| 18.00 | 5.1 | $\star \star * * *$ |
| 18.15 |  | 2.1 |
| 18.30 |  | 1.2 |

QUEUE AT ARM B

| TIME SEGMENT | NO. OF |
| :---: | :--- |
| ENDING | VEHICLES |
|  | IN QUEUE |
|  |  |
| 17.15 | 0.3 |
| 17.30 | 0.4 |
| 17.45 | 0.7 |
| 18.00 | 0.8 |
| 18.15 | 0.4 |
| 18.30 | 0.3 |

QUEUE AT ARM C

| TIME SEGMENT | NO. OF |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ENDING | VEHICLES |  |
|  | IN QUEUE |  |
|  |  |  |
| 17.15 | 1.7 | $\star *$ |
| 17.30 | 3.3 | $\star * *$ |
| 17.45 | 13.1 | $\star * * * * * * * * * * * *$ |
| 18.00 | 16.0 | $\star * * * * * * * * * * * * *$ |
| 18.15 | 3.6 | $\star * * *$ |
| 18.30 | 1.8 | $\star *$ |

## QUEUE AT ARM

TIME SEGMENT NO. OF
ENDING VEHICLES

IN QUEUE

| 17.15 | 0.7 | $\star$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 17.30 | 1.2 | $*$ |
| 17.45 | 4.8 | $* * * * *$ |
| 18.00 | 6.1 | $* * * * * *$ |
| 18.15 | 1.5 | $*$ |
| 18.30 | 0.7 | $*$ |

QUEUEING DELAY INFORMATION OVER WHOLE PERIOD

| I | ARM | I | TOTAL DEMAND |  | I | * QUEUEING * |  |  | I | INCLUSIVE QUEUEING * <br> * DELAY * |  |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | * D | EL | AY * | I |  |  |  | I |
| I |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  | I | (VEH) | (VEH/H) | I | (MIN) |  | (MIN/VEH) | I | (MIN) |  | (MIN/VEH) | I |
| I | A | I | 1366.8 | I 911.2 | I | 238.5 |  | 0.17 | I | 238.6 | I | 0.17 | I |
| I | B | I | 261.5 | I 174.3 | I | 43.9 |  | 0.17 | I | 43.9 | I | 0.17 | I |
| I | C | I | 1910.5 | I 1273.7 | I | 540.1 |  | 0.28 | I | 540.1 | I | 0.28 | I |
| I | D | I | 520.3 | I 346.9 | I | 206.4 |  | 0.40 | I | 206.4 | I | 0.40 | I |
| I | ALL | I | 4059.1 | I 2706.1 | I | 1028.9 |  | 0.25 | I | 1029.0 | I | 0.25 | I |

* DELAY IS THAT OCCURRING ONLY WITHIN THE TIME PERIOD.
* INCLUSIVE DELAY INCLUDES DELAY SUFFERED BY VEHICLES WHICH ARE STILL QUEUEING AFTER THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD * THESE WILL ONLY BE SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT IF THERE IS A LARGE QUEUE REMAINING AT THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD.

END OF JOE

## A R C A D Y 6

ASSESSMENT OF ROUNDABOUT CAPACITY AND DELAY

Analysis Program: Release 7.0 (FEBRUARY 2010)
(c) Copyright TRL Limited, 2010

Adapted from ARCADY/3 which is Crown Copyright by permission of the controller of HMSO

For sales and distribution information,
program advice and maintenance, contact:

| TRL Limited | Tel: | $+44(0) 1344770758$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Crowthorne House | Fax: | $+44(0) 1344770356$ |
| Nine Mile Ride | Email: software@trl.co.uk |  |
| Wokingham, Berks. | Web: | www.trlsoftware.co.uk |

Web: www.trlsoftware.co.uk
RG40 3GA, UK

THE USER OF THIS COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR THE SOLUTION OF AN ENGINEERING PROBLEM IS IN NO WAY RELIEVED OF THEIR RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE CORRECTNESS OF THE SOLUTION

Run with file:-
"j: \9000\9000\9058_FarnleyTyas\engineering\Traffic_Programs $\backslash$ Arcady $\backslash$ Rowley Lane Roundabout Sat.vai" (drive-on-the-left ) at 20:12:13 on Tuesday, 5 January 2016

## FITE PROPERTIES

```
    RUN TITLE: Farnley Estates Masterplan Proposals
    LOCATION: Penistone Road
        DATE: 05/01/16
        CLIENT: Farnley Estates
ENUMERATOR: adam.darwin [PC115]
JOB NUMBER: 9058
    STATUS: Preliminary
DESCRIPTION
```

INPUT DATA
*********
ARM A - Penistone Road (s)
ARM B - Woodsome Road
ARM C - Penistone Road (n)
ARM D - Rowley Lane
GEOMETRIC DATA


```
V = approach half-width
L = effective flare length
D = inscribed circle diameter
E = entry width llo L = effective flare length rentry radius = entry angle
```

TRAFFIC DEMAND DATA

Only sets included in the current run are shown
SCALING FACTORS

## IARM I FLOW SCALE (\%) I

| I A I | 100 | I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| I | $B$ | I | 100 | I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | C | I | 100 | I |


| I D I | 100 | $I$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

```
LENGTH OF TIME PERIOD - ( 90) MINUTES
```

LENGTH OF TIME SEGMENT - (15) MINUTES
DEMAND FLOW PROFILES ARE SYNTHESISED FROM THE TURNING COUNT DATA


Demand set title: Site 2

| I | ARM |  | I | NUM | MBER | MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  |  | I | RATE |  |  | OF FLOW |  | (VEH/MIN) I |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  | I | FLOW | StAR | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLO | W Stops | I |  | BEFORE | I | AT | TOP | I | AFter | I |
| I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
| I |  |  | I |  | RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FAL | LING | I |  | PEAK | I | OF | PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I | ARM | A | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 0.06 | 1 |  | 0.09 | I | 0.06 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 0.01 | I |  | 0.02 | I | 0.01 | I |
| I | ARM | C | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 0.09 | I |  | 0.13 | I | 0.09 | I |
| I | ARM | D | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 0.01 | I |  | 0.02 | I | 0.01 | I |

DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 3

| I | ARM |  | I NUMBER OF MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I | RATE | OF FLOW |  |  | VEH/MIN) I |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  | I | FLOW | STARTS | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLO | W STOPS | I | BEFORE | I | AT | TOP | T | AFTER | I |
| I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
| I |  |  | I |  | RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FAL | LING | I | PEAK | I | OF | PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I | ARM | A | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.05 | I |  | 0.08 | I | 0.05 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.01 | I |  | 0.02 | T | 0.01 | I |
| I | ARM | C | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.10 | I |  | 0.15 | I | 0.10 | I |
| I | ARM | D | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.15 | I |  | 0.23 | I | 0.15 | I |

DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 4


DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 6

| I |  |  | I NUMBER OF |  | MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  | I | RATE | Of | FI | LOW |  | /MIN) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | ARM |  | I | FLOW STARTS | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLOW STOPS | I | BEFORE | I | AT | TOP | I | AFTER |
| I |  |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  |
| I |  |  | I | TO RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FALLING | I | PEAK | I | OF | PEAK | I | PEAK |
| I | ARM | A | I | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.04 | I |  | 0.06 | I | 0.04 |
| I | ARM | B | I | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.01 | I |  | 0.02 | I | 0.01 |
| I | ARM | C | I | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.08 | I |  | 0.11 | I | 0.08 |
| I | ARM | D | I | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.11 | I |  | 0.17 | I | 0.11 |

## DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 16A

| I | ARM |  | I | NUMBER OF MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I | RATE |  | OF F |  | LOW ( | (VEH/MIN) I |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  | I | FLOW | STAR | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLO | W STOPS | I |  | BEFORE | I | AT | TOP | I | AFTER | I |
| I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
| I |  |  | I | TO | RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FAL | LING | I |  | PEAK | I | OF | PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I | ARM | A | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 0.24 | 1 |  | 0.36 | I | 0.24 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 0.69 | I |  | 1.03 | I | 0.69 | I |
| I | ARM | C | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 0.47 | I |  | 0.71 | I | 0.47 | I |
| I | ARM | D | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 0.04 | I |  | 0.06 | I | 0.04 | I |

DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 17

| I | ARM |  | I | NUMBER OF MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  |  |  | I | RATE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OF FLOW } \\ & \text { I AT TOP } \end{aligned}$ |  | (VEH/MIN) I |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  | I | FLOW | StARTS | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLOW STOPS | I | BEFORE |  |  | I | AFTER | I |
| I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  | I |  | I |  | I |
| I |  |  | I | TO | RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FALLING | I | PEAK | I | OF PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I | ARM | A | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.74 | I | 1.11 | I | 0.74 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.08 | 1 | 0.11 | I | 0.08 | I |
| I | ARM | C | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.68 | I | 1.01 | I | 0.68 | I |
| I | ARM | D | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.06 | I | 0.09 | I | 0.06 |  |

DEMAND SET TITLE: 2025 Base


DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 2

| I |  | I |  | TURNING P |  |  | PROPORTIONS |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  | I |  |  |  | URNING CO | COUNTS |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  | I |  | (PERCENTAGE OF H.V.S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| I | TIME | I FROM/T |  |  |  | ARM A I |  | ARM B I |  | ARM C I | ARM D I |  | I |
| I | 12.00-13.30 | I |  |  | I |  | I |  | I | I |  |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | A | I | 0.000 I | I | 0.000 | I | 1.000 I |  | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I | 0.0 | I | 5.0 I |  | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0) | I | ( 0.0)I |  | (0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  | I | I |  |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | B | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 1.000 I |  | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I | 0.0 | I | 0.0 I |  | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0) | I | ( 0.0)I |  | (0.0) |  |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  | I | I |  |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | C | I | 0.714 | I | 0.143 | I | 0.000 I |  | 0.143 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 5.0 I | I | 1.0 | I | 0.0 I |  | 1.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0) | I | ( 0.0)I |  | (0.0) |  |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | I | I |  | I | I |  |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | D | I | 0.000 I | I | 0.000 | I | 1.000 I |  | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I |  | 0.0 | I | 0.0 I |  | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0) | I | ( 0.0)I |  | (0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  | I | I |  |  | I |



DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 4

| I |  | I |  | TURNING PROPORTIONSTURNING COUNTS |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | (PE | RCENTAGE | E | OF H.V.S) |  |  | I |
| I | TIME | I FROM/T |  |  | I | ARM A I | I | ARM B I | ARM C I | ARM D | I |
| I | 12.00-13.30 | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | A | I | 0.000 I | I | 0.000 I | 1.000 I | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I | 0.0 I | 29.0 I | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | I | I | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | B | I | 0.000 I | I | 0.000 I | 1.000 I | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I | 0.0 I | 7.0 I | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | C | I | 0.707 I | I | 0.171 I | 0.000 I | 0.122 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 29.0 I |  | 7.0 I | 0.0 I | 5.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |  | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | D | I | 0.000 I | I | 0.000 I | 1.000 I | 0.000 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I | 0.0 I | 5.0 I | 0.0 | I |
| I |  | I |  |  |  | ( 0.0)I | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0) | I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I | I | I |  | I |

DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 6



DEMAND SET TITLE: Site 17


QUEUE AND DELAY INFORMATION FOR EACH 15 MIN TIME SEGMENT


| TIME | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEMAND } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{array}$ | CAPACITY <br> (VEH/MIN) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DEMAND/ } \\ & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (RFC) } \end{aligned}$ |  | PEDESTRIAN FLOW (PEDS/MIN) | $\begin{gathered} \text { START } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | ```DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT)``` | GEOMETRIC DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12.15-12.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ARM A | 15.33 | 23.11 | 0.663 | - | - - | 1.2 | 1.9 | 27.5 | - | 0.127 |
| ARM B | 2.92 | 9.02 | 0.324 | - | - - | 0.3 | 0.5 | 6.8 | - | 0.163 |
| ARM C | 17.29 | 26.99 | 0.641 | - | - - | 1.1 | 1.8 | 25.2 | - | 0.102 |
| ARM D | 2.64 | 11.68 | 0.226 | - | - - | 0.2 | 0.3 | 4.2 | - | 0.110 |




| I | TIME | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEMAND } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ (\mathrm{RFC}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { PEDESTRIAN } \\ \text { FLOW } \\ \text { (PEDS/MIN) } \end{gathered}$ | START QUEUE (VEHS) | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I 13.00-13.15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | ARM A | 15.33 | 23.10 | 0.664 | - - | - - | 4.6 | 2.0 | 32.5 | - | 0.135 |
| I | ARM B | 2.92 | 8.92 | 0.328 | - - | - - | 0.9 | 0.5 | 7.8 | - | 0.168 |
| I | ARM C | 17.29 | 26.96 | 0.641 | - - | - - | 3.8 | 1.8 | 28.8 | - | 0.106 |
| I | ARM D | 2.64 | 11.58 | 0.228 | - - | - - | 0.5 | 0.3 | 4.6 | - | 0.112 |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| I | TIME | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEMAND } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{array}$ | CAPACITY <br> (VEH/MIN) | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ (\mathrm{RFC}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { PEDESTRIAN } \\ \text { FLOW } \\ (\text { PEDS/MIN) } \end{gathered}$ | START QUEUE (VEHS) | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY <br> PER ARRIVING <br> VEHICLE (MIN) | I I I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 13.15 | . 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | ARM A | 12.84 | 23.40 | 0.548 | - | - - | 2.0 | 1.2 | 19.2 | - | 0.095 | I |
| I | ARM B | 2.45 | 10.14 | 0.241 | - | - - | 0.5 | 0.3 | 5.0 | - | 0.130 | I |
| I | ARM C | 14.48 | 27.26 | 0.531 | - | - - | 1.8 | 1.1 | 17.7 | - | 0.079 | I |
| I | ARM D | 2.21 | 13.10 | 0.169 | - | - - | 0.3 | 0.2 | 3.1 | - | 0.092 | I |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |

QUEUE AT ARM A

| TIME SEGMENT NO. OF |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENDING | VEHICLES |  |
|  | IN QUEUE |  |
| 12.15 | 1.2 | * |
| 12.30 | 1.9 | ** |
| 12.45 | 4.4 | * |
| 13.00 | 4.6 | ***** |
| 13.15 | 2.0 | ** |
| 13.30 | 1.2 | * |

QUEUE AT ARM B

| TIME SEGMENT | NO. OF |
| :---: | :--- |
| ENDING | VEHICLES |
|  | IN QUEUE |

## QUEUE AT ARM C

| TIME SEGMENT | NO. OF |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| ENDING | VEHICLES |
|  | IN QUEUE |

QUEUE AT ARM D

TIME SEGMENT NO. OF
ENDING VEHICLES
IN QUEUE
$12.15 \quad 0.2$
$12.30 \quad 0.3$
$12.45 \quad 0.5$
$13.00 \quad 0.5$
13.150 .3
$13.30 \quad 0.2$

QUEUEING DELAY INFORMATION OVER WHOLE PERIOD

| I | ARM | III | TOTAL DEMAND |  | I | * QUEUEING * |  |  | I | INCLUSIVE QUEUEING * <br> * DELAY * |  |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  |  |  | * D | EL | AY * | I |  |  |  |  |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - I |
| I |  | I | (VEH) | (VEH/H) | I | (MIN) |  | (MIN/VEH) | I | (MIN) |  | (MIN/VEH) | I |
| I | A | I | 1408.1 | I 938.7 | I | 222.4 | I | 0.16 | I | 222.4 | I | 0.16 | I |
| I | B | I | 268.4 | I 178.9 | I | 50.4 | I | 0.19 | I | 50.4 | I | 0.19 | I |
| I | C | I | 1588.4 | I 1058.9 | I | 195.0 | I | 0.12 | I | 195.1 | I | 0.12 | I |
| I | D | I | 242.3 | I 161.5 | I | 29.5 | I | 0.12 | I | 29.5 | I | 0.12 | T |
| I | ALL | I | 3507.1 | I 2338.1 | I | 497.2 |  | 0.14 | I | 497.3 | I | 0.14 | I |

* DELAY IS THAT OCCURRING ONLY WITHIN THE TIME PERIOD.
* INCLUSIVE DELAY INCLUDES DELAY SUFFERED BY VEHICLES WHICH ARE STILL QUEUEING AFTER THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD. * THESE WILL ONLY BE SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT IF THERE IS A LARGE QUEUE REMAINING AT THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD.

END OF JOE
sanderson

APPENDIX G

TRL LIMITED
(C) COPYRIGHT 2010

CAPACITIES, QUEUES, AND DELAYS AT 3 OR 4-ARM MAJOR/MINOR PRIORITY JUNCTIONS
PICADY 5.1 ANALYSIS PROGRAM
RELEASE 5.0 (JUNE 2010)
ADAPTED FROM PICADY/3 WHICH IS CROWN COPYRIGHT
BY PERMISSION OF THE CONTROLLER OF HMSO

FOR SALES AND DISTRIBUTION INFORMATION
PROGRAM ADVICE AND MAINTENANCE CONTACT: TRL SOFTWARE SALES
TEL: CROWTHORNE (01344) 770758, FAX: 770356 EMAIL: software@trl.co.uk

THE USER OF THIS COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR THE SOLUTION OF AN ENGINEERING PROBLEM IS IN NO WAY RELIEVED OF HIS/HER RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE CORRECTNESS OF THE SOLUTION
"J:\9000\9000\9058_FarnleyTyas\engineering\Traffic_Programs\Picady\Site 17 Access AM-PM.vpi" (drive-on-the-left) at 12:12:02 on Tuesday, 12 January 2016

## RUN INFORMATION

```
RUN TITLE : Farnley Estates Masterplan Proposals
LOCATION : Penistone Road - Site }1
DATE
CLIENT
ENUMERATOR
JOB NUMBER
STATUS
```

DESCRIPTION
MAJOR/MINOR JUNCTION CAPACITY AND DELAY
MAJOR/MINOR JUNCTION CAPACITY AND DELAY
INPUT DATA

| c) | I |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | I |
|  | I |
|  | I |
|  | I |
|  | I |

```
ARM A IS Penistone Road (s
ARM B IS Site Access
```

ARM C IS Penistone Road (n
STREAM LABELLING CONVENTION
STREAM A-B CONTAINS TRAFFIC GOING FROM ARM A TO ARM B
STREAM B-AC CONTAINS TRAFFIC GOING FROM ARM B TO ARM A AND TO ARM C
ETC.


## .SLOPES AND INTERCEPT

(NB:Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted)


* Due to the presence of a flare, data is not available

(NB These values do not allow for any site specific corrections)

TRAFFIC DEMAND DATA

Demand set: 2025 AM Base

TIME PERIOD BEGINS 07.15 AND ENDS 08.45
LENGTH OF TIME PERIOD - 90 MIN
LENGTH OF TIME SEGMENT - 15 MIN.

DEMAND FLOW PROFILES ARE SYNTHESISED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA


```
Demand set: Site 2 AM
TIME PERIOD BEGINS 07.15 AND ENDS 08.45
LENGTH OF TIME PERIOD - }90\mathrm{ MIN
LENGTH OF TIME SEGMENT - 15 MIN.
DEMAND FLOW PROFILES ARE SYNTHESISED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA
```



```
Demand set: Site 3 AM
```

TIME PERIOD BEGINS 07.15 AND ENDS 08.45
LENGTH OF TIME PERIOD - 90 MIN
LENGTH OF TIME SEGMENT - 15 MIN.

DEMAND FLOW PROFILES ARE SYNTHESISED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA

| I | ARM |  | I | NUMBER OF | MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  |  | I | RATE |  | W ( |  |  | (VEH/MIN) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  |  |  |  | I TOP OF PEAK |  | START WHEN <br> I FLOW STOPS |  |  |  |  | BEFORE | I | AT | TOP | I | AFTER |
| I |  |  | I | RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FAL | LING | I |  | PEAK | I | OF | PEAK | I | PEAK |
| I |  |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |
| I | ARM | A | I | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 0.04 | I |  | 0.06 | I | 0.04 |
| I | ARM | B | I | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 0.00 | I |  | 0.00 | I | 0.00 |
| I | ARM | C | I | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I |  | 0.09 | I |  | 0.13 | I | 0.09 |

Demand set: Site 4 AM

TIME PERIOD BEGINS 07.15 AND ENDS 08.45
LENGTH OF TIME PERIOD - 90 MIN
LENGTH OF TIME SEGMENT - 15 MIN.

DEMAND FLOW PROFILES ARE SYNTHESISED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA

Demand set: Site 6 AM
TIME PERIOD BEGINS 07.15 AND ENDS 08.45

```
LENGTH OF TIME PERIOD - }90\mathrm{ MIN.
LENGTH OF TIME SEGMENT - }15\mathrm{ MIN.
```

DEMAND FLOW PROFILES ARE SYNTHESISED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA


```
Demand set:
Site 16A AM
```

TIME PERIOD BEGINS 07.15 AND ENDS 08.45
LENGTH OF TIME PERIOD - 90 MIN.
LENGTH OF TIME SEGMENT - 15 MIN.

DEMAND FLOW PROFILES ARE SYNTHESISED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA


```
Demand set: Site 17 AM (300 Units)
```

TIME PERIOD BEGINS 07.15 AND ENDS 08.45
LENGTH OF TIME PERIOD - 90 MIN.
LENGTH OF TIME SEGMENT - 15 MIN.

DEMAND FLOW PROFILES ARE SYNTHESISED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA




TURNING PROPORTIONS ARE CALCULATED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA THE PERCENTAGE OF HEAVY VEHICLES VARIES OVER TURNING MOVEMENTS


TURNING PROPORTIONS ARE CALCULATED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA THE PERCENTAGE OF HEAVY VEHICLES VARIES OVER TURNING MOVEMENTS

Demand set:
Site 4 AM



TURNING PROPORTIONS ARE CALCULATED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA THE PERCENTAGE OF HEAVY VEHICLES VARIES OVER TURNING MOVEMENTS


TURNING PROPORTIONS ARE CALCULATED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA THE PERCENTAGE OF HEAVY VEHICLES VARIES OVER TURNING MOVEMENTS



| I I I | TIME | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEMAND } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ (\mathrm{RFC}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PEDESTRIAN } \\ \text { FLOW } \\ \text { (PEDS/MIN) } \end{gathered}$ | START QUEUE (VEHS) | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 07.45-08.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | B-C | 1.56 | 7.21 | 0.216 |  | 0.18 | 0.27 | 3.9 |  | 0.18 | I |
| I | B-A | 0.64 | 2.71 | 0.237 |  | 0.14 | 0.30 | 4.1 |  | 0.48 | I |
| I | C-AB | 0.59 | 6.89 | 0.085 |  | 0.07 | 0.09 | 1.4 |  | 0.16 | I |
| I | A-B | 0.24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-C | 16.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |


| I I I | TIME | DEMAND <br> (VEH/MIN) | CAPACITY <br> (VEH/MIN) | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ \text { (RFC) } \end{gathered}$ | PEDESTRIAN FLOW (PEDS/MIN) | START QUEUE (VEHS) | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) | I I I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 08.00-08.15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | B-C | 1.56 | 7.21 | 0.216 |  | 0.27 | 0.27 | 4.1 |  | 0.18 | I |
| I | B-A | 0.64 | 2.71 | 0.237 |  | 0.30 | 0.30 | 4.5 |  | 0.48 | I |
| I | C-AB | 0.59 | 6.89 | 0.085 |  | 0.09 | 0.09 | 1.4 |  | 0.16 | I |
| I | A-B | 0.24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-C | 16.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |


| I I I | TIME | DEMAND <br> (VEH/MIN) | CAPACITY (VEH/MIN) | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ (\mathrm{RFC}) \end{gathered}$ | PEDESTRIAN FLOW (PEDS/MIN) | START QUEUE (VEHS) | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 08.15-08.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | B-C | 1.27 | 8.25 | 0.154 |  | 0.27 | 0.18 | 2.9 |  | 0.14 |
| I | B-A | 0.52 | 4.26 | 0.123 |  | 0.30 | 0.14 | 2.3 |  | 0.27 |
| I | C-AB | 0.48 | 7.66 | 0.063 |  | 0.09 | 0.07 | 1.0 |  | 0.14 |
| I | A-B | 0.19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | A-C | 13.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| I I I | TIME | DEMAND <br> (VEH/MIN) | CAPACITY <br> (VEH/MIN) | DEMAND/ CAPACITY (RFC) | PEDESTRIAN FLOW (PEDS/MIN) | START QUEUE (VEHS) | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 08.30-08.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | B-C | 1.07 | 8.94 | 0.119 |  | 0.18 | 0.14 | 2.1 |  | 0.13 |
| I | B-A | 0.44 | 5.39 | 0.082 |  | 0.14 | 0.09 | 1.4 |  | 0.20 |
| I | C-AB | 0.40 | 8.21 | 0.049 |  | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.8 |  | 0.13 |
| I | A-B | 0.16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | A-C | 10.95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| QUEUE FOR | STREAM | B-C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | NO | . OF |
| SEGMENT |  | HICLES |
| ENDING | IN | QUEUE |
| 07.30 |  | 0.1 |
| 07.45 |  | 0.2 |
| 08.00 |  | 0.3 |
| 08.15 |  | 0.3 |
| 08.30 |  | 0.2 |
| 08.45 |  | 0.1 |
| QUEUE FOR | STREAM | B-A |
| TIME | NO | . OF |
| SEGMENT |  | HICLES |
| ENDING | IN | QUEUE |
| 07.30 |  | 0.1 |
| 07.45 |  | 0.1 |
| 08.00 |  | 0.3 |
| 08.15 |  | 0.3 |
| 08.30 |  | 0.1 |
| 08.45 |  | 0.1 |
| QUEUE FOR | STREAM | C-AB |
| TIME | NO | . OF |
| SEGMENT | VE | HICLES |
| ENDING | IN | QUEUE |
| 07.30 |  | 0.1 |
| 07.45 |  | 0.1 |
| 08.00 |  | 0.1 |
| 08.15 |  | 0.1 |
| 08.30 |  | 0.1 |
| 08.45 |  | 0.1 |


| I | STREAM | I | TOTAL DEMAND |  | I | * QUEUEING * |  |  | I | INCLUSIVE QUEUEING * I |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | * DEI | A |  | I | * D | LA |  | I |
| I |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | --- |  |
| I |  | I | (VEH) | (VEH/H) | I | (MIN) |  | (MIN/VEH) | I | (MIN) |  | (MIN/VEH) | I |
| I | B-C | I | 117.0 | I 78.0 | I | 17.6 | I | 0.15 | I | 17.6 | I | 0.15 | I |
| I | B-A | I | 48.2 | I 32.1 | I | 15.6 | I | 0.32 | I | 15.6 | I | 0.32 | I |
| I | C-AB | I | 44.0 | I 29.4 | I | 6.3 | I | 0.14 | I | 6.3 | I | 0.14 | I |
| I | A-B | I | 17.9 | I 11.9 | I |  | I |  | I |  | I |  | I |
| I | A-C | I | 1201.6 | I 801.1 | I |  | I |  | I |  | I |  | I |
| I | ALL | I | 2674.4 | I 1782.9 | I | 39.5 | I | 0.01 | I | 39.5 | I | 0.01 | I |

* DELAY IS THAT OCCURRING ONLY WITHIN THE TIME PERIOD
* INCLUSIVE DELAY INCLUDES DELAY SUFFERED BY VEHICLES

WHICH ARE STILL QUEUEING AFTER THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD

* THESE WILL ONLY BE SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT IF THERE IS

A LARGE QUEUE REMAINING AT THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD.
*******END OF RUN*******

SLOPES AND INTERCEPT
(NB:Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted)


* Due to the presence of a flare, data is not available

I Intercept For Slope For Opposing Slope For Opposing I

| I 664.47 | 0.26 | 0.26 | I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

(NB These values do not allow for any site specific corrections)
TRAFFIC DEMAND DATA
----------------------------

| I A | I | 100 | I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| I B | I | 100 | I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Demand set: 2025 PM Base
TIME PERIOD BEGINS 17.00 AND ENDS 18.30
LENGTH OF TIME PERIOD - 90 MIN.
LENGTH OF TIME SEGMENT - 15 MIN.

DEMAND FLOW PROFILES ARE SYNTHESISED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA



```
Demand set: Site 3 PM
```

TIME PERIOD BEGINS 17.00 AND ENDS 18.30
LENGTH OF TIME PERIOD - 90 MIN.
LENGTH OF TIME SEGMENT - 15 MIN.

DEMAND FLOW PROFILES ARE SYNTHESISED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA

| I | ARM |  |  | NUMBER OF | MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  |  | I | RATE |  | OF FLOW |  | (VEH/MIN) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  | I | FLOW STARTS |  | I TOP OF PEAK |  | I | FLO | W Stops | I | BEFORE | I | AT | TOP | I | AFTER |
| I |  |  | I | TO RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FAL | LING | I | PEAK | I | OF | PEAK | I | PEAK |
| I |  |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  |
| I | ARM | A | I | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.09 | I |  | 0.13 | I | 0.09 |
| I | ARM | B | I | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.00 | I |  | 0.00 | I | 0.00 |
| I | ARM | C | I | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.05 | I |  | 0.08 | I | 0.05 |

Demand set: Site 4 PM

TIME PERIOD BEGINS 17.00 AND ENDS 18.30
LENGTH OF TIME PERIOD - 90 MIN
LENGTH OF TIME SEGMENT - 15 MIN.

DEMAND FLOW PROFILES ARE SYNTHESISED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA

| I | ARM | I |  | NUMBER OF MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  |  |  | I | RATE |  | OF FLOW |  | (VEH/MIN) |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  |  | FLOW | StARTS | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLOW STOPS | I | BEFORE | I | AT | TOP | I | AFTER |  |
| I |  |  | I |  | RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FALLING | I | PEAK | I | OF | PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
| I | ARM | A | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.19 | I |  | 0.28 | I | 0.19 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.00 | I |  | 0.00 | I | 0.00 | I |
| I | ARM | C | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | T | 75.00 | I | 0.19 | I |  | 0.28 | I | 0.19 | I |

Demand set: Site 6 PM
TIME PERIOD BEGINS 17.00 AND ENDS 18.30

LENGTH OF TIME PERIOD - 90 MIN. LENGTH OF TIME SEGMENT - 15 MIN.

DEMAND FLOW PROFILES ARE SYNTHESISED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA

| I | ARM | NUMBER OF MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I | RATE |  | OF FLOW |  | VEH/MIN) |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  | I | FLOW STARTS | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLOW STOPS | I | BEFORE | I | AT | TOP | I | AFTER | I |
| I |  |  | I | TO RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FALLING | I | PEAK | I | OF | PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I |  |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
| I | ARM | A | I | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.06 | I |  | 0.09 | I | 0.06 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.00 | I |  | 0.00 | I | 0.00 | I |
| I | ARM | C | I | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I | 75.00 | I | 0.04 | I |  | 0.06 | I | 0.04 | I |

DEMAND FLOW PROFILES ARE SYNTHESISED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA


```
Demand set: Site 17 PM (300 Units)
```

TIME PERIOD BEGINS 17.00 AND ENDS 18.30
LENGTH OF TIME PERIOD - 90 MIN
LENGTH OF TIME SEGMENT - 15 MIN.

DEMAND FLOW PROFILES ARE SYNTHESISED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA




TURNING PROPORTIONS ARE CALCULATED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA THE PERCENTAGE OF HEAVY VEHICLES VARIES OVER TURNING MOVEMENTS


TURNING PROPORTIONS ARE CALCULATED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA THE PERCENTAGE OF HEAVY VEHICLES VARIES OVER TURNING MOVEMENTS

Demand set:
Site 4 PM



TURNING PROPORTIONS ARE CALCULATED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA THE PERCENTAGE OF HEAVY VEHICLES VARIES OVER TURNING MOVEMENTS


TURNING PROPORTIONS ARE CALCULATED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA THE PERCENTAGE OF HEAVY VEHICLES VARIES OVER TURNING MOVEMENTS

| I |  | I |  |  | TURNING PROPORTIONS TURNING COUNTS <br> (PERCENTAGE OF H.V.S) |  |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  | I |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  | I |  |  |  |  |  | ) I |
| I | TIME | I | FROM | TO | I | ARM A I | ARM B I | ARM C I |
| I | 17.00-18.30 | I |  |  | I | I | I | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | A | I | 0.000 I | 1.000 I | 0.000 I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | 42.0 I | 0.0 I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | I | I | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | B | I | 0.293 I | 0.000 I | 0.707 I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 24.0 I | 0.0 I | 58.0 I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | I | I | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | C | I | 0.000 I | 1.000 I | 0.000 I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | 101.0 I | 0.0 I |
| I |  | I |  |  |  | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I | ( 0.0)I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | I | I | I |



| I I I | TIME | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEMAND } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ (\mathrm{RFC}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PEDESTRIAN } \\ \text { FLOW } \\ \text { (PEDS/MIN) } \end{gathered}$ | START QUEUE (VEHS) | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 17.30-1 | . 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | B-C | 1.06 | 5.54 | 0.192 |  | 0.12 | 0.23 | 3.4 |  | 0.22 | I |
| I | B-A | 0.44 | 0.47 | 0.938 |  | 0.17 | 1.98 | 19.2 |  | 5.13 | I |
| I | C-AB | 1.85 | 6.46 | 0.287 |  | 0.26 | 0.40 | 5.9 |  | 0.22 | I |
| I | A-B | 0.77 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-C | 17.16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |


| I I I | TIME | DEMAND <br> (VEH/MIN) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DEMAND/ } \\ & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (RFC) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PEDESTRIAN } \\ \text { FLOW } \\ \text { (PEDS/MIN) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { START } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) | I I I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 17.45-18 | . 00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | B-C | 1.06 | 5.47 | 0.195 |  | 0.23 | 0.24 | 3.6 |  | 0.23 | I |
| I | B-A | 0.44 | 0.47 | 0.946 |  | 1.98 | 2.87 | 36.8 |  | 6.14 | I |
| I | C-AB | 1.85 | 6.46 | 0.287 |  | 0.40 | 0.40 | 6.1 |  | 0.22 | I |
| I | A-B | 0.77 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-C | 17.16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |



| I | TIME | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEMAND } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DEMAND/ } \\ & \text { CAPACITY } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PEDESTRIAN } \\ \text { FLOW } \end{gathered}$ | START QUEUE | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  |  | (RFC) | (PEDS/MIN) | (VEHS) | (VEHS) | TIME SEGMENT) | TIME SEGMENT) | VEHICLE (MIN) | I |
| I | 18.15- | . 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | B-C | 0.73 | 8.69 | 0.084 |  | 0.13 | 0.09 | 1.4 |  | 0.13 | I |
| I | B-A | 0.30 | 3.85 | 0.078 |  | 0.18 | 0.09 | 1.4 |  | 0.28 | I |
| I | C-AB | 1.27 | 7.92 | 0.160 |  | 0.27 | 0.19 | 2.9 |  | 0.15 | I |
| I | A-B | 0.53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-C | 11.73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |



* DELAY IS THAT OCCURRING ONLY WITHIN THE TIME PERIOD
* INCLUSIVE DELAY INCLUDES DELAY SUFFERED BY VEHICLES

WHICH ARE STILL QUEUEING AFTER THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD

* THESE WILL ONLY BE SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT IF THERE IS

A LARGE QUEUE REMAINING AT THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD.

TRL LIMITED
(C) COPYRIGHT 2010

CAPACITIES, QUEUES, AND DELAYS AT 3 OR 4-ARM MAJOR/MINOR PRIORITY JUNCTIONS
PICADY 5.1 ANALYSIS PROGRAM
RELEASE 5.0 (JUNE 2010)
ADAPTED FROM PICADY/3 WHICH IS CROWN COPYRIGHT
BY PERMISSION OF THE CONTROLLER OF HMSO

FOR SALES AND DISTRIBUTION INFORMATION
PROGRAM ADVICE AND MAINTENANCE CONTACT: TRL SOFTWARE SALES
TEL: CROWTHORNE (01344) 770758, FAX: 770356 EMAIL: software@trl.co.uk

THE USER OF THIS COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR THE SOLUTION OF AN ENGINEERING PROBLEM IS IN NO WAY RELIEVED OF HIS/HER RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE CORRECTNESS OF THE SOLUTION
"J:\9000\9000\9058_FarnleyTyas\engineering\Traffic_Programs\Picady\Site 17 Access Sat.vpi" (drive-on-the-left) at 12:13:26 on Tuesday, 12 January 2016

## RUN INFORMATION

```
RUN TITLE : Farnley Estates Masterplan Proposals
LOCATION : Penistone Road - Site 17
DATE
CLIENT
ENUMERATOR
JOB NUMBER
STATUS
```

DESCRIPTION
MAJOR/MINOR JUNCTION CAPACITY AND DELAY
MAJOR/MINOR JUNCTION CAPACITY AND DELAY
INPUT DATA

| c) | I |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | I |
|  | I |
|  | I |
|  | I |
|  | I |

```
ARM A IS Penistone Road (s
ARM B IS Site Access
```

ARM C IS Penistone Road (n
STREAM LABELLING CONVENTION
STREAM A-B CONTAINS TRAFFIC GOING FROM ARM A TO ARM B
STREAM B-AC CONTAINS TRAFFIC GOING FROM ARM B TO ARM A AND TO ARM C
ETC.



* Due to the presence of a flare, data is not available


[^2]TRAFFIC DEMAND DATA

Demand set: 2025 Sat Base

TIME PERIOD BEGINS 12.00 AND ENDS 13.30
LENGTH OF TIME PERIOD - 90 MIN. LENGTH OF TIME SEGMENT - 15 MIN.

DEMAND FLOW PROFILES ARE SYNTHESISED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA

LENGTH OF TIME SEGMENT - 15 MIN.

DEMAND FLOW PROFILES ARE SYNTHESISED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA


```
Demand set: Site 3 Sat
```

TIME PERIOD BEGINS 12.00 AND ENDS 13.30
LENGTH OF TIME PERIOD - 90 MIN.
LENGTH OF TIME SEGMENT - 15 MIN.

DEMAND FLOW PROFILES ARE SYNTHESISED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA

| I | ARM | I |  | NUMBER OF | MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  |  | I | RATE | OF FLOW (VEH/MIN) |  |  |  |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  |  | FLOW STARTS | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLOW | W STOPS | I | BEFORE | I | AT | TOP | I | AFter | I |
| I |  |  | I | TO RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FALI | LING | I | PEAK | I | OF | PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I |  |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
| I | ARM | A | I | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.05 | I |  | 0.08 | I | 0.05 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.00 | I |  | 0.00 | I | 0.00 | I |
| I | ARM | C | I | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.05 | I |  | 0.08 | I | 0.05 | I |

Demand set: Site 4 Sat

TIME PERIOD BEGINS 12.00 AND ENDS 13.30
LENGTH OF TIME PERIOD - 90 MIN.
LENGTH OF TIME SEGMENT - 15 MIN.

DEMAND FLOW PROFILES ARE SYNTHESISED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA


```
Demand set: Site 6 Sat
```

TIME PERIOD BEGINS 12.00 AND ENDS 13.30
LENGTH OF TIME PERIOD - 90 MIN.
LENGTH OF TIME SEGMENT - 15 MIN.

DEMAND FLOW PROFILES ARE SYNTHESISED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA


DEMAND FLOW PROFILES ARE SYNTHESISED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA

| I | ARM |  | I | NUMBER OF |  |  | MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  | I | RATE |  | OF FLOW |  |  | (VEH/MIN) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  | I | FLOW | StAR | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FI | LOW STOPS |  |  | BEFORE | I | AT | TOP | I | AFTER |
| I |  |  | I |  | RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FA | ALLING |  |  | PEAK | I | OF | PEAK | I | PEAK |
| I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  |  |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |
| I | ARM | A | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 |  |  | 0.24 | I |  | 0.36 | I | 0.24 |
| I | ARM | B | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 |  |  | 0.00 | I |  | 0.00 | I | 0.00 |
| I | ARM | C | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 |  |  | 0.21 | I |  | 0.32 | I | 0.21 |

```
Demand set: Site 17 Sat (300 units)
```

TIME PERIOD BEGINS 12.00 AND ENDS 13.30
LENGTH OF TIME PERIOD - 90 MIN
LENGTH OF TIME SEGMENT - 15 MIN.

DEMAND FLOW PROFILES ARE SYNTHESISED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA

| I | ARM | I |  | NUMBER OF |  | MINUTES FROM START WHEN |  |  |  |  |  | I | RATE | OF FLOW |  |  | (VEH/MIN) |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  |  | FLOW | StARTS | I | TOP | OF PEAK | I | FLOW | W Stops | I | BEFORE | I | AT | TOP | I | AFTER | I |
| I |  |  | I | TO | RISE | I | IS | REACHED | I | FALI | LING | I | PEAK | I | OF | PEAK | I | PEAK | I |
| I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
| I | ARM | A | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.25 | I |  | 0.38 | I | 0.25 | I |
| I | ARM | B | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.77 | I |  | 1.16 | I | 0.77 | I |
| I | ARM | C | I |  | 15.00 | I |  | 45.00 | I |  | 75.00 | I | 0.60 | I |  | 0.90 | I | 0.60 | I |



| I |  | I |  | TURNING PROPORTIONS TURNING COUNTS <br> (PERCENTAGE OF H.V.S) |  |  |  | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  |  | I |
| I |  | I |  |  |  |  |  | ) I |
| I | TIME |  | FROM/TO |  |  |  |  |  |
| I |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  | I | ARM A I | I ARM B I | ARM C I |
| I | 12.00-13.30 | I |  |  | I | I | I | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | A | I | 0.000 I | 0.000 I | 1.000 I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I 0.0 I | 5.0 I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | ( 0.0)I | ( 10.0) I | ( 10.0)I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | I | I | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | B | I | 0.000 I | 0.000 I | 0.000 I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 0.0 I | I 0.0 I | 0.0 I |
| I |  | I |  |  |  | ( 10.0) I | ( 0.0)I | ( 10.0) I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | I | I | I |
| I |  | I | ARM | C | I | 1.000 I | 0.000 I | 0.000 I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | 5.0 I | 0.0 I | 0.0 I |
| I |  | I |  |  |  | ( 10.0) I | ( 10.0) I | ( 0.0)I |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | I | I | I |

TURNING PROPORTIONS ARE CALCULATED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA DEFAULT PROPORTIONS OF HEAVY VEHICLES ARE USED


TURNING PROPORTIONS ARE CALCULATED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA DEFAULT PROPORTIONS OF HEAVY VEHICLES ARE USED



TURNING PROPORTIONS ARE CALCULATED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA DEFAULT PROPORTIONS OF HEAVY VEHICLES ARE USED
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TURNING PROPORTIONS ARE CALCULATED FROM TURNING COUNT DATA DEFAULT PROPORTIONS OF HEAVY VEHICLES ARE USED

QUEUE AND DELAY INFORMATION FOR EACH 15 MIN TIME SEGMENT
FOR COMBINED DEMAND SETS
AND FOR TIME PERIOD 1


| I | TIME | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEMAND } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ (\mathrm{RFC}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PEDESTRIAN } \\ \text { FLOW } \\ \text { (PEDS/MIN) } \end{gathered}$ | START QUEUE (VEHS) | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) | I I I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 12.30-1 | . 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | B-C | 0.81 | 5.30 | 0.152 |  | 0.11 | 0.18 | 2.5 |  | 0.22 | I |
| I | B-A | 0.33 | 0.67 | 0.494 |  | 0.12 | 0.73 | 8.5 |  | 2.45 | I |
| I | C-AB | 0.88 | 5.42 | 0.163 |  | 0.13 | 0.19 | 2.9 |  | 0.22 | I |
| I | A-B | 0.37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-C | 17.69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |


| I I I | TIME | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEMAND } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{array}$ | CAPACITY (VEH/MIN) <br> (VEH/MIN) | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND/ } \\ \text { CAPACITY } \\ (\mathrm{RFC}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PEDESTRIAN } \\ \text { FLOW } \\ \text { (PEDS/MIN) } \end{gathered}$ | START QUEUE (VEHS) | $\begin{gathered} \text { END } \\ \text { QUEUE } \\ \text { (VEHS) } \end{gathered}$ | DELAY (VEH.MIN/ TIME SEGMENT) | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ <br> TIME SEGMENT) | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING VEHICLE (MIN) | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 12.45-13.00 0 ( ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | B-C | 0.81 | 5.21 | 0.155 |  | 0.18 | 0.18 | 2.7 |  | 0.23 | I |
| I | B-A | 0.33 | 0.67 | 0.495 |  | 0.73 | 0.83 | 11.9 |  | 2.80 | I |
| I | C-AB | 0.88 | 5.42 | 0.163 |  | 0.19 | 0.19 | 2.9 |  | 0.22 | I |
| I | A-B | 0.37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-C | 17.69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |



| I | TIME | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEMAND } \\ \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPACITY } \\ & \text { (VEH/MIN) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DEMAND/ } \\ & \text { CAPACITY } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PEDESTRIAN } \\ \text { FLOW } \end{gathered}$ | START QUEUE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { END } \\ & \text { QUEUE } \end{aligned}$ | DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ | GEOMETRIC DELAY <br> (VEH.MIN/ | AVERAGE DELAY PER ARRIVING | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  |  | (RFC) | (PEDS/MIN) | (VEHS) | (VEHS) | TIME SEGMENT) | TIME SEGMENT) | VEHICLE (MIN) | I |
| I | 13.15- | . 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | B-C | 0.55 | 7.55 | 0.073 |  | 0.11 | 0.08 | 1.2 |  | 0.14 | I |
| I | B-A | 0.23 | 3.67 | 0.062 |  | 0.13 | 0.07 | 1.1 |  | 0.29 | I |
| I | C-AB | 0.60 | 6.89 | 0.087 |  | 0.13 | 0.10 | 1.5 |  | 0.16 | I |
| I | A-B | 0.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I | A-C | 12.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |


| QUEUE FOR | STREAM | B-C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | NO | OF |
| SEGMENT | VE | ICLES |
| ENDING | IN | QUEUE |
| 12.15 |  | 0.1 |
| 12.30 |  | 0.1 |
| 12.45 |  | 0.2 |
| 13.00 |  | 0.2 |
| 13.15 |  | 0.1 |
| 13.30 |  | 0.1 |
| QUEUE FOR | STREAM | B-A |
| TIME | NO | OF |
| SEGMENT |  | ICLES |
| ENDING | IN | QUEUE |
| 12.15 |  | 0.1 |
| 12.30 |  | 0.1 |
| 12.45 |  | 0.7 |
| 13.00 |  | 0.8 |
| 13.15 |  | 0.1 |
| 13.30 |  | 0.1 |
| QUEUE FOR | STREAM | C-AB |
| TIME | NO | OF |
| SEGMENT |  | ICLES |
| ENDING | IN | QUEUE |
| 12.15 |  | 0.1 |
| 12.30 |  | 0.1 |
| 12.45 |  | 0.2 |
| 13.00 |  | 0.2 |
| 13.15 |  | 0.1 |
| 13.30 |  | 0.1 |

## QUEUEING DELAY INFORMATION OVER WHOLE PERIOD

| I | STREAM | I | TOTAL |  | DEMAND | I | * QUEUEING * |  |  |  | * |  | INCLUSIVE |  | QUEUEING * I |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  | I |  |  | I | * DE | LAY | * |  | I |  | * DE | AY | * | I |
| I |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ---- |  | ------ | I |
| I |  | I | (VEH) |  |  | (VEH/H) | I | (MIN) |  | (MI | IN/VEH) | I |  | (MIN) |  | (MIN/VEH) | I |
| I | B-C | I | 60.6 | I | 40.4 | I | 10.8 | I |  | 0.18 | I |  | 10.8 | I | 0.18 | I |
| I | B-A | I | 24.8 I | I | 16.5 | I | 26.4 | I |  | 1.07 | I |  | 26.4 | I | 1.07 | I |
| I | $C-A B$ | I | 66.1 | I | 44.0 | I | 12.5 | I |  | 0.19 | I |  | 12.5 | I | 0.19 | I |
| I | A-B | I | 27.5 | I | 18.4 | I |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
| I | A-C | I | 1326.9 | I | 884.6 | I |  | I |  |  | I |  |  | I |  | I |
| I | ALL | I | 2893.2 | I | 1928.8 | I | 49.8 | I |  | 0.02 | I |  | 49.8 | I | 0.02 | I |

* DELAY IS THAT OCCURRING ONLY WITHIN THE TIME PERIOD
* INCLUSIVE DELAY INCLUDES DELAY SUFFERED BY VEHICLES

WHICH ARE STILL QUEUEING AFTER THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD

* THESE WILL ONLY BE SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT IF THERE IS

A LARGE QUEUE REMAINING AT THE END OF THE TIME PERIOD.

## APPENDIX 9

CONTAINS A FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT FOR REJECTED SITE SGI2109/H188 (THE GATEWAY, LAND WEST OF PENISTONE ROAD, FENAY BRIDGE)
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## 1

1.1 Sanderson Associates (Consulting Engineers) Ltd have been appointed to undertake a Flood Risk Overview for possible development sites Farnley Tyas, Huddersfield. The aim of this assessment is to discuss the present and future flood risk to the site and to assess possible uses and mitigation measures required. The location of the site is shown on drawing 9069/001 contained in Appendix A.
1.2 This Flood Risk Assessment has been undertaken in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) March 2012 and the associated Planning Practice Guidance, 2014.
1.3 Consultation with Environment Agency (EA) has taken place. The consultation response is discussed in Section 3 and contained within Appendix B.
1.4 Each site allocation will be separated into individual reports and assessed on their own merits. A site Location plan showing each of the site allocations is located in Appendix A.

## 2 Existing Situation

### 2.1 Existing Site Description

2.1.1 The site is currently open fields and located to the west of Penistone Road, Huddersfield. Drawing 9069/001 included in Appendix A shows the site limits and location.
2.1.2 There is currently no existing formal access to the site, access is currently gained via a piece of land off Woodsome Road.
2.1.3 The site is bound by adjoining fields to the north with Penistone Road bounding the site to the east. The Fenay Beck bounds the site to the west with neighbouring properties and gardens to the south.
2.1.4 The closest main river is the Fenay Beck which is located upon the western boundary of the site. The Fenay Beck generally flows from south to north.

### 2.2 Existing Site Analysis

2.2.1 The site area is $126,868 \mathrm{~m}^{2}(12.69 \mathrm{Ha})$ taken from information provided by the client is considered to be permeable (not positively drained). Therefore the site is considered to be $0 \%$ impermeable and $100 \%$ permeable.
2.2.2 The estimated Greenfield runoff rate from the site has been assessed using WinDES Source Control software. The run off rate has been calculated at 28.001/s or $2.191 / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{Ha}$ for a 1 in 1 year return period ( IH 124 Method requires calculations based on 50Ha reduced to the site area). The WinDES output files are contained within Appendix C.
2.2.3 The topography of the site generally grades from south to north. Levels range from approximately 85.0 m AOD at the south eastern corner of the site to 75.00 m AOD upon the northern boundary of the site.

## 3 <br> Consultations

3.1 As part of this assessment, the Environment Agency (EA) information has been reviewed in relation to flood zones and groundwater. All responses are contained in Appendix B.
3.2 The response from the Environment Agency confirms that the site falls within Flood Zones 1,2 and 3 with the worst case scenario of a 1 in 100 or greater annual probability of river flooding (>1\%).
3.3 The Environment Agency provided modelled flood levels for the Fenay Beck in the vicinity of the site. These include levels for the 1 in $100+$ climate change and 1 in 1000 year events. There are no flood defences in close proximity to the site.
3.4 The Environment Agency have provided historic flooding maps and shows that the site was subject to historic flooding in 1970 due to channel capacity being exceeded.
3.5 The Environment Agency website show that the site is not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.

## 4 Flood Risk

4.1 The main risk of flooding to the site comes from the Fenay Beck which is located upon the western boundary of the site. No flood defences are located within close proximity to the site.
4.2 The Environment Agency confirms that the site falls within Flood Zone 1,2 and 3 with the worst case scenario of a 1 in 100 or greater annual probability of river flooding (>1\%).
4.3 Drawing 9069-301 contained within Appendix A shows the flood extents of a 1 in 100 year + climate change and 1 in 1000 year flood event (For this site local levels are based on a site specific topographical survey and modelled flood levels supplied by the Environment Agency). The drawing highlights areas of the site where building structures can be built.
4.4 There are no constraints to the type of proposal on this allocation assuming that building structures are located wholly within Flood Zone 1. Areas of the site that are located within Flood Zone 2 and 3 should be allocated for car parking and access roads.
4.5 The Environment Agency online surface water mapping shows areas of modelled surface water flooding within the boundary of the site, in the northern area of the site, in the location of the extensive area fluvial Flood Zone 3, modelled surface water flooding is shown to be widespread. The EA classify this flooding to have an annual probability of occurring at between a 1 in 100 and 1 in 1000 and is deemed to have a low risk. Isolated patches of the site are shown to be at an elevated risk suggesting they would be potentially effected more frequently. At the south of the site modelled surface water flooding is shown in Penistone Road to the east, these areas are shown to be at medium to high risk (between a 1 in 30 and 1 in 100 annual probability of occurring) the flooding is shown to be contained within the carriageway until the magnitude of the storm exceeds the 1 in 100 year event at
this point the capacity of the carriageway to hold the storm water is exceeded and surface water is shown to encroach within the eastern boundary of the site.
4.6 Drawing 9069-301 also identifies a combined sewer and surface water sewer running directly through the middle of the site. Yorkshire Water should be consulted before any planning application is made as they normally request a 3.0 m easement to either side of existing sewers.
4.7 Mitigation measures can be implemented within the Full Flood Risk Assessment to ensure surface water localised to, and conveyed within the sites road network would not affect any of the proposed development.

## 5 Drainage Constraints

5.1 The current building regulations, Part H3, detail the favoured hierarchy of surface water disposal being in order of preference, to ground by infiltration, to watercourse and then to sewer.

1. Infiltration

## 2. Watercourse

3. Sewer

## 1. Infiltration Drainage

5.2 Infiltration methods of drainage such as soakaways and filter drains percolate surface water runoff allowing it to permeate into the subsoil at its natural rate mimicking the natural process of drainage and as such are subject to the local ground conditions.
5.3 The Local Authority will request that a site investigation is carried out to deem whether infiltration methods are viable within the site.

## 2. Discharge to Watercourse

5.4 If the above is not deemed viable the Local Authority will accept discharge to watercourse. The closest main watercourse to the site is the Fenay Beck which is located on the western boundary of the site.
5.5 The Envrionment Agency and internal drainage board would have be consulted in regards to agreeing an acceptable discharge rate into the Fenay Beck. A rate no greater than $1.41 / \mathrm{s} /$ ha for discharge into local watercourse is normally requested.

## 3. Discharge to Sewer

5.6

If neither of the above are deemed viable Yorkshire Water should be consulted in order to agree possible surface water outfall. In addition Yorkshire Water will have to be consulted to agree a point of foul connection.

## 6

6.1 This flood risk overview serves to review and assess the sources of potential flooding to the site
6.2 As concluded in section 3 the site is considered to lie within Flood Zone 1,2 and 3 with the worst case scenario of a 1 in 100 or greater annual probability of river flooding ( $>1 \%$ ).
6.3 All buildings should be located wholly within Flood Zone 1.
6.4 In line with current guidance the Environment Agency would require an $8-10 \mathrm{~m}$ undeveloped easement, measured from the top of river bank, in order to safeguard future channel maintenance and emergency access to the watercourse.
6.5 A full flood risk assessment and surface water management strategy would have to be written and submitted to the Local Authority in order to gain planning permission. This document serves as an overview to inform the client of possible risk and constraints that could arise at the site.

## Appendix A - Drawings

Site Location: 9069/001
Flood Extent Plan: 9069/301



## Appendix B-Consultations

Environment Agency

Flood Map Woodsome Road/ Penistone Road, Kirklees - Date Created: 21/06/2013 Ref: 26205

© Environment Agency copyright and / or database rights 2012. All rights reserved. © Crown Copyright and database right. All rights reserved. Environment Agency, $100026380,2012$.
Contact Us: National Customer Contact Centre, PO Box 544, Rotherham, S60 1BY. Tel: 08708506506 (Mon-Fri 8-6). Email: enquiries@environment-agency.gov.uk

















Flood History Map for Woodsome Road/ Penistone Road, Kirklees - dated: 21/06/2013 [Ref: 26205]
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## APPENDIX C - Calculations

Existing Greenfield Run Off Estimate
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